

Directorate General of Anti-dumping & Allied Duties

ANNUAL REPORT 2005-2006



**Directorate General of Anti-dumping & Allied Duties
Department of Commerce
Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India,
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi**


G.K. PILLAI

Commerce Secretary
Government of India
New Delhi-110011

FOREWORD

The Annual Report for 2005-06 brought out by the Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties under the Ministry of Commerce and Industry is a very useful document that gives authentic upto date information about investigations initiated by the Designated Authority and related activities of the Directorate. The Report also gives glimpses of global trends in anti-dumping investigations, progress in the Rules Negotiations under the Doha Agenda, and emerging challenges.

Since its inception this Directorate has developed considerable expertise in carrying out anti-dumping investigations in a free, fair and transparent manner. It is its constant endeavour to improve the quality and sustain it. Publication of this report is the expression of such efforts by the Directorate to instill better skill, transparency and to generate confidence of stake holders in the systems and proceedings of investigations. I compliment the Designated Authority and his team of officers for bringing out this useful document.


(G.K. PILLAI)

Additional Secretary
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
Department of Commerce
Udyog Bhavan
New Delhi-110011

DR. CHRISTY FERNANDEZ

PREFACE

This Annual Report for the year 2005-06 is the seventh in the series successfully brought out by the Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India. It is a matter of great satisfaction that the Annual Report of DGAD by now has become a document which is being referred to by all the interested parties and stake holders for authentic information.

As in the case of earlier reports, this document apart from providing information about legal framework under which the Designated Authority operates, also seeks to give information about trends in the anti-dumping investigations, updated information on investigations initiated by the Authority over the years and a host of other related activities carried out by the Directorate for creating awareness among the stake holders, through seminars etc.

There has been a decline in the number of fresh investigations in India. It is in line with the global trend which has been registering a decline for the last 4 consecutive years. During the year 2005, DGAD had initiated 11 Anti-dumping investigations besides 4 mid-term reviews, 5 sunset reviews and 3 new shipper reviews.

The Directorate has been actively participating in the ongoing deliberations of the Negotiating Group on Rules of WTO. The thrust of these negotiations is to keep costs and administrative burdens low, tighten disciplines, and to elaborate Rules where non exists. The outcome of these negotiations would result in greater transparency, and offer a level playing field in global trading arena.

The officers and staff of the Directorate have put in their best efforts to bring out this document. I place on record my deep appreciation of the excellent work done by them throughout the year in terms of improving and ensuring quality of work and timeliness for completion of the investigations.



(CHRISTY FERNANDEZ)

Contents

Foreword by Shri G.K.Pillai, Secretary, Department of Commerce

*Preface by Dr. Christy L. Fernandez, Additional Secretary & Designated Authority,
Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties, Department of Commerce*

	Page No.
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	7
CHAPTER 2: LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ANTI-DUMPING & COUNTERVAILING MEASURES	13
2.1 National Law	13
2.2 Designated Authority	13
CHAPTER 3: DETAILS OF ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATIONS	15
3.1 Details of Investigations Initiated by the DGAD since 1992	15
3.2 Details of the Investigations Initiated by the DGAD during the year 2005	16
3.3 CESTAT / Court Cases	16
3.4 Case-wise details	16
3.4.1.a Investigations in which final findings issued.	17
3.4.1.b Investigations in which preliminary findings issued.	40
3.4.1.c Initiated and under investigation for preliminary/final findings.	40
3.4.2.a Applications not initiated after scrutiny (received from '97 onwards)	41
3.4.2.b Review applications closed	44
3.4.3 Current Review cases	45
3.4.4 Completed mid-term/sunset/new shipper review cases	46
3.4.5 Current CESTAT/High Court/Supreme Court cases	51
3.4.6 Completed CESTAT/High Court/Supreme Court cases	56
3.4.7 Year-wise breakup of anti-dumping cases	64
3.4.8 Country-wise breakup of anti-dumping cases	65
3.4.9 Product-wise breakup of anti-dumping cases	67
CHAPTER 4: INTERACTION AND FACILITATION DURING 2005-06	69
4.1 Visits of foreign delegations	69
4.2 Seminars and Workshops	69
4.3 Facilitation	71
4.4 Publications	71
4.5 Web site	71

CHAPTER 5:	WTO-NEGOTIATIONS	73
CHAPTER 6:	GENERAL INFORMATION	77
6.1	Introduction	78
6.2	Organisational set-up of Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties (DGAD)	79
6.3	Officers in the Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties	79
6.4	DGFT Port Offices providing communication channels between the industry & the DGAD on anti-dumping matters	80
6.5	List of Government advocates on the Panel of DGAD appearing before the CESTAT	83
6.6	List of Consultants and Advocates dealing with anti-dumping cases before the DGAD	85
CHAPTER 7:	ANTI-DUMPING AND ANTI-SUBSIDY CASES ON AGAINST INDIAN EXPORTERS	87
7.1	Anti-dumping	88
7.1.1	Year-wise distribution of anti-dumping initiations	88
7.1.2	Country-wise break-up of anti-dumping initiations against India	89
7.1.3	Sectoral Distribution of anti-dumping initiations against India	89
7.1.4	Measures in force against Indian exports	90
7.1.5	Country-wise distribution of AD measures	96
7.1.6	Sectoral distribution of AD measures against Indian exports	91
7.2	Anti-subsidy	96
7.2.1	Country-wise initiations of anti-subsidy cases against India	96
7.2.2	Measures in force against India	96
7.2.3	Distribution of anti-subsidy initiations and measures	96
7.2.4	Product-wise distribution of anti- subsidy cases against India	96
7.2.5	Names and addresses of a few authorities responsible for invoking anti-subsidy action	97

Chapter-1

Introduction

1

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

The World Trade Organisation (WTO) celebrated its tenth anniversary in January 2005, marking ten years of the entry into force of the Uruguay Round agreements signed at Marrakesh in 1994. Globalisation has now become an intrinsic part of “the lexicon of modern discourse on world affairs” and economic co-existence and integration into the world economy through a rule-based system of trade has generally gained acceptance as a catalyst for prospective growth and prosperity.

According to the World Trade Report 2005, global GDP growth was 4% in 2004. South America, Asia and the Commonwealth of Independent States recorded above-average growth rates at 6%, 7% and 8% respectively, providing a solid foundation for growth in trade. Real merchandise trade grew by 9%, the highest rate since 2000, with all regions sharing in the trade expansion and Africa, South & Central America, Asia and the CIS recording growth in double digits. World goods exports grew at 21% to \$8.88 trillion, while commercial services trade grew at 16% to \$2.1 trillion. Within this overall performance, Asia’s goods exports rose by 25% to \$2.39 trillion and its commercial services exports by 21% to \$0.44 trillion, but its dollar import value grew faster than the export value for both goods and services.

Critics of globalization argue that open trade has had a debilitating impact on both the rich and poor countries. While on the one hand, trade with poor countries have depressed prices and real wages of labour-intensive products in the rich countries, on the other, large volumes of relatively low-priced imports to less developed, newly open economies lacking adjustment mechanisms have resulted in worker lay-offs and social strain on their communities. However, the statistics depicted in the World Trade Report 2005 show that trade is a crucial growing component of economic activity, and its continuance could bring stability and contribute to peace by linking different regions of the globe.

Trade defence measures allowed under WTO

Perceptions of fairness and a level playing field in global trading vary, depending on the perspectives from which

viewed. The WTO sets out strict disciplines under which governments may choose to respond to business and other interest groups so that the effective working of its rule-based system through the market provides security and predictability, reduces risks of proposed ventures and enhances productivity and welfare.

Trade defence measures allowed under the WTO are the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, 1994 or the Anti-dumping agreement (ADA), the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (ASCM) and the Safeguards Agreement. This report focuses on dumping and measures against dumping.

Simply stated, dumping is a form of international price discrimination which is said to occur when a product is exported at a price lower than what is normally charged in the home market. As such, though condemned if it causes injury, dumping *per se* is not prohibited, as the GATT only provides for a mechanism to **governments** to respond. Hence, importing countries are authorized to take measures to offset injurious dumping if they wish, subject to the conditions laid down under the ADA on how governments can/cannot react to dumping. Remedial action generally involves charging extra import duty on that product from the exporting country to bring its price closer to the **normal value** to remove the injury to the domestic industry in the importing country.

Global over-view of Anti-dumping

On the global front, anti-dumping and countervailing measures initiated during the last ten years 1995-2004 reveal the trend earlier prevalent among the Members to be active users of trade defence measures, with developing countries coming to the forefront. Removal of quantitative restrictions, lowering of tariffs by developing countries and the global slowdown in the past years has led to higher incidence of trade remedy measures. *But developed countries are equally vigilant, as evidenced by the reaction in the US to business process outsourcing to*

India and in the EU as well as the US to Chinese textile imports after the phase-out of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing early in 2005. Nonetheless, an overview of measures taken by WTO Members post- 2000 indicates a decline in the number of cases.

The latest WTO Report on Anti-dumping confirms the declining trend. In the first half of 2005, 15 Members

reported initiating 96 new investigations, 21 of these being opened by developed countries and 75 by developing countries, while 12 Members applied 53 new final measures, 24 being applied by developed countries and 29 by developing countries. Corresponding figures in 2004 were 106 initiations of which 66 were by developing countries and 58 new final measures of which 35 were by developing countries.

Anti-dumping initiations & measures by exporting and reporting countries, 1995-2005*

Exporting Country	Initiations (I) Measures (M)	I/M (%)	Importing country	Initiations (I) Measures (M)	I/M (%)
China PR	434 (I) 317 (M)	73	India	412 (I) 309 (M)	75
Korea, Rep of	212 (I) 123 (M)	58	US	358 (I) 229 (M)	64
US	158 (I) 88 (M)	56	EC	318 (I) 200 (M)	63
Chinese Taipei	155 (I) 94 (M)	61	Argentina	193 (I) 139 (M)	72
Japan	121 (I) 85 (M)	70	S. Africa	191 (I) 113 (M)	59
India	115 (I) 62 (M)	54	Australia	174 (I) 65 (M)	37
Indonesia	113 (I) 59 (M)	52	Canada	133 (I) 84 (M)	63
Thailand	105 (I) 64 (M)	61	Brazil	119 (I) 63 (M)	53
Russia	96 (I) 77 (M)	80	China PR	110 (I) 62 (M)	56
Total	2743 (I) 1729 (M)	63	Total	2743 (I) 1729 (M)	63

Note: 2005 data is only for January to June.

Source: WTO website.

Over the period 1995 to mid 2005, maximum anti-dumping investigations were initiated against Chinese products followed by products from Korea and from USA among countries having 100 or nearly AD initiations against their products. Those from Chinese Taipei, Japan, India and Indonesia followed, with Thailand and Russia bringing up the rear. The position varied in terms of the number of measures actually applied. While China and Korea maintained their lead position in terms of the largest number of measures applied against their products, Chinese Taipei and USA interchanged their ranking, followed by Japanese, Russian, Thai, Indian and Indonesian products, in that order. Totally, 2743 anti-dumping investigations were initiated over the whole time span.

Importing countries that initiated the maximum anti-dumping measures were (in descending order), India, USA, the EC, Argentina, South Africa, Australia and Canada with Brazil and China PR holding the last two positions. In terms of AD measures applied, the same countries maintained their lead, Canada and Australia interchanging ranks. Over 1995-mid 2005, only 1729 measures, representing 63% of total initiations, were actually applied.

Coming to the latest statistics on anti-dumping, in the first half of 2005, South Africa reported the maximum number of AD initiations at 17, followed by EC with 15, India, 13 and China, 11. The initiations reported by South Africa and India were triple those reported in the first half of 2004. The US has surprisingly dropped from first place in 2004

with 21 initiations to 7th place in 2005 with just 4 initiations. China has had 22 initiations directed at its exports, Chinese Taipei, India and the US following with 9, 8 and 7 initiations respectively. Products reporting new investigations were plastics with 25 initiations - China, South Africa, India and Malaysia together accounting for 21 initiations- followed by chemicals and base metals with 16 initiations each.

As regards application of new final anti-dumping measures in the same period, the US was in the lead with 13, followed by China with 10 and India with 7. Chinese products were the most frequent subject of new

measures - 18 - followed by those from the US and Korea. Products in the chemical sector were subject to 14 of the 53 new measures applied - China and Malaysia accounting for 4 and 3 respectively - followed by those in the base metals and machinery sector with 7 new measures each and the live animals and textile sectors with 6 new measures each.

The table below shows the countries that have initiated and applied the maximum number of anti-dumping measures in the current decade, the figures before the slash sign indicating initiations, and those after, the

Anti-dumping measures initiated and applied against exporting countries, 2000-05

Exporting Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005 (6 months)
China PR	43/29	53/30	51/37	52/40	49/44	22/18
Korea	22/21	23/12	23/13	17/22	24/13	05/04
US	12/12	15/04	12/10	21/06	14/10	07/06
C. Taipei	16/18	19/09	16/14	13/11	21/10	09/03
Japan	09/19	13/08	13/05	16/11	08/06	04/03
India	10/07	12/06	16/06	14/07	08/10	08/01
Indonesia	13/11	18/05	12/09	08/12	08/02	06/03
Thailand	12/12	16/07	12/08	07/08	09/06	06/02
Russia	12/09	09/08	18/03	02/12	08/05	02/01
EC	09/06	09/01	10/06	10/04	03/00	'02/00
Total	292/232	364/166	312/216	232/221	212/151	96/53

Source: WTO website

A look at the table shows that from 2000 till mid 2005, the total number of anti-dumping measures initiated touched a peak of 364 in 2001 and fell to 212 in 2004. In contrast, the number actually applied peaked at 232 in 2000 and reached their nadir of 151 in 2004. In the current half year period, as already seen, the initiations

were 96 and the applied measures, 53. In all cases, the number of measures initiated and applied has shown a fluctuating trend.

The table showing anti-dumping initiations & measures classified by importing countries shows a different picture.

Anti-dumping measures initiated and applied by importing countries, 2000-05

Exporting Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005 (Six months)
India	41/57	79/38	81/64	46/53	21/29	13/07
US	47/31	75/33	35/25	37/12	26/14	04/13
EC	32/41	28/13	20/25	07/02	30/10	15/02
Argentina	45/15	26/15	14/24	01/19	12/01	01/00
S Africa	21/13	06/05	04/15	08/01	06/04	17/00
Australia	15/05	23/10	16/09	08/10	09/04	02/01
Canada	21/14	25/19	05/00	15/05	11/08	00/04
Brazil	11/09	17/13	08/05	04/02	08/05	03/00
Turkey	07/08	15/02	18/11	11/28	25/16	08/04
Mexico	06/06	05/03	10/04	14/07	06/07	04/03
Total	292/232	364/166	312/216	232/221	212/151	96/53

Source: WTO website

India's anti-dumping initiations were 81 in 2002 at the peak and touched a low of 21 in 2004. Actual measures applied ranged from a high of 64 to a low of 29 over 2002-04. In the US, the peak of initiations was 75 in

2001 with a low of applied measures at 12 in 2002. India is thus seen to be a very active user of anti-dumping measures, followed by the US, though the EC is seen to step up on the initiations in the past two years.

Anti-dumping investigations (I) and measures (M) by sector, 2000-05.

Sector	1995	'96	'97	'98	'99	2000	'01	'02	'03	'04	'05	Total
XV (I)	43	39	63	105	110	107	136	96	52	36	16	803
(M)	49	24	45	57	82	81	64	60	65	38	07	572
VI (I)	31	38	21	24	75	62	66	94	69	50	16	546
(M)	18	12	21	13	14	52	37	56	66	42	14	345
VII (I)	20	25	36	32	39	21	55	42	25	42	25	362
(M)	10	11	13	14	25	23	11	24	48	23	03	205
XVI (I)	24	34	34	10	28	30	23	09	14	14	05	225
(M)	08	17	16	28	03	13	11	15	07	06	07	131
XI (I)	01	23	08	28	34	17	26	06	14	21	10	188
(M)	04	08	09	02	21	24	08	29	02	13	06	126
X (I)	03	14	34	04	18	04	07	07	20	08	02	121
(M)	02	00	02	23	05	09	02	06	10	04	05	68

Source: WTO website

Note:

- XV: Base metals and articles of base metals;
- VI: Products of the chemical or allied industries;
- VII: Plastics and articles thereof; rubber and articles thereof;
- XVI: Machinery and appliances; electrical equipment; sound recorders; television image recorders; etc;
- XI: Textile and textile articles;
- X: Wood pulp, paper and paper board article another fibrous cellulosic material.

The maximum number of anti-dumping initiations and measures applied has been on base metals and articles of base metals followed by chemical products and plastic & rubber products. Other sectors that attracted anti-dumping initiations and measures were machinery and appliances, textile and wood & wood products. However, over the period, it can be observed that initiations against chemical products have begun to exceed those against base metals and it is likely that this trend would continue as the pharmaceutical and chemical companies across the world battle for an increasing share of this very lucrative market.

Institution of Anti-dumping Legislation in India

In line with the requirements, the national legislation on

Anti-dumping was enacted in India in 1985, with the first case against dumping being initiated in 1992. However, the Directorate General of Anti-dumping and Allied Duties (DGAD) was formally established in the Department of Commerce only in April, 1998. It is an officer-oriented unit, consisting mainly of officers drawn from the Indian Trade Service, the Indian Cost Accounts Service and the Indian Economic Service. The DGAD investigated about 11 complaints against dumping in 2005-06 (upto December) and took action in 4 cases.

Hong Kong Ministerial, 2005: Negotiations to clarify and improve disciplines

The Negotiating Group on Rules (NGR) was established by the Trade Negotiations Committee in Feb, 2002,

“rules” in the Doha Declaration covering those on anti-dumping (Article VI of GATT), subsidies and countervailing measures and regional trade agreements. The negotiations were to clarify and improve disciplines under the anti-dumping and subsidies agreements, attention being paid to the needs of the developing members.

There were three phase of negotiations: In the first phase, members tabled 141 submissions on the provisions for clarification and improvement, most of these relating to the anti-dumping agreement. In the second phase after Cancun, more detailed and specific proposals were considered in informal sessions of the group, to have a clearer idea of the proposals and assess the likely degree of support for them. The third phase of negotiations in 2005 included bilateral and plurilateral consultations for rigorous consideration of the legal text of the proposals. A technical group was set up by the Chairman, NGR to work on a standard anti-dumping questionnaire to reduce costs and increase predictability for the investigating authorities and the exporters. The basic thrust was to keep costs and administrative burdens low, tighten disciplines, elaborate rules where none exists and operationalize Article 15 relating to developing country members. Similarly in the agreement on subsidies, proposals received concerned subsidy discipline and its calculation for countervailing measures.

A group of 15 participants consisting of Brazil, Chile, Columbia, Costa Rica, Hong Kong, China, Israel, Japan, Republic of Korea, Mexico, Norway, Singapore, Switzerland, Chinese Taipei, Thailand and Turkey constituted “Friends of Anti-dumping Negotiations” (FANs) and tabled a number of proposals.

Annex D of the Hong Kong Ministerial Declaration stress that achievements on the Rules mandate would be important to the development of the trading system and the overall balance of results in the Doha development

agenda (DDA). The ADA negotiation were to clarify and improve the rules regarding (a) determinations of dumping, injury and causation, and the application of measures; (b) procedures governing the initiation, conduct and completion of anti-dumping investigations, including with a view to strengthening due process and enhancing transparency; and c) the level, scope and duration of measures, including duty assessment, interim and new shipper reviews, sunset, and anti-circumvention proceedings. These proposals and those to be submitted would be discussed even after the Hong Kong Ministerial Conference, to facilitate preparation of a consolidated text of these agreements as the basis for the final stage of negotiations by the 2006 end date for the Doha Round.

Other activities of the DGAD

The DGAD conducted seminars at different locations of the country in 2005-06 to bring about awareness among the domestic industry, the exporters and the importers, the downstream users of domestic goods regarding the rules and procedures, the obligations under the WTO, etc.

This Annual Report on the working of the Directorate General is the seventh in the series. It contains latest information on the details of the anti-dumping investigations initiated and applied by DGAD during 2005-06 and the status of the cases at different stages of investigation. There has been no case of anti-subsidy action during this period. The legal framework underlying DGAD functioning, status of cases of anti-dumping and subsidies and the WTO negotiations are contained as separate chapters in the report. The website of the Department of Commerce, <http://commerce.nic.in> provides a link to anti-dumping related matters where the Report can be accessed together with details of notifications of anti-dumping investigations, initiation notices, preliminary and final findings.

Chapter-2

Legal Framework for Anti-dumping and Countervailing Measures

2

LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ANTI-DUMPING AND COUNTERVAILING MEASURES

Legal Framework for Anti-dumping and Countervailing Measures

2.1 National Law

The legal framework for imposing anti-dumping and countervailing measures in India was put in place in 1982 when Sections 9, 9A, 9B and 9C were added to the Customs Tariff Act, 1975. However, Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Duty or Additional duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules and Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Duty or Additional duty on Bounty-fed Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, which provided detailed provisions for conducting investigations and imposing anti-dumping and countervailing duties, were notified in 1985.

After the signing of the legal texts for the Uruguay Round Negotiations under GATT, the Sections 9, 9A, 9B and 9C of the Custom Tariff Act, 1975 were amended on 1st January 1995 to bring the legal provisions in India in line with the WTO Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of GATT 1994 (commonly known as Anti-Dumping Agreement) and WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures. Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Anti-Dumping Duty on Dumped Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 and Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Countervailing Duty on Subsidised Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 were introduced after repealing the earlier Rules. These Rules are commonly known as Anti-Dumping Rules and Countervailing Duty Rules, respectively. Anti-dumping

Rules, 1995 were amended subsequently in July 1999 vide notification No.44/1999-NT Customs; in May 2001 vide notification No.28/2001-NT Customs, in January 2002 vide notification No.1/2002-NT Customs and in November 2003 vide notification No. 101/2003- NT Customs.

2.2 Designated Authority

The Designated Authority is appointed under Rule 3 of the Anti-dumping Rules and Countervailing Duty Rules. The anti-dumping & countervailing measures are administered in India by the Directorate General of Anti-dumping and Allied Duties which was set up on 13th April 1998. The Designated Authority's function is to conduct the anti-dumping and anti-subsidy/ countervailing duty investigations and make recommendations to the Central Government for imposition of anti-dumping or countervailing measures where appropriate. These duties are finally imposed/ levied (and collected) by the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance through a Notification. Thus, while the Directorate General of Anti Dumping and Allied Duties in the Department of Commerce recommends the anti-dumping/countervailing duty, it is the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, which actually levies the duties. An appeal, if any, against the order of determination or review thereof regarding the existence, degree and effect of any subsidy or dumping in relation to import of any article lies before the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT-formerly known as CEGAT) and thereafter to the Supreme Court of India. However, various High Courts of the country also hear these matters under their writ jurisdiction.

Chapter-3

Details of Anti-dumping Investigations

3

DETAILS OF ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATIONS

The Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties (DGAD) received 24 applications during the year 2005 from the domestic producers alleging dumping of various products causing injury to the domestic industry. After scrutiny of these applications, the DGAD accepted 11 applications for initiation of investigation. Out of the remaining applications, 6 have not been accepted due to lack of sufficient evidence and documentation. 7 applications are under scrutiny at the end of the reporting period.

The first anti-dumping investigation in India was initiated in 1992. During the period from 1992 to 2005, the DGAD received large number of applications for initiating anti-dumping investigations. After examination of these applications, anti-dumping investigations were initiated in 188 cases. Between 1997-2005, 49 applications were not accepted by the DGAD for various reasons.

Major product categories for which anti-dumping applications have been filed by the domestic industry pertain to chemicals & petrochemicals followed by pharmaceuticals, steel & other metals and textiles/fibers/yarns. The countries / customs territories involved are mainly China PR, the members of the European Union, Korea RP and Chinese Taipei. During this period, 188 anti-dumping cases were investigated involving 35 members of WTO (including the EU as a single member of WTO).

3.1 DETAILS OF INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED BY THE DGAD SINCE 1992:

a. Fresh Cases :

During the period from 1992 to 2005, the DGAD initiated 188 investigations. Status of these investigations as on 31.12.2005 is given in the table below:-

Number of cases where final findings have been issued	177
Number of cases where preliminary findings have been issued and further proceedings are on	02
Number of cases under investigation for preliminary/ final findings	9
Total	188
Measures in force as on 31.12.2005	96

The particulars of the applications received in the DGAD from 1997 to 31.12.2005, but not initiated are given in table 3.4.2.a.

b. Review Cases:

From 1992 till 31.12.2005, the DGAD initiated reviews in 88 cases. The break-up of these review cases is as follows:

Type of Reviews	Initiations	Findings issued
Midterm review	46	42
Sunset review	30	23
New Shipper review	12	7
Total	88	72

3.2 DETAILS OF THE INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED BY THE DGAD DURING THE YEAR 2005:

a. Fresh Cases :

During 2005, the DGAD initiated 11 investigations. All these cases are currently under investigation* for issue of preliminary/final findings.

* The Anti-dumping Rules provide for completion of investigation normally within 12 months from the date of initiation extendable upto 18 months under special circumstances. This period was not over as on 31.12.2005 in the cases initiated during the year 2005.

b. Review Cases:

During the year 2005 the DGAD initiated 12 reviews of various natures and several reviews initiated in the proceeding years were also completed during this period. The break-up of these review cases is as follows:

Type of Reviews	Initiations	Findings issued
Midterm review	4	14
Sunset review	5	3
New Shipper review	3	0
Total	12	17**

** includes findings related to the review investigations initiated during the previous financial year.

3.3 CESTAT / COURT CASES:

During the period from 1992 to end of December 2005, 87 appeals were filed by various interested parties in the Customs Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT), out of which decision has been taken by CESTAT in 64 cases. 41 cases have been decided in favour of the Designated Authority. Findings of the Designated Authority have been modified in 15 cases and findings have been quashed by CESTAT in 8 cases.

During the same period, 53 writ petitions were filed in various High Courts. Decision of the High Courts has

been in favour of the Designated Authority in 34 cases. 3 cases has been decided against DGAD and 16 cases are pending for orders.

21 appeals were filed by the interested parties in the Supreme Court since 1992. Out of the 21 appeals before it, the Supreme Court has upheld the findings of the Designated Authority in 7 cases. In 1 case, findings of Designated Authority has been set aside and 2 cases have been remanded back to CESTAT for decision on merit. 11 matter are pending for orders.

3.4 CASE WISE DETAILS:

The below mentioned statements indicate cases at different stages :

- 3.4.1 Investigations initiated by the Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties during the period 1992 to 2004.
 - 3.4.1.a Investigations in which final findings issued.
 - 3.4.1.b Investigations in which preliminary findings issued.
 - 3.4.1.c Initiated and under investigation for issue of preliminary/final findings.
 - 3.4.2.a Applications not accepted due to various reasons.
 - 3.4.2.b Review applications closed due to various reasons.
 - 3.4.3 Current Mid-term/Sunset/New Shippers Review cases.
 - 3.4.4 Completed Mid-term/Sunset/ New Shippers Review cases.
 - 3.4.5 Current CESTAT/High Court/Supreme Court cases.
 - 3.4.6 Completed CESTAT/ High Court/Supreme Court cases.
 - 3.4.7 Year-wise breakup of cases.
 - 3.4.8 Country-wise breakup of cases.
 - 3.4.9 Product-wise breakup of cases.

STATUS OF ANTI-DUMPING CASES INITIATED BY INDIA

3.4.1.a Investigations in which final findings issued

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
1.	PVC Resin PVC Resin manufactures Association.	10.6.1992	Brazil, Mexico, Korea RP & USA	18.1.1993 22.2.1993 Rs. 1050/MT - Rs. 2490/MT	30.7.1993 18.1.1994 Rs. 504 / MT- Rs. 2036 / MT	30.6.1996 (MTR-Ini) 29.9.1997 (MTR-Fin) Nil
2.	Bisphenol-A - I 290723 M/s Kesar Petroproducts Ltd.	12.8.1992	Japan	10.8.1993 – 28.9% CIF value of exports	18.2.1994 11.3.1994 Dumping margin is 23 %	30.9.1996 (MTR-Ini) 29.9.1997 (MTR-Fin) 25.1.1999 (SSR-Ini) 11.8.1999 (SSR-Fin) Nil
3.	Isobutyl Benzene (IBB) - I 293340 M/s Vinati Organics	7.1.1994	China PR	30.8.1994 20.9.1994 Rs. 13809/MT	27.7.1995 31.8.1995 Rs. 10634 /MT	31.3.1997(MTR-Ini) 27.3.1998 (MTR -Fin) 2.9.1999 (SSR-Ini) 28.3.2000 (SSR) Nil
4.	3,4,5 Trimethoxy Benzaldehyde (TMBA) 2912 M/s Alpha Drug India Ltd.	11.8.1994	China PR	31.1.1995 – Rs. 237 per kg	24.7.1995 20.10.1995 Rs. 237 per kg	8.3.1999 (MTR-Fin) 20.12.2000 (SSR-Fin) 31.1.2001 (SSR-Imp.) US \$ 4.31/kg. SSR not initiated after Alert letter.
5.	Theophylline & Caffeine – I 293950 M/s Bakul Aromatics, M/s Kores India, M/s Suven Pharma	30.8.1994	China PR	31.1.1995 – Rs. 108 / Kg – Rs. 108 / Kg –	26.7.1995 20.10.1995 Rs. 108 / Kg – Rs. 108 / Kg –	27.1.1998 (MTR-Ini) 12.11.1998 (MTR-Fin) 3.3.2000 (SSR-Ini) 1.12.2000 (SSR-Fin.) 22.1.2001 (SSR-Imp.) US \$ 5.71- 6.86
6.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR) - I M/s Gujarat Apar Ltd.	28.10.1994	Japan	Preliminary Findings not issued	19.10.1995 14.11.1995 Rs. 19306 / MT	12.5.1998 (MTR-Ini) 1.4.1999 (MTR-Fin) 24.10.2000 (MTR-Ini) 12.11.2001 (SSR-Fin) 21.12.2001 (SSR-Imp) Difference between US \$ 2088/MT and landed value of imports.
7.	Potassium Permanganate - I 28416001 M/s Universal Chemicals & Industries Pvt. Ltd.	30.12.1994	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	24.7.1995 5.9.1995 Rs. 5992 / MT	16.1.1998 (MTR-Ini) 18.12.1998 (MTR-Fin) Duty expired. Nil.
8.	Bisphenol-A - II 290723 M/s. Kesar Petroproducts Ltd.	30.12.1994	Brazil, Russia	Preliminary Findings not issued	20.11.1995 26.12.1995 10263/MT - Rs. 12559/MT	12.5.1998 (MTR-Ini) 26.3.1999 (MTR-Fin) 25.6.1999 (MTR-Imp) Continuation of duty at same level. Duty expired.

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
9.	Dead Burnt Magnesite (DBM) 25199004 Magnesite Association of India	16.5.1995	China PR	10.6.1996 8.7.1996 Rs.1333/MT- Rs1925/MT	12.11.1996 20.12.1996 Rs. 705/MT- Rs1264/MT	30.6.1999 (MTR-Ini) 14.6.2000 (MTR-Fin) Nil
10.	Low Carbon Ferro Chrome (LCFC) - I 720249 India Ferro Alloy Producers Association, Bombay	6.6.1995	Russia, Kazakhstan	21.5.1996 9.8.1996 Rs.10,000 - Rs. 18600/MT - Rs. 18500 / MT	3.12.1996 24.1.1997 Rs.10900 Rs. 18600/MT Rs.18500/MT	5.11.1999 (MTR-Ini) 31.10.2000 (MTR-Fin) 4.12.2000 (MTR-Imp) Nil
11.	Sodium Ferrocyanide - I 28372004 M/s Cynides Chem. Co.	11.10.1995	China PR	8.8.1996 4.9.1996 16358 / MT - Rs. 20287/MT	10.10.1996 20.12.1996 Rs. 16358 / MT - Rs. 20287 / MT	8.7.1999 (MTR-Ini) 11.7.2000 (MTR-Fin) 31.8.2000 (MTR-Imp) 23.8.2001 (SSR-Fin) 4.9.2001 (SSR-Imp) Difference between US \$ 1556.87/MT & landed value of imports
12.	Bisphenol-A - III 290723 Suo- moto	20.11.1995	USA	25.10.1996 27.11.1996 Rs. 10,000/MT	18.3.1997 29.4.1997 Rs.10,000/MT	31.8.1999 (MTR-Ini) 30.8.2000 (MTR-Fin) Nil
13.	8-Hydroxy Quinoline 29334004 W.Bengal Pharmaceutical Dev. Corp. Ltd.	4.3.1996	China PR	6.9.1996 16.10.1996 Rs. 183-206 / Kg	28.2.1997 1.4.1997 Rs.183-206/Kg	30.11.99 (MTR-Ini) 29.11.2000 (MTR-Fin) Nil
14.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR) - II M/s.Gujarat Apar Polymers	15.3.1996	EU (Germany), Korea RP	30.12.1996 31.1.1997 Rs. 8975- 11557/MT	17.7.1997 30.7.1997 Rs. 8316 /MT - Rs. 13255 /MT	20.7.2001 (MTR-Fin) 1.10.2001(SSR-Ini) 21.9.2002 (SSR-Fin) 5.7.2005 (Amendment to SSR-Imp.) Subsequent to CESTAT orders- Korea Kumho- US \$ 106.16 / MT Others-US \$ 1908.28/ MT 29.3.2004 (MTR-Ini) 6.6.2005 (MTR Fin) 1.9.2005 (MTR-Imp) US \$ 138.39 to 647.35 / MT
15.	Catalysts M/s.United Catalyst Ltd. M/s.Projects & Dev. Ind	6.9.1996	EU (Denmark)	7.5.1997 20.6.1997 Rs. 21.24- Rs. 192.0/ltr.	5.1.1998 2.2.1998 Rs. 25.64 - Rs. 215.46/Ltr	17.1.2002(SSR- Ini) 19.9.2002 (SSR-Fin) Nil

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
16.	Acrylic Fibres - I 550130, 550330 M/s.Indian Acrylic Ltd., M/s.Pashupati Acrylon Ltd.	13.9.1996	USA, Thailand, Korea RP	31.3.1997 31.3.1997 Rs. 6.30- Rs.42.93/ Kg	14.10.1997 24.10.1997 Rs.6.30 to Rs.42.93/Kg	26.4.1999 (MTR-Ini) 19.4.2000(MTR-Fin) 7.8.2001 (MTR-Ini) 7.8.2001 (SSR-Ini) 6.8.2002 (SSR-Fin) 9.10.2002 (SSR-Imp) US \$ 0.16 - US \$ 0.366 / Kg.
17.	Graphite Electrodes - I Indian Graphite Mf. Association Chapter 85 (Classification only indicative)	30.9.1996	USA*, China PR, EU (Spain*, Italy*, Germany*, Belgium*, Austria* & France*)	9.6.1997 21.10.1997 Rs.9028 to Rs.29695/MT	27.3.1998 5.5.1998 Rs.5517-30997/MT (duty extended till 20.1.2003)	17.5.2002 (SSR-Ini) 9.4.2003 (SSR-Fin) 7.7.2003 (SSR-Imp) Recommended for China PR- US \$ 234.54 to US \$ 508.506 / MT All other countries- duty withdrawn.
18.	Newsprint 4801 Indian Newsprint Mfgrs. Association	20.12.1996	Canada, USA, Russia	11.6.1997 - Rs. 1041-Rs.6250	19.3.1998 Not imposed by Ministry of Finance. Reference price for calculation of duties per MT Rs. 22995 to Rs. 26696	Closed Case. Nil.
19.	Purified Terephthalic Acid (PTA) - I 291736 M/s.Bombay Dying & Mfgr. Co Ltd. M/s. Reliance Ind. Co. Ltd. M/s. Pet. Indian Petrochems & M/s. SVC Superchem Ltd.	20.12.1996	Korea RP, Thailand & Indonesia	4.9.1997 21.11.1997 Rs.1130- Rs. 3375/MT	19.3.1998 28.4.1998 Rs. 1130- Rs. 3375/MT	3.3.1999 (MTR-Ini) 29.5.2000 (MTR-Fin) 11.7.2000 (MTR-Imp) US \$ 16.07/MT- US \$ 17.04/MT 16.6.1999 (NSR) 22.5.2002 (SSR-Ini) 6.5.2003 (SSR-Fin) Duty withdrawn. NIL
20.	Vitamin-C - I 29362700 M/s. Ambalal Sarabhai Pvt. Ltd.	26.5.1997	Japan* & China PR	11.3.1998 16.4.1998 Rs.27.59-61.96/Kg	25.5.1998 24.7.1998 Rs.27.59-61.96/Kg	9.7.1999 (MTR-Ini) 13.6.2000 (MTR-Fin) US \$ 12.17 - US \$ 12.62 14.8.2002 (SSR-Ini) 31.7.2003 (SSR-Fin) 24.10.2003 (SSR-Imp) Japan- Nil duty
21.	Magnesium 810411, 810419 M/s. Southern Megnesium & Chem. Ltd.	31.7.1997	China PR	6.2.1998 4.5.1998 Rs.27509/MT	24.7.1998 22.10.1998 Difference between Rs.157005 /MT and landed price of imports /MT subject to maximum of Rs. 57852 / MT	26.3.2003 (SSR) 1.5.2003 (SSR-Imp)

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
22.	Met Coke - I 2704 M/s. B.L.A Ind. Bombay, M/s. Copet. Industries & M/s. Commer Associates Dhanbad	28.8.1997	China PR	20.3.1998 6.5.1998 Rs. 1800 / MT	27.8.1998 27.10.1998 (Duties imposed except for minimum of Rs. 692/ MT) Rs. 4673 reference price subject to min. Rs.692/ MT	8.5.2002 (SSR-Ini) 5.11.2003 (SSR- Fin) 21.1.2004 (SSR-Imp) Duty equal to difference between US\$119.13 and landed value of imports.
23.	Polystyrene - I 390319 Asso. of Polystyrene (Supereme Rajasthan, Mcdowell)	16.9.1997	Korea RP, Japan, Taiwan, Malaysia	12.5.1998 30.6.1998 Rs.2677/MT- Rs.11388/MT	14.9.1998 17.11.1998 Rs.1963-13493/MT	10.2.2003(SSR-Ini) 8.6.2004 (SSR-Fin) Duty withdrawn. Nil.
24.	Hot Rolled Coils - I 7208 SAIL & Essar Steel Copet. Lloys Ind. Tata TISCO	6.10.1997	Russia, Kazakhstan* & Ukraine	17.6.1998 _ No duties recommended.	18.11.1998 27.11.1998 Difference between Rs.14300 to Rs. 22000 & landed price of imports per MT.	Duties expired on 27.11.2003. Nil.
25.	Fused Magnesia 25199001, 25199003 M/s. Birla Periclays	4.11.1997	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	2.2.1999 17.3.1999 Rs. 390-Rs.994/MT	24.3.2003 (SSR-Ini) 9.6.2003 (SSR-Fin) 1.7.2003 (SSR-Imp) Nil
26.	Lovastatin 2941 M/s. Artemis Pharmaceuical	22.12.1997	China PR	23.9.1998 24.11.1998 Difference between Rs.191869/Kg and landed value subject to maximum of Rs. 73944/ Kg.	18.12.1998 29.1.1999 Difference between Rs.191869/Kg and landed value.	No response from Domestic Industry for SSR. Duty expired on 23.11.2003.
27.	Acrylic Fibres - II 550130, 550330 M/s. Indian Acrylics Ltd.	7.1.1998	EU (Italy**, Spain**, Portugal**) & Japan	20.10.1998 17.11.1998 Rs. 2.87/Kg- Rs.82.97/Kg	24.12.1998 22.1.1999 Rs.2.69/Kg- Rs.82.00/Kg	3.9.2003 (SSR-Ini) 10.11.2004 (SSR-Fin) 21.12.2004(SSR-Imp) Duty only against Japan. Difference between US \$ 1681 per MT and landed value.
28.	Industrial Sewing Machine Needles 845230 M/s. Altek Lammertz Ltd.	16.1.1998	Japan, Korea RP, China PR	2.12.1998 24.12.1998 Rs.126 to Rs.1924 per thousand Needles	12.4.1999 20.5.1999 Prov. duty withdrawn in final findings.	Duty not in force. Relationship between the Applicant domestic industry and exporter by way of import.
29.	Calcium Carbide 2849 M/s. Indl.Chem. & Monomers	28.1.1998	China PR & EU (Romania)	24.8.1998 8.10.1998 Rs.1047 MT - Rs.1460/MT	22.01.1999 11.3.1999 Rs. 499-Rs.873/MT (US \$ 13.88)	20.6.2003 (SSR - Ini) 6.4.2004 (SSR - Fin) Withdrawal of duty recommended.
30.	Ortho Chloro Benzaldehyde 291300 M/s. Benzo Petro; Intl. Ltd.	19.2.1998	China PR	14.10.1998 24.11.1998 Difference between Rs. 200.21 /Kg landed value/Kg	18.12.1998 22.1.1999 Difference between Rs.200.21/kg and landed value /Kg	Duty expired on 24.11.2003.

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
31.	Para Tert Butyl Catechol (PTBC) M/s. Poonja & Sons	19.2.1998	EU (France)	26.11.1998 2.2.1999 Difference between Rs.320.71/Kg and landed value subject to minimum of Rs. 36.99 / Kg.	15.2.1999 No duties imposed. Rs. 48.03 per kg	Closed Case
32.	Chloroquine Phosphate - I M/s. Tata Pharma Ltd. & IPCA Ltd., Maharashtra	19.2.1998	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	20.11.1998 Investigation terminated due to non Cooperation by the domestic industry.	Closed Case
33.	Citric Acid - I 291814 M/s Ciiturgia Chemicals	18.3.1998	China PR	20.10.1998 24.11.1998 Diff. Between Rs.58925 PMT and landed value of imports PMT	15.3.1999 29.4.1999 Diff. Between Rs.60324 PMT and landed value of imports PMT	Duty expired on 24.11.2003.
34.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber 400219 M/s Synthetic Chemicals Ltd.	7.4.1998	Japan, Korea RP, Turkey**, Taiwan**, USA, EU (Germany*, France*)	21.1.1999 26.4.1999 Rs. 0.92 to Rs.8.26 / kg. if difference between Rs.48.2 to Rs.62.16 and landed price of imports/kg is more (no duty recommended against Germany & France)	2.6.1999 24.8.1999 Rs. 0.92 to Rs.10.57/kg. if difference between Rs.48.2 to Rs.62.16 and landed price of imports/kg is more	30.7.2003 (MTR-Ini) 3.7.2001 (MTR-Fin) (Duty discontinued on SBR 1500 and 1700 series vide CN dated 31.5.2002. Duty to continue on SBR 1900) 30.7.2003(SSR-Ini) 27.7.2004 (SSR-Fin) 28.9.2004 (SSR-Imp) Duty removed on Turkey & Chinese Taipei. For others US\$ 0.0689 to 0.197/kg.
35.	Black & White Resin Coated Photographic Paper - I M/s. New India Industries Ltd., Baroda	5.5.1998	EU(U.K. & France)	Preliminary Findings not issued	3.3.1999 Investigation terminated. Standing of domestic industry not satisfactory.	Nil
36.	Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) - I 400270 M/s. Herdillia Unimers Ltd.	20.5.1998	Japan	24.12.1998 12.4.1999 Difference between Rs.101716 to Rs.114446 and landed price of imports/kgs	14.5.1999 13.7.1999 Difference between Rs.100644 to Rs.104725 & landed price of imports/kgs	SSR not initiated due to no response from the D.I. Duty expired on 12.4.2004.

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
37.	Hard Ferrite Ring Magnets 8505 M/s. Indian Hard Ferrite Manufacturers Association.	24.7.1998	China PR	1.4.1999 4.5.1999 Rs. 21 per kg.	12.7.1999 6.8.1999 Difference between Rs. 36/- & landed price of imports per kg.	23.12.2000 (MTR-Ini) 23.10.2001(MTR-Fin) Diff. between US \$ 1123.8/ MT and landed value of imports/MT. Duty expired on 3.5.2004.
38.	Acrylic Fibre - III 550130, 550330 M/s. Indian Acrylic Ltd.	30.7.1998	Mexico	7.4.1999 14.5.1999 Difference between Rs.83.7 and landed value of imports if such difference is more than Rs.2.20/kg.	25.6.1999 16.7.1999 Difference between Rs.83.7 and landed value of imports if such difference is more than Rs.2.20/kg.	SSR not initiated after scrutiny. Duty expired on 14.5.2004.
39.	Low Carbon Ferro Chrome (LCFC) - II 720249 M/s. Ferro Alloys Corpn. Ltd. TISCO	9.12.1998	China PR, South Africa*, Macedonia	Preliminary findings not issued.	22.9.1999 28.10.1999 Rs. 911- Rs. 6512/MT	No response to SSR alert notice. Duty expired on 28.10.2004
40.	Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) -I 390461 M/s. Hindustan Fluoro Carbons Ltd. (Hyderabad)	22.1.1999	Russia	9.6.1999 30.6.1999 Rs. 2990/MT	13.10.1999 30.12.1999 Rs. 5200/MT	8.10.2003 (SSR-Ini) 7.10.2004 (SSR-Fin) 18.11.2004 (SSR-Imp) Difference between US\$ 12.66 per Kg. and landed price of imports.
41.	Polyester Staple Fibre (PSF) - I M/s. Indo Rama Ltd.	25.1.1999	Korea RP, Thailand, Taiwan* & Indonesia*	27.9.1999 — Rs. 1206 - Rs.2167/ MT	21.1.2000 No duty imposed Difference between Rs.46215 to Rs.46607 & landed price of imports/ MT	Closed Case
42.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric-I 59021000 Association of Synthetic Fibre	26.2.1999	Indonesia, Korea RP, Thailand & Taiwan	5.10.1999 9.11.1999 Rs. 1.77 to Rs.5.32/kg.	22.2.2000 28.3.2000 Rs. 1.77 to Rs.28.91 / kg.	23.11.2001 (MTR-Ini) 20.3.2003 (MTR-Fin) 30.4.2003(MTR-Imp) Duty discontinued
43.	Sodium Cyanide - I M/s. Cyanides Chemicals Co.	8.3.1999	USA, Korea RP EU** & EU (Czech. Rep.**)	15.10.1999 27.12.1999 Rs. 401- Rs. 11460 per MT.	6.3.2000 6.6.2000 Difference between Rs.68025 & landed price of imports/MT	29.3.2004 (SSR-Ini) 27.9.2004 (SSR-Fin.) 19.12.2005 (SSR-Imp) Continuation of duty on USA & Korea RP @ US \$ 29.62 to 268.27 per MT Withdrawal of duty recommended on imports from EU & Czech Rep.

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
44.	Thermal Sensitive Paper (TSP) - I All India Paper Coaters Association	9.3.1999	EU, Japan	18.8.1999 11.10.1999 Rs. 5.84- Rs. 6.53/sq.m.	3.3.2000 6.4.2000 Rs. 1.61 - Rs. 1.84/ Sq.m.	13.9.2001 (MTR-Ini) 11.9.2002 (MTR-Fin) -EU 7.10.2002 (MTR-Imp.) Difference between US \$ 2947.92/MT & landed value of imports 11.10.2002 (NSR) Duty expired on 11.10.2004. SSR not initiated due to insufficient justification from domestic industry.
45.	Polystyrene - II 3903 Polystyrene Products Assn. India	18.3.1999	China PR, Hong Kong, Singapore and Thailand	28.9.1999 14.10.1999 Rs. 717- Rs. 9354/ MT.	8.3.2000 11.4.2000 Rs. 494- Rs. 9236 / MT.	12.10.2004 (SSR Ini) 30.9.2005 (SSR-Fin.) Duty withdrawn.
46.	Acrylic Fibre - IV 550130, 550330 Forum of Acrylic Manufacturers Association	26.3.1999	Turkey, EU(Hungary*) and EU*	13.10.1999 15.11.1999 Difference between Rs.71 & landed price of imports/kg.	24.3.2000 15.5.2000 Rs. 9.6- Rs. 16.41/kg.	SSR closed without initiation, as the application was not substantiated. Duty expired w.e.f 15.11.2004.
47.	Barium Carbonate 28366000 M/s. Kores India Ltd.	1.4.1999	China PR	4.10.1999 17.11.1999 Difference between Rs.17894 & landed price of imports/MT	23.3.2000 15.5.2000 Difference between US \$ 423.03 & landed price of imports/MT	SSR not initiated. Duty expired w.e.f 17.11.2004.
48.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR) - III 40025900 M/s. Gujarat Apar	21.4.1999	Taiwan	28.9.1999 2.11.1999 Rs. 6288/MT	23.2.2000 6.4.2000 Rs. 6288/MT	15.9.2004 (SSR-Ini) Extension taken upto 14.3.2006.
49.	Purified Terephthalic Acid (PTA) - II 291736 M/s. Reliance Industries Ltd.	22.4.1999	EU(Spain), Japan*, Malaysia* & Taiwan*	22.10.1999 — No duty	20.4.2000 30.5.2000 Rs. 521/MT	SSR not initiated due to no response from domestic industry. Anti-dumping duty expired on 30.5.2005
50.	Seamless Tube 7304, 730410, 730429 Association of Seamless Tubes Manufacturers	20.5.1999	EU(Romania, Austria, Czech Rep), Russia, Ukraine*	10.11.1999 29.12.1999 Rs. 1136 - Rs. 13698/MT.	20.5.2000 21.6.2000 Difference between Rs.36859 to Rs. 39141 & landed price of imports/MT	SSR not initiated due to no response from domestic industry. Anti-dumping duty expired on 29.12.2004
51.	Optical Fibre M/s. Sterlite Industries Ltd.	1.7.1999	Korea RP	5.11.1999 29.12.1999 Rs. 122.25 - Rs. 610.14 per km	14.6.2000 28.6.2000 US\$ 2.32/Km.- US\$ 8.96/Km.	SSR not initiated as no application was received from the Domestic Industry. Anti-dumping duty expired on 29.12.2004

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
52.	Soda Ash-I 283620 Alkali manufacturers Association of India.	5.7.1999	China PR	18.11.1999 13.1.2000 Rs. 391.27- Rs. 1036 / MT	11.7.2000 4.8.2000 Difference between US \$ 193.1 to 197.1 & landed price of imports/MT	Duty expired on 13.1.2005. Nil
53.	Acrylic Fibre - V 550130, 550330 Forum of Acrylic Manufacturers Association.	28.7.1999	Taiwan	10.11.1999 12.1.2000 Rs 3.37- Rs. 10.25 per kg.	11.7.2000 18.7.2000 US \$ 0.140- US \$ 0.240 / kg.	Duty expired on 12.1.2005. Nil
54.	Metronidazole 29332902 M/s. Aarti Drugs Ltd. & Others	29.7.1999	China PR	21.2.2000 17.4.2000 Difference between Rs. 519 & landed price of imports/kg.	14.7.2000 31.8.2000 Difference between US \$ 12.27 & landed price of imports/kg	8.4.2005 (SSR - Ini)
55.	Oxo Alcohols - I 2905 M/s. Oxo Alcohols Industries Association	29.7.1999	Korea RP*, Saudi Arabia, Indonesia*, Russia, Iran, USA, EU & EU(Poland)	3.12.1999 27.1.2000 Rs. 890- Rs. 10728 per MT.	17.7.2000 18.8.2000 US \$ 44 to US \$ 252 per MT.	27.8.2002(MTR-Ini) 26.2.2004(MTR-Fin) 20.4.2004 (MTR-Imp.) In the range of difference between US\$ 622.04-826.47/MT and landed value. Korea RP and Indonesia exempted. 6.12.2004 (SSR-Ini) Anti-dumping duty extended upto 26.1.2006.
56.	Vitamin-C - II 29362700 M/s. Ambalal Sarabhai Enterprises Ltd.	10.8.1999	Russia & EU	17.1.2000 16.3.2000 Rs. 85.35 - Rs. 150.49 per kg.	8.8.2000 15.9.2000 Difference between US \$ 11.29 to 12.67 & landed price of imports/kg.	Request for SSR from the domestic industry rejected. Duty expired on 6.3.2005.
57.	Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) - II 400270 M/s. Herdillia Unimers Ltd.	27.8.1999	Korea RP	23.3.2000 19.5.2000 US\$ 124/MT	21.8.2000 27.9.2000 Difference between US \$ 2433 to US \$ 2461 & landed price of imports/MT	27.12.2002(MTR-Ini) 22.12.2003 (MTR-Fin) 9.2.2004 (MTR-Imp) Duty continued -2418/MT. Duty expired on 19.5.2005
58.	B & W Photographic Paper - II M/s. New India Industries Ltd.	27.8.1999	EU (U.K., France & Hungary)	28.4.2000 23.6.2000 US \$ 33.50 / thousand sq. m to US \$ 1698 / thousand sq. m	24.10.2000 21.12.2000 Difference between US \$ 2.87 to US \$ 3.09 & landed price of imports/ sq.m.	16.3.2004 MTR (Ini) 23.3.2005-Amendment issued recommending withdrawal of the duty on the basis of Delhi High Court Order. 12.7.2005 (Amendment Imp.)

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
59.	Aniline - I 292141 M/s. Narmada Chematur Petrochemicals Ltd.	13.9.1999	Japan, USA	8.3.2000 10.4.2000 Rs. 3.43 - Rs. 7.88 per kg. US \$ 0.064-0.20/kg.	31.8.2000 6.10.2000	6.4.2005 (SSR-Ini) Duty extended till 9.4.2006.
60.	Sodium Nitrite - I 283410 M/s. Deepak Nitrite Ltd.	4.11.1999	China PR	6.4.2000 23.5.2000 Rs. 22625/MT. & landed price of imports/ MT	3.11.2000 19.12.2000 Difference between US \$ 524.63/MT & landed value of imports/MT	2.12.2004 (SSR-Ini) 1.12.2005 (SSR-Fin) Difference between US \$ 481/MT & landed value of imports.
61.	Pthalic Anhydride M/s. Thirumalai Ltd. and Herdillia Chemicals Ltd.	16.11.1999	Indonesia	31.3.2000 23.5.2000 Rs. 786 /MT	13.11.2000 20.12.2000 US \$ 44.27/ MT	Duty expired on 23.5.2005.
62.	Seamless Grade Alloys etc. 720690, 720719, 720720 M/s. Ispat Profile India Ltd.	9.12.1999	Ukraine *, Russia & China PR	1.12.2000 26.12.2000 US \$ 16.03- 179.14/ MT	1.6.2001 25.6.2001 US \$ 14.9 - US \$ 133/ MT	24.5.2004 (MTR-Ini) 20.5.2005 (MTR-Fin) 19.7.2005 (MTR-Imp) Duty withdrawn. NIL
63.	Bisphenol-A - IV 290723 M/s. Kesar Petroproducts Ltd.	6.1.2000	EU, Taiwan	27.4.2000 6.6.2000 Rs. 4.03-5.30/kg	6.12.2000 3.1.2001 Withdrawal of Duty	Closed Case
64.	Hydroxyl Amine Sulphate (HAS) M/s. Deepak Nitrite Ltd.	2.3.2000	USA, Japan, EU	4.8.2000 29.9.2000 US \$ 1709.34 - US \$ 1751.34 /MT	1.3.2001 28.3.2001 Difference between US \$ 1733.56 to US \$ 1794.13 & landed price of imports/MT	14.9.2004 (MTR-Ini) 4.3.2005 (MTR-Fin) 12.4.2005 (MTR-Imp) Anti-dumping duty withdrawn NIL
65.	Polyester Film - I 39206903, 39206904, 39206905 Polyester Film Industries Association	29.3.2000	Korea RP & Indonesia	14.8.2000 15.11.2000 US\$ 0.160 - US\$ 0.982 per kg.	28.3.2001 10.5.2001 Amended vide 14.12.2001 US \$ 0.356 - US \$ 0.982 / kg.	Duty expired on 15.11.2005.
66.	Trimethoprim-I 29335902, 29335909 M/s. Alpha Drugs Ltd.	28.4.2000	China PR	31.8.2000 18.10.2000 US \$ 3.26 / kg.	9.3.2001 Not imposed by Ministry of Finance. Difference between US \$ 20 & landed price of imports/kg.	Duty not in force.
67.	Caustic Soda-I 281511, 281512 Caustic Soda Manufacturers Assn.	26.5.2000	Iran, S. Arabia, USA, EU(France) & Japan	16.11.2000 26.12.2000 Difference between US \$ 256.38 to US \$ 347.11 and landed value of imports / MT	14.5.2001 26.6.2001 Difference between US \$ 266.9 to US \$ 319.4 and landed value of imports / MT	2.5.2005 (SSR-Ini) Duty extended till 25.6.2006 vide Cus. Notification dated 25.11.2005

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
68.	Aniline - II 292141 M/s. Hindustan Organics, NCPL & Anirox	29.5.2000	EU	16.11.2000 26.12.2000 US \$ 0.342 / kg	28.5.2001 26.6.2001 US \$ 0.342 / kg	Duty expired on 26.12.2005
69.	Ferro Silicon - I 720221 Indian Metals & Ferro Alloys Ltd.	5.6.2000	Russia, China PR & Iran*	16.11.2000 26.12.2000 Difference between Rs. 33120 & landed value of imports/MT	28.5.2001 25.6.2001 Difference between US \$ 764/ MT & landed value of imports / MT	SSR not initiated. Application closed vide letter dated 21.12.2005. Duty expired.
70.	Isopropyl Alcohol - I M/s. National Organic Chemical Industries Ltd., Maharashtra	12.6.2000	USA, Singapore & EU(Netherlands)	Preliminary Findings not issued	28.2.2001 Standing of domestic industry not satisfactory.	Closed case.
71.	Sodium Ferrocyanide-II 28372004 M/s. Cyanides & Chemicals Co.	31.1.2001	EU	2.1.2001 31.1.2001 Difference between US \$ 1535 & landed price of imports/MT	16.4.2001 10.5.2001 Difference between US \$ 1535 & landed price of imports/MT	Domestic Industry not interested in SSR. Duty will expire on 31.1.2006
72.	Theophylline & Caffeine - II 293950 M/s. Kores (India) Ltd. & Others	11.7.2000	EU	2.1.2001 31.1.2001 Difference between US \$ 10686 to US \$ 11486 & landed price of imports/MT	26.6.2001 30.7.2001 Difference between US \$ 10686 to US \$ 11486 & landed price of imports/MT	SSR application closed after scrutiny vide letter dated 21.12.2005.
73.	Strontium Carbonate 28369200 M/s. TCM Ltd.	28.7.2000	China PR	14.11.2000 26.12.2000 US \$ 291.76/ MT	15.6.2001 26.6.2001 US \$ 213.37/ MT	25.6.2002 (MTR-Ini) 20.6.2003 (MTR-Fin) 24.7.2003 (MTR-Imp) Nil Duty
74.	Potassium Permanganate - II 284161 M/s. Universal Chemicals & Industries Ltd., Maharashtra	30.10.2000	China PR, Hong Kong** & Taiwan**	30.12.2000 12.3.2001 US \$ 0.60-0.62 / kg	8.9.2001 1.11.2001 US \$ 64 - 440 / MT	10.03.2004 (MTR-Ini) 3.6.2005 (MTR-Fin.) 14.9.2005 (MTR-Imp) US \$ 285 to 352 /MT-China PR. No duty on Hong Kong and Taiwan.
75.	Sodium Hydrosulphite-I 283110, 283210 M/s. Transpek Industry, Demosha Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	3.11.2000	China PR	2.1.2001 28.3.2001 US \$ 271.67 / MT	12.9.2001 2.11.2001 US \$ 216.33 - 294.17 / MT	5.10.2005 (SSR-Ini)
76.	Partially Oriented Yarn (POY) - I 55024200 Association of Synthetic Fibre Industry, Delhi	10.11.2000	Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand & Malaysia	30.3.2001 12.4.2001 US \$ 0.181 - US \$ 0.801 / kg.	4.1.2002 8.2.2002 US \$ 0.037- US \$ 0.593/ kg.	CESTAT orders for reconsideration of Price Undertaking. 20.12.2005 (SSR-Ini)

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
77.	Dry Batteries 850610 Suo moto	20.11.2000	China PR	24.1.2001 6.2.2001 Difference between US \$ 75.25 & landed price of imports/1000 pieces	13.7.2001 2.8.2001 Difference between US \$ 74.75 / 1000 pieces and landed value of imports / 1000 pieces	
78.	Sports Shoes 6402, 6403, 6404, 6405 Suo-moto	20.11.2000	China PR	25.1.2001 9.2.2001 Difference between US \$ 6.227 to US \$ 18.44 and landed price of imports / pair	12.9.2001 25.9.2001 Difference between US \$ 5.044 to US \$ 12.9 & landed price of imports / pair	SSR not initiated.
79.	Toys Suo-moto	20.11.2000	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	30.1.2001 Injury to domestic industry not established.	Closed case
80.	White Cement 252321 M/s. Grasim Industries & J.K. Synthetics, Punjab	6.12.2000	Iran & UAE	22.2.2001 14.6.2001 US \$ 30-46 / MT	30.8.2001 3.10.2001 US \$ 32-38 / MT	
81.	Choline Chloride 23099001, 29231001 M/s. Vam Organic Chemicals Ltd., New Delhi	6.12.2000	China PR & EU	22.2.2001 30.3.2001 US \$ 123.62 - US \$ 769.17 /MT	26.11.2001 14.1.2002 US\$ 171.50-489.33/MT US \$ 85.75 - US \$ 489.33 / MT	
82.	Zinc Oxide - I 28170001 M/s. Transpek Industries Ltd. & M/s. Demosha Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	8.12.2000	China PR	5.3.2001 9.4.2001 US \$ 578.75 /MT	5.10.2001 2.11.2001 US \$ 289.9 / MT (99.5% purity)	
83.	HSR 40021902 M/s. Apar Industries Ltd. & M/s. Apcotex Lattices Ltd., Gujarat	20.12.2000	EU(Poland) & EU	13.3.2001 9.4.2001 US \$ 45.7 - US \$ 886.3 / MT	18.12.2001 15.1.2002 US\$ 248.14 to 430.08 per MT	Domestic Industry not interested in SSR.
84.	Analgin 29331907 M/s. Vani Chemicals Intermediates Ltd., Hyderabad	27.12.2000	China PR & Taiwan**	7.3.2001 9.4.2001 Difference between US \$ 9.778 to US \$ 9.875 and landed price of imports / kg	12.9.2001 8.10.2001 US \$ 2.592 - 2.860 / kg.	23.3.2005-Amendment Notification withdrawing duty on Chinese Taipei. 17.6.2005 (Cus.)

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
85.	Isobutyl Benzene - II M/s. Herdillia Chemicals Ltd., Mumbai & M/s. Vinati Organics Ltd., Mumbai	1.1.2001	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	28.9.2001 Application withdrawn by the domestic industry.	Close case
86.	Lead Acid Batteries - I 8507 M/s. Exide Industries Ltd. Calcutta & M/s. Amara Raja Batteries Ltd.	12.1.2001	China PR, Korea RP, Japan & Bangladesh	21.3.2001 9.4.2001 Difference between US \$ 2.36 - US \$ 3.98 & landed price of imports/kg.	7.12.2001 2.1.2002 25.8.2003 Difference between US \$ 1.904-3.930 per kg	27.8.2002(NSR-Ini) 20.04.2004 (NSR-Fin) Nil duty on M/s. CSB Battery, China PR. 27.8.2002(NSR-Ini) 27.04.2004 (NSR-Fin) 26.07.2004(NSR-Imp) Nil duty on M/s. Yuasa Battery, China PR. 18.03.2004 (MTR-Ini) 26.10.2004 (MTR-Fin) 4.1.2005(MTR - Imp) NIL
87.	Paracetamol 29222914 M/s. Sri Krishna Pharma, Triton Labs, Srinivasa Agro Ind. & Vamsi Labs., Andhra Pradesh	30.1.2001	China PR & Chinese Taipei**	16.4.2001 6.9.2001 Difference between US \$ 3.26 / kg. and the landed value of imports.	22.1.2002 27.3.2002 Difference between US \$ 3.33 / kg. and the landed value of imports.	17.5.2005-Amendment Notification withdrawing duty on Chinese Taipei 19.7.2005 (Cus.)
88.	Phosphoric Acid 280920 M/s. Gujarat Alkalis & Chemicals Ltd. and M/s. Bilt Chemicals Ltd.	7.2.2001	China PR	9.4.2001 10.5.2001 US \$ 187 / MT	12.9.2001 10.8.2001 US \$ 121 / MT	
89.	2-Methyl (5) Nitro Imidazole (2-MNI) 29333909 M/s. Aarti Drugs Ltd. & M/s. Unichem Laboratories, Maharashtra	8.2.2001	China PR	3.5.2001 18.7.2001 US \$ 4.79/ kg.	5.2.2002 27.3.2002 Difference between US \$ 4.32 per kg. and landed value	
90.	Hexamine - I 29212901 M/s. Simalin Chemicals Industries Ltd. & M/s. Rockhard Petrochemical Industries Ltd., Baroda	20.2.2001	S. Arabia & Russia	15.5.2001 28.6.2001 US \$ 0.19-0.28/kg.	15.2.2002 27.3.2002 Russia US \$ 3.77/MT S. Arabia US \$ 78.6-130.98/MT CEGAT Orders S. Arabia US \$ 130.98 /MT Russia US \$ 204.08 /MT	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
91.	Ferro Silicon - II 720221 M/s. Indian Metals & Ferro Alloys Ltd., Maharashtra	9.3.2001	Ukraine	21.6.2001 20.7.2001 Difference between US \$ 761/MT and the landed value of imports.	16.1.2002 15.2.2002 Difference between US \$ 740 /MT and the landed value of imports.	
92.	Zinc Oxide - II 28170001 M/s. Demosha Chemicals Ltd., M/s. Western India Chemicals, M/s. Transpek Industry Ltd. & M/s. Transpek Metals & Oxides Ltd., Gujarat	19.3.2001	Nepal	6.8.2001 12.9.2001 US \$ 43.57 - US \$ 296 (purity of 99.5%)	18.3.2002 5.6.2002 Difference between US \$ 1372.11 - US \$ 1413.60 / MT and landed value of imports.	
93.	Vitamin AD3 - I 2936 M/s. Nicholas Piramal India Ltd., Maharashtra	19.3.2001	EU & Singapore	6.6.2001 11.7.2001 Difference between US \$ 41.13 / kg & landed value of imports / kg.	18.3.2002 21.5.2002 Difference between US \$ 41.13 / kg & landed value of imports / kg.	5.1.2005 - (MTR - Ini) 21.4.2005 (MTR-Fin) 2.6.2005 (MTR-Imp) Anti-dumping duty withdrawn. NIL
94.	Biaxially Oriented Poly Propylene film (BOPP film) 392020 BOPP Association, Delhi	30.5.2001	Taiwan, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Oman, Singapore, Thailand & UAE*	14.8.2001 9.10.2001 US \$ 0.56-0.88/kg.	26.6.2002 8.8.2002 US \$ 0.47 - 0.79 / kg.	
95.	Polyester Staple Fibre (PSF-II) 550320 Association of Synthetic Fibre Industry	25.6.2001	Korea RP, Malaysia, Taiwan & Thailand	16.1.2002 10.7.2002 Difference between US \$ 0.951 to US \$1.264 and landed value.	24.12.2002 21.3.2003 Difference between the range of US\$ 1.093 to 1.196 per kg and the landed value.	Due to CESTAT order, the notification imposing anti-dumping duty has been rescinded by Customs vide notification dated 13.10.2004. Nil duty.
96.	Vitamin AB2D3K 230990 M/s. Nicholas Piramal India Ltd., Mumbai	2.7.2001	EU, USA*, Thailand & Singapore	25.9.2001 21.12.2001 Difference between US \$ 11.56- US \$27.74 and landed value of imports/ kg.	1.7.2002 9.9.2002 Difference between US \$ 11.56- US \$27.74 and landed value of imports/ kg.	14.9.2004 (MTR-Ini) 4.3.2005 (MTR-Fin) 13.4.2005 (MTR-Imp) Anti-dumping duty withdrawn NIL
97.	Acrylic Yarn 5402, 540239, 540269, 5509 M/s. Punjab Fibres Ltd. & Others	3.7.2001	Nepal	25.9.2001 10.10.2001 US \$ 0.69- US \$ 0.84/ kg.	2.7.2002 24.7.2002 M/s. Reliance Spinning Mills- US\$ 0.14 per kg, other exporters- US\$ 0.35 per kg.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
98.	Trimethoprim-II 29335902, 29335909 M/s. Alpha Drugs India Ltd. and M/s. Inventaa Chemicals Ltd., Punjab	23.7.2001	China PR	7.9.2001 9.1.2002 US \$ 4.42 /kg.	12.7.2002 3.9.2002 Difference between US \$ 19.71 / kg and landed value of imports	
99.	Thermal Sensitive Paper (TSP) - II 480910 M/s. Shree Krishna Paper Mills & Industry Ltd., Andhra Pradesh	30.7.2001	China PR	8.11.2001 21.12.2001 US \$ 0.967/kg	8.7.2002 24.7.2002 US\$ 0.967 per kg.	
100.	Vitrified/Porcelain Tiles Chapter 69 M/s.H&R Johnson India Ltd., Maharshtara, M/s. SPL Ltd., Haryana, M/s. Murdeshwar Ceramics Ltd. & Others, Karnataka	6.8.2001	China PR & UAE	3.12.2001 2.5.2002 Difference between US\$13.62 per Sq. Mtr and the landed value of import.	4.2.2003 1.5.2003 US\$ 0.74 to US \$ 8.28 per sq.m.	20.9.2002 (NSR- Ini) 12.1.2003 (NSR- Fin) 23.5.2003 (NSR- Ini) 30.6.2004 (NSR- Fin) 1.7.2004 (NSR-Imp) 23.7.2004 (NSR- Ini) 18.2.2005 (NSR-Ini) 19.7.2005 (NSR-Imp.) 25.8.2004 (NSR- Ini) 25.8.2004 (NSR- Ini) 18.2.2005(NSR- Ini) 25.8.2005 (NSR-Ini)
101.	Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFL) 853931 M/s. Indo-Asian Fusegear & M/s. Osram India Ltd., Noida, U.P.	16.8.2001	China PR, Hong Kong	2.11.2001 21.12.2001 Difference between US \$ 1.426 (without choke) & US \$ 3.115 (with choke) and landed value of imports per unit.	14.11.2002 10.12.2002 Difference between US \$ 1.256 per unit and landed value (without choke). Difference between US \$ 1.845 - 3.125 per unit and landed value (with choke).	15.2.2005 - (NSR- Ini)
102.	Partially Oriented Yarn (POY) - II 54024200 Association of Synthetic Fibre Industry, Delhi	20.8.2001	Korea RP & Turkey	23.11.2001 27.12.2001 US\$ 0.351 - US \$ 0.441 /kg.	16.8.2002 12.9.2002 US \$ 0.360- US \$ 0.605 / kg.	
103.	Cold Rolled Flat Products of Stainless Steel 721931-35, 721990 M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd. Haryana	21.8.2001	EU**, Canada**, Japan & USA	29.11.2001 28.12.2001 US\$ 0.22 to US\$ 0.82 per kg	19.10.2002 5.12.2002 Difference between US \$ 1470-2431/MT and landed value	14.9.2004 (MTR-Ini) 13.9.2005 (MTR-Fin.) 25.11.2005 (MTR-Imp) US \$ 305 to 445.69 per MT Withdrawn against EU & Canada.

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
104.	Acrylic Fibre (Below 1.5 denier) - VI 550130, 550330 M/s. Indian Acrylic Ltd., M/s. Consolidated Fibres and Chemicals Ltd. and others, Chandigarh	28.8.2001	EU(Italy)	6.12.2001 10.1.2002 US\$ 0.32 to US\$ 0.41 per kg.	12.8.2002 12.9.2002 US \$ 0.32-0.41 / kg.	3.9.2004 (MTR- Ini) 19.4.2005 (MTR-Fin) 19.5.2005 (MTR-Imp.) Nil duty.
105.	Acrylic Fibre - VII 550130, 550330 M/s. Indian Acrylic Ltd., M/s. Consolidated Fibres and Chemicals Ltd. and others, Chandigarh	28.8.2001	EU(Germany, U.K.), Brazil & Bulgaria	7.12.2001 31.12.2001 US \$ 0.143 to US \$ 1.275 per kg.	27.8.2002 9.10.2002 US \$ 0.14 to US \$ 1.03 per kg.	3.9.2004 (MTR Ini) 7.4.2005 (MTR-Fin) 19.5.2005 (MTR-Imp.) Withdrawal of duty recommended Nil
106.	Diclofenac Sodium 2942 M/s. Kairav Chemicals, Aarti Drugs Ltd. and others, Maharashtra	1.9.2001	China PR	31.10.2001 7.2.2002 US \$ 3.44 per kg.	21.6.2002 8.8.2002 US \$ 3.06 / kg.	
107.	Poly Iso-Butylene 390220 M/s. Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Tamil Nadu	12.9.2001	EU*, Thailand*, Brazil, Japan, Korea RP & Singapore	12.12.2001 16.1.2002 US \$ 1037.77/MT	9.9.2002 31.10.2002 Difference between US \$ 1037.77 and landed value.	
108.	Flexible Slabstock Polyol-I (Molecular weight 3000-4000) 390420 M/s. Manali Petro-Chemical Ltd., Chennai	21.9.2001	USA, Japan, Singapore & EU	14.12.2001 11.2.2002 Difference between US\$ 1804 per MT and the landed value.	19.9.2002 31.10.2002 Difference between US \$ 1512.81- US \$ 1597.49 / MT and landed value.	
109.	(-) Para Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Base (PHPG) - I 29420029 M/s. Daurala Organics Ltd., Meerut, UP	1.10.2001	China PR & Singapore	31.12.2001 15.2.2002 Difference between US \$ 24.83 / kg and landed value.	20.9.2002 31.10.2002 Difference between US \$ 20.88 to US \$ 22.42 per kg and landed value.	21.9.2005 (MTR-Ini.)
110.	Caustic Soda-II 281511, 281512 Alkalies Manufacturers Association of India, Gujarat	8.10.2001	Qatar	18.1.2002 27.3.2002 US \$ 48.5 to US \$ 58.6 per MT	7.10.2002 31.10.2002 Difference between US \$ 267.82 - US \$271.10 / MT and landed value	
111.	Vitamin AD3 - II 2936 M/s. Nicolas Piramal India Ltd., Maharashtra	17.10.2001	China PR	2.1.2002 7.2.2002 Difference between US \$ 40.02 / kg and landed value	16.10.2002 26.12.2002 Difference between US \$ 40.03/Kg and landed value	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
112.	Sodium Nitrite-II 28341001 M/s. Deepak Nitrite Ltd. Maharashtra	2.11.2001	EU & Taiwan**	1.2.2002 28.3.2002 US \$ 51.83- US \$ 107.85/ MT	28.10.2002 29.11.2002 US \$ 51.83 to US \$ 107.85 Revised-US\$ 51.83	23.3.2005-Amendment 27.5.2005-Imp. Duty withdrawn on Chinese Taipei.
113.	Lead Acid Batteries-II 8507 M/s. Exide Industries, Calcutta M/s. Amara Raja & M/s. Amco	2.11.2001	Taiwan, Singapore & Hong Kong	5.4.2002 22.5.2002 Difference between US \$ 2.0475 to US \$ 3.7350 per kg and landed value of imports	31.1.2003 2.4.2003 Difference between US \$ 2.03 to US \$ 3.69 per kg. and landed value of imports	27.04.2004 (MTR-Ini) 7.12.2004 (MTR-Fin) 27.1.2005 (MTR-Imp) Duty withdrawn.
114.	Vinyl Acetate Monomer Vam Organics Ltd., New .Delhi	13.11.2001	Iran & Singapore	Preliminary Findings not issued	15.2.2002 Application withdrawn by the domestic industry.	Closed case.
115.	Isopropyl Alcohol - II 290512 M/s. National Organic Chemicals Industries Ltd., Thane	21.11.2001	Singapore, USA, EU & China PR	5.2.2002 22.2.2002 US \$ 748.10 (Bulk), US \$ 823.65 - 863.55 (packed)	6.8.2002 -	Duty not in force. Investigation terminated as domestic industry withdrew petition.
116.	Pentaerythritol - I 29054200 M/s. Kanoria Chemicals, New Delhi	22.11.2001	Canada, Taiwan & Japan	15.2.2002 27.3.2002 US \$113.5- US \$248.6/MT	8.10.2002 31.10.2002 US \$ 122.40 - US \$ 257.60 / MT	
117.	Hydrofluoric Acid 281111 AHF Mnfrs. Assn. Andhra Pradesh	11.12.2001	China PR	15.2.2002 28.3.2002 Difference between US \$ 840.9 for loose, US \$ 840.9 + 66.9 for packed and landed value	26.11.2002 15.1.2003 Difference between the range US \$ 398.8 - US \$ 871.8 for loose, US \$ 398.8 - US \$ 871.8 + 74.22 for packed and landed value /MT	
118.	Vitamin-A Palmitate 293621 M/s. Nicholas Piramal India Ltd., Delhi	24.1.2002	EU, Georgia & Singapore*	30.4.2002 13.8.2002 Difference between US \$ 113.84 per kg and landed value of import.	23.1.2003 7.3.2003 Difference between US \$ 113.95 per kg and landed value of import.	30.8.2004 (MTR-Ini) 24.1.2005 (MTR-Fin) 7.3.2005 (MTR Imp) Duty withdrawn NIL.
119.	Graphite Electrodes -UHP Grade- II 85451101,1109,1901, 1909 HEG India Ltd, Noida & M/s. Carbon Everflow Ltd., Nasik	29.1.2002	EU(Poland) & Brazil	8.5.2002 5.6.2002 Difference between US \$ 2903.71/MT and landed value of import.	15.1.2003 31.1.2003 Difference between US \$ 2903.71/MT and landed value of import.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
120.	Acyclic Alcohols (Oxo Alcohols-II) 2905 NOCIL & Andhra Petrochemicals, Andhra Pradesh	31.1.2002	Brazil, Malaysia, EU(Romania), Singapore and S. Africa	29.7.2002 5.9.2002 Ranges between US \$ 68.79-307.34 per MT depending on type and country.	29.7.2003 1.10.2003 Ranges between US \$ 44.64 - 260.52 per MT depending on type and country.	
121.	Phenol 290711, 270760 M/s. Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	15.2.2002	EU, Singapore, S. Africa	24.6.2002 13.8.2002 US \$ 24.76 - 222.74 per MT	13.2.2003 24.3.2003 Difference between US \$ 710.84 - 778.59 per MT and the landed value of imports	
122.	Sodium TriPoly Phosphate (STPP) 283531 M/s. Albright & Wilson Chemicals India Ltd., Mumbai	15.2.2002	China PR & Taiwan*	9.5.2002 17.6.2002 Difference between US \$ 629.71 per MT and landed value of import.	11.2.2003 1.4.2003 Difference between US \$ 661.84 per MT and landed value of import.	
123.	(-) Para Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Base (PHPG) - II 29420029 M/s. Daurala Organics Ltd., Uttar Pradesh	8.3.2002	EU	5.6.2002 5.7.2002 Difference between US \$ 21.38 - 21.81/kg. and landed value of import.	7.3.2003 1.5.2003 Spain- Nil Difference between US \$ 21.60 per MT and the landed value of imports	29.9.2004 (MTR-Ini) 25.5.2005 (MTR-Fin.) 6.7.2005 (MTR-Imp.) Duty withdrawn.
124.	Ferro Silicon - III 720221 M/s. Indian Metals and Ferro Alloys Ltd., Maharashtra	11.4.2002	S. Africa & Macedonia	11.7.2002 13.8.2002 Difference between US\$ 731.59 per MT and landed value.	9.4.2003 11.6.2003 Difference between US \$ 728.44 per MT and the landed value of imports	
125.	X-ray Baggage System 90221901 M/s. Electronics Corporation of India Ltd. & Rapiscan, Hyderabad.	15.4.2002	EU (Germany)	31.3.2003 18.7.2003 US \$ 1990 - US \$ 3962 / unit of XBIS	14.10.03 Withdrawal of provisional duty recommended. Duty not in force.	
126.	Citric Acid - II 291814 M/s. Citurgia Biochemical Ltd., Maharashtra	16.4.2002	Indonesia & Thailand	14.6.2002 26.8.2002 US \$ 374.36 - 456.67 per MT	17.1.2003 4.3.2003 US \$ 374.36 - 456.67 per MT	
127.	Caustic Soda-III 281511, 281512 Alkali Manufacturers Association of India, Delhi.	14.5.2002	Korea RP & China PR	21.9.2002 26.12.2002 Difference between US \$ 353.4- US \$362.34 and landed value / MT	4.8.2003 23.9.2003 Difference between US \$ 295.27 per MT (on all type and country) and landed value of import.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
128.	(-) Para Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Methyl Potassium Dane Salt (PHPG - DS) 29420008 M/s. Daurala Organics Ltd., Uttar Pradesh	25.6.2002	China PR & Singapore	1.10.2002 11.11.2002 Difference between US \$ 13.87 - US \$ 16.16 and landed value of imports /Kg.	24.6.2003 24.7.2003 Difference between US \$ 13.51 - US \$ 16.16 and landed value of imports /kg.	3.10.2005 (MTR-Ini.)
129.	Float Glass 7005 All India Flat Glass Manufacturers Association, N. Delhi	5.7.2002	China PR & Indonesia	20.11.2002 7.1.2003 US \$ 67.34 - US \$ 82.18 /MT	22.8.2003 12.11.2003 US \$ 71.16 - US \$ 81.21/ MT	
130.	Mulberry Raw Silk 50020001 Central Silk Board Bangalore	17.7.2002	China PR	20.12.2002 2.1.2003 Difference between US\$ 33.19 per Kg. and the landed value of import.	3.7.2003 10.7.2003 Difference between US\$ 27.97 per Kg. and the landed value of import.	
131.	Vitamin-C - III 29362700 M/s. Ambalal Sarabhai Enterprises, Gujarat	14.8.2002	USA & Canada	1.11.2002 27.12.2002 Difference between US \$ 10.11 and landed value of imports /Kg.	10.7.2003 8.8.2003 Difference between US \$ 10.11 and landed value of imports per Kg.	31.1.2005 (MTR-Ini) 25.7.2005 (MTR-Fin.) 18.10.2005 (MTR-Imp.) NIL
132.	Methylene Chloride-I 29362800, 29099010 M/s. Gujarat Alkalies & Chemicals Ltd., Mumbai	19.8.2002	EU, S. Africa & Singapore	24.12.2002 27.3.2003 US \$ 77.87 - 181.74 /MT (Bulk) US \$ 43.33 - 181.74 /MT (Packed)	14.8.2003 20.10.2003 US \$ 36.3 - 140.18 /MT (Bulk) US \$ 36.3 - 140.18 /MT (Packed)	28.1.2005 (MTR-Ini) 31.10.2005 (MTR-Fin) 9.12.2005 (MTR-Imp) Duty withdrawn Nil
133.	Forged Rolls 84553000 M/s. Gontermann-Piper (India) Ltd., W. Bengal	27.8.2002	Ukraine, Russia & Korea RP	11.12.2002 1.1.2003 Difference between US \$ 2152.54 - 2838.19 and landed value of imports /MT	2.7.2003 14.8.2003 Difference between US \$ 2762.79 - US \$ 2851.91 and landed value of imports/ MT	
134.	Para Cresol 29071201 M/s. Atul Ltd., Gujarat	27.8.2002	China PR	17.1.2003 24.3.2003 Difference between US \$ 2.445 and landed value of imports /kg.	25.8.2003 6.10.2003 Difference between US \$ 2220.95 and landed value of imports / MT.	
135.	Vitamin-E 29031200 M/s. Merck India Ltd. Vadodara	27.8.2002	China PR	2.12.2002 17.3.2003 Difference between US \$ 11.32 - 22.64 and landed value of imports /Kg.	22.8.2003 6.10.2003 Difference between US \$ 11.32- US \$ 22.64 and landed value of imports /Kg.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
136.	Plastic Ophthalmic Lenses 900150 Techtran Polylenses Ltd., Hyderabad.	27.8.2002	Taiwan* & China PR	11.8.2003 5.9.03 Difference between US \$ 1070.24 - US \$ 1230.46 and landed value of imports per 1000 pairs.	25.2.2004 19.4.2004 7.18% Ad valorem	
137.	Non Brass Metal Flash Lights 8513 M/s. Eveready Industries (India) Ltd., Kolkata	9.9.2002	China PR	16.1.2003 13.2.2003 Difference between US \$ 680 - US \$ 1608 and landed value of imports per 1000 pieces.	23.7.2003 23.7.2003 Difference between US \$ 672.29 - US \$ 1608.08 and landed value of imports per 1000 pieces	
138.	Hexamine-II 29212901 M/s. Kanoria Chemicals & Industries Ltd., Mumbai	18.9.2002 (15.10.2004 Re-commenced)	Iran	23.12.2002 17.3.2003 27.1.2005 - Reimposed US \$ 122.53 / MT	11.4.2005 30.6.2005 US \$ 107.28 per MT	17.9.2003 - Suspension of Investigation Violation of price undertaking. Investigation was re-initiated.
139.	Ammonium Nitrate 310230 M/s. Gujarat Narmada Valley Fertilizer Co. Ltd. M/s. NALCO, M/s. Deepak Fertilizer & Petrochemicals, Pune	20.9.2002	Russia & Iran	7.4.2003 14.7.2003 Difference between US \$ 148.58- US \$ 183.08 and landed value of imports / MT.	19.3.2004 Provisional duty withdrawn.	Nil duty
140.	Ball Bearings 84821001, 84821004, 84821011 M/s. Ball and Roller Bearings Mfrs. Assn. Of India, New Delhi	21.9.2002	China PR, Russia EU(Poland & Romania)	6.5.2003 4.6.2003 US \$ 0.354 - US \$ 0.522 per piece.	19.3.2004 -- Provision duty withdrawn in Final Findings.	Nil duty
141.	Hot Rolled Coils, Strips, Sheets & Plates-II Chapter 72 SAIL & M/s. Essar Steel, New Delhi.	25.9.2002	S. Africa, Romania, Venezuela, S. Arabia, EU(U.K., Netherlands) Australia, Canada, Kazakhstan & Singapore	Preliminary Finding not issued.	27.8.2003 Investigation terminated due to insufficient evidence of injury and causal link.	Closed case.
142.	Caustic Soda-IV 281511, 281512 M/s. Alkali Manufacturers' Assn. Of India, Delhi	8.10.2002	Indonesia, Taiwan and EU (except France)	8.1.2003 27.3.2003 Difference between US \$ 233.58 - 271.93 and landed value of imports/MT.	1.10.2003 14.11.2003 Difference between US \$ 258.46 - 271.46 and landed value of imports /MT.	3.6.2005 (MTR-Ini)

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
143.	Measuring Tapes 90178001, 901790 M/s. Freemans Measures Ltd. & FMI Ltd. Ludhiana	22.10.2002	China PR	31.1.2003 4.4.2003 Difference between US \$ 4.106 to 4.775 and landed value of imports/Kg.	1.9.2003 7.10.03 Difference between US \$ 4.106 for steel tapes and US\$ 4.691 for Fibre glass tapes and landed value of imports / MT.	
144.	Sodium Hydrosulphite-II 283110 M/s. Transpek Silox Ltd. Vadodara & Demosha Chemicals Ltd., Mumbai	14.11.2002	EU(Germany) & Korea RP	25.2.2003 1.4.2003 Difference between US \$ 1058.866 and landed value of imports / MT.	20.10.2003 3.12.2003 Difference between US \$ 1034.76 and landed value of imports / MT.	
145.	Borax Decahydrate 284019 M/s. Borax Morarji Ltd., Mumbai	25.11.2002	China PR, Turkey	26.3.2003 10.6.2003 Difference between US \$ 406.81- US \$ 484.10 and landed value of imports / MT.	21.11.2003 07.01.2004 Difference between US \$ 410.86 to US \$ 484.1 and landed value of imports / MT.	2.3.2005 (MTR-Ini)
146.	Butter Oil 040500 Centre for International Trade in Agriculture & Agro Based Industries, Delhi	26.11.2002	New Zealand	Preliminary Findings not issued.	17.9.2003 Application withdrawn by domestic industry.	Closed case.
147.	Potassium Carbonate 28364000 M/s. Gujarat Alkalies & Chemicals Ltd., Vadodra	19.12.2002	EU, China PR, Korea RP and Taiwan	30.4.2003 10.6.2003 US \$ 18.58 - US \$ 150.71 per MT	16.01.2004 20.02.2004 US\$ 9.45 per MT to US\$ 123.86	
148.	Copper Clad Laminates 7410 M/s. Gujarat Perstorp Electronics Ltd., Gujarat	24.12.2002	China PR, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Korea RP, Philippines, Singapore* & Thailand*	25.6.2003 19.9.2003 14.06% to 46.41% of CIF export prices	23.12.2003 Provisional duties withdrawn in Final findings.	Nil duty.
149.	Green Veneer Tape 48114900, 48231900 M/s. Waterproof Corp. Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai	9.1.2003	Taiwan	23.5.2003 9.7.2003 Difference between Rs. 7.46/sq. m. and landed value of imports.	24.12.2003 9.2.2004 Difference between US \$ 0.13334 per square mtr. And landed value of imports / MT.	
150.	Titanium Dioxide 28230001 Titanium Dioxide Manufacturers Association, Kerala	16.1.2003	China PR	6.6.2003 14.7.2003 US \$ 303.70 - US \$ 358.04 per MT	15.3.2004 9.4.2004 Difference between US \$ 1227 and landed value of imports / MT.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
151.	Methylene Chloride-II 290312 M/s. Gujarat Alkalies and Chemicals Ltd., Vadodara	19.2.2003	Korea RP	26.6.2003 14.10.2003 US \$ 22.96 - US \$ 154.8 /MT	18.8.2004 24.9.2004 US \$34.43- 42.42 per MT.	
152.	Sun/Dust Control (Polyester Film - II) 39206904 M/s. Garware Polyester Ltd., Mumbai (Single D.I.)	3.3.2003	Taiwan & UAE	25.7.2003 26.8.03 Difference between US \$10.46 - 10.99 and landed value of imports per Kg.	30.7.2004 29.9.2004 Difference between US \$7.99 - 8.17 and landed value of imports per Kg.	
153.	Met Coke - II (with Ash content less than 18%) 270400 M/s. Indian Metallurgical Coke Mfrs. Assn., New Delhi	20.3.2003	Japan	14.10.2003 13.11.2003 Difference between US \$ 118.44 and landed value of imports / MT.	14.5.2004 Withdrawal of provisional Anti-dumping duty	Nil duty
154.	Flexible Slabstock Polyol-II (of molecular weight 3000-4000) 390720, 390791, 390799 M/s. Manali Petrochemicals Ltd., Chennai	21.5.2003	China PR, Korea RP, Taiwan & Brazil	11.12.2003 20.01.2004 Difference between US \$ 1463.71 and landed value of imports per MT.	11.11.2004 24.1.2005 Difference between US \$ 1472.77 and landed value of import per MT.	
155.	Coated Paper 4810 M/s. BILT Graphics Papers Ltd., Haryana	17.6.2003	Indonesia & EU	Preliminary Findings not issued	15.12.2004 No duty recommended.	Nil
156.	Acrylic Fibre-VIII 550130, 550330 Forum of Acrylic Fibre Manufacturers, New Delhi	1.7.2003	Belarus	Preliminary Finding not issued.	7.12.2004 30.12.2004 Difference between US \$ 1681.35 and landed value of import per MT.	
157.	Chloroquine Phosphate - II 293921 M/s. Ipca Laboratories Ltd., Mumbai	16.7.2003	China PR	6.11.2003 15.12.2003 Difference between US \$ 15.831- US \$ 16.38 and landed value of imports per kg.	15.7.2004 30.8.2004 Difference between US \$ 15.04 and landed value of imports per kg.	
158.	Thermal Sensitive Paper (TSP) -III 480910 M/s. Shree Krishna Paper Mills & Industries Ltd., New Delhi	29.7.2003	Indonesia, Malaysia & UAE	Preliminary Finding not issued.	27.1.2005 13.4.2005 In the range of difference between US \$ 1730.15 - 2626.82 and landed value of import per MT.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
159.	Gypsum Plaster Board-I 6809.11 M/s. India Gypsum Ltd., New Delhi	5.8.2003	Indonesia & Thailand	Preliminary Findings not issued.	2.9.2003 Application withdrawn by domestic industry.	Closed case.
160.	Propylene Glycol 29053200 M/s. Manali Petrochemicals Ltd., Chennai	22.8.2003	USA, Singapore, Korea RP & EU	Preliminary Findings not issued	20.8.2004 8.10.2004 Difference between US \$ 991.32 - 1221.38 and landed value of imports per MT.	
161.	PVC Paste Resin 39042201 M/s. Chemplast Sanmar Ltd. Chennai	22.8.2003	EU, Saudi Arabia*, Korea RP*	Preliminary Findings not issued	20.8.2004 7.10.2004 Difference between US \$ 950.94 and landed value of imports per MT.	
162.	Melamine 29336100 Gujarat State Fertilizers & Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	10.9.2003	China PR	27.02.2004 2.4.2004 Difference between US \$ 1294.09 to 1464.64 and landed value of imports per MT.	3.9.2004 16.11.2004 Difference between US \$ 1284.38 - 1456.78 and landed value of imports per MT.	
163.	6-Hexanelactum (Caprolactam) 29337100 M/s. Gujarat State Fertilizers & Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	22.9.2003	EU, Japan, Nigeria & Thailand	Preliminary Findings not issued	16.9.2004 17.11.2004 Difference between US \$ 1394.05 - 1441.86 and landed value of imports per MT.	
164.	Cyclohexanone 29142200 M/s. Gujarat State Fertilizers & Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	8.10.2003	Taiwan, EU & USA	Preliminary Findings not issued	7.10.2004 Duty not recommended due to absence of causal link between dumped imports and material injury.	Nil duty.
165.	Toluene Di-Isocyanate 29291002 M/s. Narmada Chemature Petrochemi- cals Ltd., Gujarat	20.10.2003	Taiwan, EU, & Japan, Korea RP USA	Preliminary Finding not issued.	18.1.2005 No duty recommended due to absence of material injury.	NIL duty.
166.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric-II 59021000 Association of Synthetic Fibres Industries, New Delhi.	29.10.2003	China PR	30.6.2004 26.7.2004 US \$ 0.69 / kg.	9.3.2005 27.4.2005 US \$ 0.54 to 0.81 / kg.	
167.	Mica Pearl Pigment 3206.11 and 3206.19 M/s. Sudarshan Chemicals, Pune	23.12.2003	China PR*, Japan*, USA & EU	Preliminary Finding not issued.	22.12.2004 21.3.2005 1. 25 US \$ per Kg. for EU and 2.27 US \$ per Kg. for USA.	

S. No	Product/ HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended	Date of Final Findings/Imposition/ Range of Duty recommended	Date of Review/Duty levied by Department by Revenue
168.	Narrow Woven Fabric 5806 M/s. Sky Industries Ltd., Mumbai	9.6.2004	China PR & Chinese Taipei	10.1.2005 14.1.2005 Difference between US \$ 7.61-8.02 and the landed value of import per kg.	7.6.2005 25.7.2005 Difference between US \$ 7.61-8.02 and the landed value of import per kg.	
169.	Gypsum Plaster Board - II 6809.11 M/s. India Gypsum Ltd., New Delhi	28.6.2004	Indonesia & Thailand	Preliminary Finding not issued.	27.6.2005 No duty recommended due to absence of material injury.	NIL duty
170.	Certain Rubber Chemicals-I 38.12.10,38.12.20, 38.12.30 and 29.34.20, 29.25.20 M/s. National Organic Chemical Industries Ltd. Mumbai	7.7.2004	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	6.7.2005 27.9.2005 27.9.2005 US \$ 0.402 - 0.464 per kg.	
171.	Certain Compressors 841430, 84143000 M/s. BPL Engineering Ltd., Andhra Pradesh	19.7.2004	China PR, Malaysia	Preliminary Finding not issued.	13.7.2005 Withdrawal of the application by the domestic industry.	NIL duty
172.	Polytetrafluoroethylene-II (PTFE) 390461 M/s. Hindustan Fluoro Carbons Ltd., Hyderabad	27.7.2004	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	25.7.2005 17.10.2005 Difference between US \$ 7.78-8.50 and the landed value of import per kg.	
173.	Certain Rubber Chemicals-II HS- 381210,381220, 381230,293420,292520 M/s. National Organic Chemical Industries Ltd. Mumbai	17.8.2004	EU, China PR, Chinese Taipei & USA	8.4.2005 7.7.2005 US \$ 270 to 304.37 per MT	16.8.2005 20.10.2005 US \$ 270 to 840 per MT	
174.	Citric Acid -III HS-2918.14 M/s Solaris Biochemical Ltd. Delhi	27.8.2004	China PR, Ukraine & Korea RP	Preliminary Findings not issued	26.8.2005 No duty recommended due to absence of material injury.	NIL duty
175.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene 17.8.2004 Rubber (NBR) - IV HS-4002.59 M/s. Apar Industries Ltd., Mumbai	17.8.2004	EU (excluding Brazil and Mexico Germany),	30.3.2005 7.6.2005 US \$ 136.47 to 304.37 per MT	5.10.2005 29.11.2005 US \$ 223.19 to 306.55 per MT	
176.	Sodium Cyanide-II HS - 283711 M/s. Cyanide & Chemicals Ltd. Mumbai	25.10.2004	Chinese Taipei	Preliminary Findings not issued	24.10.2005 19.12.2005 US \$ 91.7 per MT	
177.	1-Phenyl-3-Methyl-5-Pyrazolone HS - 293319, 291634, 292429, 293311, 294200, 980200 etc. M/s. Prima Chemicals, Ahmedabad.	1.12.2004	China PR	Preliminary Findings not issued	16.11.2005 xx US \$ 1.218 per Kg.	

3.4.1.b Investigations in which preliminary findings issued

S. No	Product/HS Classification Applicant (s)	Date of Initiation	Country (ies) involved	Date of Preliminary Findings/Imposition Range of duty recommended
1.	Sodium Formaldehyde Sulphoxylate (SFS) HS - 28311020 Transpek Silox, Vadodara, Demosha Chemicals, Mumbai and TCP, Chennai	28.1.2005	China PR	26.8.2005 11.11.2005 US \$ 469.17 to 655.13 per MT
2.	Pentaerythritol-II 29054200 M/s. Kanoria Chemicals & Industries Ltd., New Delhi Association of Synthetic Fibres Industries, New Delhi.	4.2.2005	China PR and EU (Sweden)	5.8.2005 20.10.2005 Difference between US \$ 1419.45 and the landed value of import per MT 0.81 / kg.

3.4.1.c Initiated and under investigation for preliminary/final findings

S. No	Product / HS Classification Applicant (s)	Initiation	Country (ies) involved
1.	Viscose Filament Yarn HS - 54033100, 54033200, 54034110 etc. M/s. N.R.C. Ltd., M/s. Kesoram Rayon, M/s. Indian Rayon & Industries Ltd.	7.4.2005	China PR
2.	Ethylene Propylene Non Conjugated Diene Monomer (EPDM) - III 400270 M/s. Unimers India Ltd., Mumbai	28.4.2005	USA, EU, Brazil and China PR
3.	Silk Fabric 5007 Central Silk Board, Bangalore	18.5.2005	China PR
4.	All Fully Drawn or Oriented Yarn/ Spin Draw/ Flat Yarn Association of Synthetic Fibre Industries, New Delhi	27.6.2005	Indonesia, Korea RP, Malaysia & Taiwan
5.	Saccharin HS- 29251100 M/s. A.S. Enterprises, Mumbai, M/s. Swati Petrochemicals Pvt. Ltd., Thane & M/s. Shree Vardhyani Chemical Industrial Pvt. Ltd., Gujarat	4.7.2005	China PR
6.	Nylon Filament Yarn HS- 5402.10 M/s. Modipon, Modi Nagar, M/s. JCT, Punjab, M/s. GSFC, Gujarat, M/s. Shree Synthetics, MP	4.7.2005	China PR, Indonesia, Korea RP, Malaysia, Thailand & Chinese Taipei
7.	Cellophane Transparent Film HS-392071 M/s. Kesoram Rayon, Kolkata	27.9.2005	China PR
8.	Partially Oriented Yarn (POY) - III Association of Synthetic Fibre Industry, Delhi	13.12.2005	China PR
9.	New Non Radial Bias Tyres for Buses and Lorries Automotive Tyre Manufactures Association, Delhi	30.12.2005	China PR & Thailand

* Anti-Dumping Duty not recommended.

** Anti-dumping duty withdrawn after review.

MTR Mid Term Review

SSR Sunset Review

NSR New Shipper Review

Ini Initiation of Investigation

Fin Finding by DGAD

Imp Imposition by Ministry of Finance

Gray shaded boxes: measures not in force

xx Yet to be imposed by the Ministry of Finance

3.4.2.a Applications not initiated after scrutiny (received from '97 onwards)

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (s)	Reasons for Closure
1	B&W Picture Tubes	20.2.1997	China PR	Not properly documented
2.	Pet Chip Bottle Grade	3.9.1997	Saudi Arabia, Korea RP, Taiwan, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand	Details incomplete
3.	Tin Plates	25.9.1997	Brazil, Germany, Japan, Korea RP & UK	Not properly documented
4.	Hot Rolled Coils	3.2.1998	South Korea, South Africa and Turkey	Non-Cooperation from domestic industry
5.	Sucker Rod Pumps	9.4.1998	China PR	Details incomplete
6.	Mono Methyl Aceto Acetamide and Methyl Aceto Acetate Ester	29.4.1998	USA, Germany, Japan, Switzerland, Singapore, EC	Information not given
7.	Float Glass	May,1998	Indonesia	Non-Cooperation from domestic industry
8.	Carbendazim Techreal	22.6.1998	China PR	Details incomplete
9.	High Carbon Ferro Chrome	Sept,1998	South Africa, Russia, Kazakhstan	Non-Cooperation from domestic industry
10	Zinc Sulphate	24.11.1998	China PR	Not properly documented
11.	Hot Rolled Coils	Jan,1999	Korea RP, South Africa, Japan, China PR, Australia	Floor prices existing to domestic Industry
12.	Skimmed Milk Powder	22.6.1999	EU	Withdrawn by NDDB.
13.	High Carbon Ferro Chrome	22.6.1999	South Africa, Russia, Kazakhstan	Application not fully documented.
14.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (Anti-Subsidy)	8.12.1999	Korea RP	Application withdrawn.
15.	Power Cables	14.7.2000	UK, Germany & Korea RP	Deficiencies in application.
16.	DC Micromotors	10.3.2001	China PR	Deficiencies in application.
17.	Pad Locks	4.4.2001	China PR	Deficiencies in application.
18	Power Cables	13.6.2001	EU & Korea RP	Deficiencies in application
19	2,4 Dichloro-5 Fluoro Acetophenone	12.7.2001	China PR	Deficiencies in application

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (s)	Reasons for Closure
20.	Electric Cookers	16.10.2001	China PR	Deficiencies in application.
21.	Thermobond Coverstock		Thailand & Singapore	For want of complete information.
22.	Steel Melting Scrap	27.5.2002	EU, Japan, UAE & Singapore	Deficiencies in application.
23.	Aluminum Electrolytic Capacitors	17.9.2002	Chinese Taipei, China PR, Hong Kong, Korea RP & Singapore	Deficiencies in application.
24.	Newsprint-II	3.8.2004	EU, Canada, Indonesia, Philippines, Russia, Korea RP & USA	No sufficient evidence of injury and causal link.
25.	Isobutyl Benzene - III	11.8.2003	China PR	No injury and causal link.
26.	Saccharin	25.7.2003	China PR	Application withdrawn.
27.	Polyester Staple Fibre	16.9.2003	Indonesia	For want of response from the domestic industry.
28.	Hydrofluoric Acid (70%)	7.1.2004	China PR	No merit for initiation of a fresh case for the same product.
29.	Soda Ash-II	9.2.2004	Kenya	Price effect of allegedly dumped imports and the causal link between imports and material injury could not be established.
30.	Ammonium Nitrate-II	5.3.2004	Ukraine	For want of response from the domestic industry.
31.	Lead Acid Batteries-III	26.4.2004	Thailand, Vietnam & Malaysia	For want of response from the domestic industry.
32.	Stepper Motor Counter	21.4.2004	China PR	For want of response from the domestic industry.
33.	Sodium Cyanide	30.4.2004	Australia and Chinese Taipei	For want of response from the domestic industry.
34.	Green Veneer Tape	21.4.2004	China PR and Malaysia	For want of response from the domestic industry.
35.	Vitamin-A Palmitate	13.4.2004	China PR & Switzerland	For want of response from the domestic industry.
36.	Saccharin	23.6.2004	China PR	Application withdrawn.
37.	Chinese Silk Fabric	30.6.2004	China PR	Application not fully documented.

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (s)	Reasons for Closure
38.	Glass Panels for Colour Picture Tube	1.7.2004	China PR, Korea RP and Malaysia	Injury and causal link could not be substantiated.
39.	Optical Fibre	21.1.2004	China PR, France and Brazil	Detailed information not received.
40.	Colour Picture Tubes	26.8.2004	Thailand, Poland, Korea RP & Malaysia	No material injury and causal link could not be substantiated
41.	Isobutyl Benzene - II	19.8.2004	China PR	No material injury and causal link could not be substantiated
42.	Penicillin-G Potassium first Crystal & Penicillin-G Potassium Crude	3.11.2004	China PR	For want of response from the domestic industry.
43.	Sodium Tri Poly Phosphate-II (STPP)	1.10.2004	Romania & Russia	Overall situation of the domestic industry has improved.
44.	Methylene Chloride-III	23.11.2004	USA	No material injury and causal link could not be substantiated
45.	Vitamin-E	2.2.2005	Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Holland, UK, Japan and USA	No standing.
46.	All Fully Drawn or Oriented Yarn/ Spin Draw/ Flat Yarn	Jan. 2005	Indonesia, Korea RP, Malaysia, Chinese Taipei & Thailand	Deficient application
47.	Aniline - III	9.2.2005	Korea RP, China PR, Czezh Rep., Cape Verde, UK and Switzerland	No adequate evidence to establish injury.
48.	Penicillin-G Potassium first Crystal & Penicillin-G Potassium Crude M/s. Indian Penicillin Manufacturers Association, Chennai	1.7.2005	China PR	For want of response from the domestic industry.
49.	Ductile Iron Pipes M/s. Lanco Industries Ltd., Chittoor	10.8.2005	China PR	No adequate evidence to establish injury.

3.4.2.b Review Applications Closed

Midterm Review

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (s)	Reasons for Closure
1.	Caustic Soda-I Iran Petrochemical Commercial Company, Tehran, Iran	30.9.2002	Iran, S. Arabia, USA, France & Japan	Submissions inadequate to initiate.
2.	Caustic Soda-I Iran Petrochemical Commercial Company, Tehran, Iran	13.12.2004	Iran, S. Arabia, USA, France & Japan	Application incomplete.
3.	Analgin 29331907 M/s. Vani Chemicals Intermediates Ltd., Hyderabad	4.1.2005	China PR & Chinese Taipei	
4.	Choline Chloride M/s. Akzo Nobel, NL	July 2005	China PR & EU	Application withdrawn by applicant. Anti-dumping duty will expire on 30.3.2006.

Sunset Review

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (s)	Reasons for Closure
1.	Acrylic Fibre - V Forum of Acrylic Manufacturers Association.	15.6.2004	Chinese Taipei	SSR not initiated.
2.	Sodium Ferrocyanide - II M/s. Cyanides & Chemicals Co.	23.11.2005	EU	SSR not initiated at the request of the D.I.
3.	Ferro Silicon - I Indian Metals & Ferro Alloys Ltd.	22.12.2005	Russia, China PR & Iran*	SSR not initiated vide letter dated 22.12.2005.
4.	Theophylline & Caffeine - II M/s. Kores (India) Ltd. & Others	12.1.2005	EU	SSR not initiated.

New Shipper Review

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (s)	Reasons for Closure
1.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles New Hongkong Ceramics Ltd.Dir. 8.12.2003	China PR		Terminated without initiation (no information received from the applicant)
2.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles Southern Building Material and Sanitary Co. Ltd.	8.12.2003	China PR	Terminated without initiation (no information received from the applicant)

3.4.3 Current Review Cases

Mid Term Reviews

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (ies) involved
1.	Borax Decahydrate 284019 M/s. ETI Holdings, Turkey and M/s. Borochemie International Pte. Ltd., Singapore	2.3.2005	China PR, Turkey
2.	Caustic Soda-IV	3.6.2005	Indonesia, Taiwan and EU (except France)
3.	(-) Para Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Base (PHPG Base) M/s. Kaneka Singapore Co. (PTE) Ltd., Singapore	21.9.2005	China PR and Singapore
4.	(-) Para Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Methyl Potassium Dane Salt (PHPG - DS) M/s. Kaneka Singapore Co. (PTE) Ltd., Singapore	3.10.2005	China PR and Singapore

Sunset Reviews

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (ies) involved
1.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR) - III M/s. Gujarat Apar	15.9.2004	Chinese Taipei
2.	Oxo Alcohols - I M/s. Oxo Alcohols Industries Association	6.12.2004	Korea RP, S. Arabia, Indonesia, Russia, Iran, USA, EU & Poland
3.	Metronidazole M/s. Aarti Drugs Ltd. & Others	8.4.2005	China PR
4.	Aniline-I M/s. Hindustan Organics Chemicals Ltd.	6.4.2005	Japan & USA
5.	Caustic Soda-I Caustic Soda Manufacturers Assn.	2.5.2005	Iran, S. Arabia, USA, France & Japan
6.	Sodium Hydrosulphite - I M/s. Transpek Industry, Demosha Chemicals Ltd., Gujarat	5.10.2005	China PR
7.	Partially Oriented Yarn (POY) - I Association of Synthetic Fibre Industry, Delhi	20.12.2005	Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand & Malaysia

New Shippers Review

S. No.	Product	Date of closure	Country (ies) involved
1.	Vitrified / Porcelain Tiles-1 M/s. Southern Building Materials and Sanitary Co. Ltd., China	25.8.2004	China PR & UAE
2.	Vitrified / Porcelain Tiles-2 M/s. Heyuan Wanfang Ceramics Co. Ltd., China	25.8.2004	China PR & UAE
3.	Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFL) M/s. Osram Foshan Lighting Co.Ltd., China	15.2.2005	China PR, Hong Kong
4.	Vitrified / Porcelain Tiles-3 M/s. Foshan Chan Cheng Jin Yi Ceramic Co. Ltd., Chjna, M/s. Joyson Ceramic Materials Co. Ltd., China and Able Ace (M) Sdn Bhd, Malaysia.	18.2.2005	China PR & UAE
5.	Vitrified / Porcelain Tiles-4 M/s. Foshan Newpearl Trade Co. Ltd. and M/s. Guangdong Newpearl Ceramic Group Co., China PR	25.8.2005	China PR & UAE

3.4.4 Completed Mid Term / Sunset / New Shipper Review Cases

Completed Mid Term Review

S. No.	Product	Date of Initiation of Review	Country (ies)	Reasons for Closure	Date of Recommendation by Authority/ Date of Notification by MOF
1.	Poly Vinyl Chloride Resin	30.9.1996	Brazil, Mexico, Korea RP USA	Nil	29.9.1997 23.12.1997
2.	Bisphenol-A	30.9.1996	Japan	Rs. 8434 MT	29.9.1997
3.	Potassium Permanganate		China PR	Difference between Rs.61.153/pmt and the landed value / pmt	18.12.1998 9.2.1999
4.	Iso Butyl Benzene	31.3.1997	China PR	Rs. 12,465/MT	27.3.1998 26.6.1998
5.	Trimethoxy Benzaldehyde		China PR	Rs.207 per kg	8.3.1999 29.4.1999 / 19.9.2000
6.	Theophylline & Caffeine	27.1.1998	China PR	Reference Price: Theophylline Rs. 562.55/Kg Caffeine: Rs.594.50/Kg	12.11.1998 5.2.1999
7.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber	12.5.1998	Japan	Rs.7882 PMT	1.4.1999 26.5.1999
8.	Bisphenol-A	12.5.1998	Brazil, Russia	Rs. 10263/MT- Rs. 12559/MT	26.3.1999 25.6.1999
9.	Acrylic Fibre	14.7.1998	Thailand	Rs.5039 PMT	1.4.1999

S. No.	Product	Date of Initiation of Review	Country (ies)	Reasons for Closure	Date of Recommendation by Authority/ Date of Notification by MOF
10.	Acrylic Fibre	8.3.1999	Japan	Difference between Rs. 78.78 per kg. and the landed value subject to minimum of Rs. 4.47 per kg.	29.9.1999
11.	Acrylic Fibre	26.4.1999	Korea RP, USA & Thailand	Rs. 9.14 -Rs.33.18/Kg.	19.4.2000 8.6.2000
12.	Purified Terephthalic Acid	3.3.1999	Korea RP	US \$ 16.07/MT - US \$ 17.04/MT	29.5.2000 11.7.2000
13.	Dead Burnt Magnesite	30.6.1999	China PR	Nil	14.6.2000 28.8.2000
14.	Sodium Ferrocyanide	8.7.1999	China PR	US \$ 615 PMT	11.7.2000 31.8.2000
15.	Vitamin-C	9.7.1999	Japan, China PR	US \$ 12.17/Kg. - US \$ 12.62/Kg.	13.6.2000 21.7.2000
16.	Bisphenol-A	31.8.1999	USA	Nil	30.8.2000
17.	Low Carbon Ferro Chrome	5.11.1999	Russia & Kazakhstan	Nil	31.10.2000 4.12.2000
18.	8- Hydroxy Quinoline	30.11.1999	China PR	Nil	29.11.2000 22.1.2001
19.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber	9.11.2000	Japan, Korea RP, Turkey, China PR, Taiwan, USA, Germany	US \$ 90- 272.99/MT	3.7.2001
20.	Hard Ferrite Ring Magnets	23.12.2000	China PR	Diff. between US \$ 1123.8/ MT and landed value of imports/ MT	23.10.2001 12.12.2001
21.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber	24.5.2001	Germany, Korea RP	US \$ 110.64 - US \$ 679.19/ MT	20.7.2001 7.9.2001
22.	Thermal Sensitive Paper	13.9.2001	EU	Difference between US \$ 2947.92 and landed value of imports / MT	11.9.2002 7.10.2002
23.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric	23.11.2001	Indonesia, Korea RP, Thailand & Taiwan	Nil	20.3.2003
24.	Strontium Carbonate	25.6.2002	China PR	Nil	20.6.2003 24.7.2003
25.	Oxo Alcohols	27.8.2002	Korea RP, S. Arabia, Indonesia, Russia, Iran, USA, EU & Poland	In the range of difference between US\$ 622.04 - 826.47/MT and landed value. Korea RP and Indonesia exempted.	26.2.2004 20.4.2004

S. No.	Product	Date of Initiation of Review	Country (ies)	Reasons for Closure	Date of Recommendation by Authority/ Date of Notification by MOF
26.	Ethylene Propylene Diene Rubber (EPDM)	27.12.2002	Korea RP	Difference between US \$ 2418.5 and landed value of imports / MT	22.12.2003 9.2.2004
27.	Lead Acid Batteries - I	18.3.2004	China PR, Korea RP Japan and Bangladesh	Recommended discontinuation of duty	26.10.2004 4.1.2005
28.	Lead Acid Batteries-II	27.4.2004	Chinese Taipei, Singapore & Hong Kong	Duty withdrawn. Injury not likely to recur.	7.12.2004 27.1.2005
29.	Vitamin A Palmitate	30.8.2004	EU, Georgia & Singapore	Duty withdrawn. 7.3.2005	24.1.2005
30.	B & W Photographic paper	16.3.2004	U.K., France & Hungary	Amendment issued withdrawing the duty on the basis of Delhi High Court Order.	28.1.2005
31.	Hydroxyl Amine Sulphate (HAS)	14.9.2004	USA, Japan, EU	Duty withdrawn.	4.3.2005 12.4.2005
32.	Vitamin AB2D3K	14.9.2004	EU, Thailand & Singapore	Duty withdrawn.	4.3.2005 13.4.2005
33.	Acrylic Fibre - VII Request from EC	3.9.2004	Germany, U.K., Brazil & Bulgaria	Duty withdrawn	7.4.2005 19.5.2005
34.	Acrylic Fibre (Below 1.5 denier) - VI	3.9.2004	Italy	Duty withdrawn	19.4.2005 19.5.2005
35.	Vitamin AD3	5.1.2005	EU & Singapore	Duty withdrawn	21.4.2005 2.6.2005
36.	(-) Para Hydroxy Phenyl Glycine Base (PHPG) - II	29.9.2004	EU	Duty withdrawn	25.5.2005 6.7.2005
37.	Seamless Grade Alloys etc.	24.5.2004	Russia & China PR	Duty withdrawn	20.5.2005 19.7.2005
38.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR) - II	29.3.2004	Germany & Korea	US \$ 138.39 to 647.35 per MT	6.6.2005 1.9.2005
39.	Potassium Permanganate	10.3.2004	China PR, Hong Kong & Chinese Taipei	US \$ 285 to 352 /MT (Duty discontinued against Hong Kong and Taiwan)	7.6.2005 14.9.2005
40.	Vitamin-C - III	31.1.2005	USA & Canada	Duty withdrawal recommended	25.7.2005 18.10.2005
41.	Cold Rolled Flat Products of Stainless Steel	14.9.2004	EU, Japan, Canada & USA	US \$ 305 to 445.69 per MT Duty withdrawn against EU and Canada	13.9.2005 xx
42.	Methylene Chloride-I	28.1.2005	EU, S. Africa & Singapore	Withdrawal of duty recommended.	31.10.2005 xx

Completed Sunset Review

S. No.	Product	Date of Initiation of Review	Country (ies)	Reasons for Closure	Date of Recommendation by Authority/ Date of Notification by MOF
1.	Bisphenol - A	25.1.1999	Japan	Nil	11.8.1999
2.	Sodium Ferrocyanide		China PR	Diff. between US \$ 1556.87/ MT & landed value of imports	23.8.2001 4.9.2001
3.	Iso Butyl Benzene	2.9.1999	China PR	Nil	28.3.2000 29.5.2000
4.	Theophylline & Caffeine	3.3.2000	China PR	US \$ 5.71 - 6.86/ kg.	1.12.2000 22.1.2001
5.	Trimethoxy Benzaldehyde	15.3.2000	China PR	Rs. 207 per kg. (Duties to be continued with)	20.12.2000 31.1.2001
6.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber	24.10.2000	Japan	Difference between US \$ 2088 / MT and landed value of imports	12.11.2001 21.12.2001
7.	Acrylic Fibres	7.8.2001	USA, Thailand, Korea RP	US\$ 0.16 - 0.366/ kg.	6.8.2002 9.10.2002
8.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber	1.10.2001	Germany, Korea RP	Difference between US \$ 1692.12 to 2314.8 / MT and landed value of imports	21.9.2002 10.10.2002
9.	Catalysts	17.1.2002	Denmark	Nil	19.9.2002
10.	Met Coke	7.5.2002	China PR	Anti-dumping duty equal to difference between US\$119.13 and landed value of imports recommended for low ash met coke with ash content less than 15%	5.11.2003 21.01.2004
11.	Magnesium		China PR	Withdrawal of duty recommended.	26.3.2003 1.5.2003
12.	Graphite Electrode	17.5.2002	USA, China PR, Spain, Italy, Germany, France, Belgium & Austria	China- US \$ 234.54 to US \$ 508.506 / MT All other countries- duty withdrawn	9.4.2003 7.7.2003
13.	Purified Terephthalic Acid	22.6.2002	Indonesia, Korea RP & Thailand	Nil	6.5.2003 Duty Lapsed.
14.	Vitamin-C	14.8.2002	Japan & China PR	Difference between US \$ 10.11 / kg and landed value of imports	31.7.2003 24.10.2003
15.	Polystyrene	10.2.2003	Malaysia, Korea RP, Chinese Taipei and Japan	Withdrawal of duty recommended.	9.6.2004
16.	Fused Magnesia	24.3.2003	China PR	Nil	9.6.2003 1.7.2003

S. No.	Product	Date of Initiation of Review	Country (ies)	Reasons for Closure	Date of Recommendation by Authority/ Date of Notification by MOF
17.	Calcium Carbide	20.6.2003	China PR & Romania	Withdrawal of duty recommended.	6.4.2004 xx
18.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber	30.7.2003	Japan, Korea RP, Turkey, Chinese Taipei & USA	Continuation of duty in the range of US\$ 0.0689 to 0.197/kg.	26.7.2004 28.9.2004
19.	Polytetrafluoroethylene	8.10.2003	Russia	Continuation of duty. Difference between US\$ 12.66 per Kg. and landed price of imports	7.10.2004 18.11.2004
20.	Acrylic Fibre - II	3.9.2003	Japan, Spain, Portugal & Italy	Difference between US \$ 1681 per MT and landed value.	10.11.2004 21.12.2004
21.	Polystyrene - II	12.10.2004	China PR, Hong Kong, Singapore and Thailand	Withdrawal of duty recommended.	30.9.2005 xx
22.	Sodium Cyanide	29.3.2004	USA, EU**, Korea RP & Czech Rep.**	Continuation of duty on USA & Korea RP @ US \$ 29.62 to 268.27 per MT Withdrawal of duty recommended on imports from EU & Czech Rep.	27.9.2005 xx
23.	Sodium Nitrite-I	2.12.2004	China PR	Continuance of duty as difference between US \$ 481/MT & landed value of imports.	1.12.2005 xx

Completed New Shipper Review

S. No.	Product	Date of Initiation of Review	Country (ies)	Reasons for Closure	Date of Recommendation by Authority/ Date of Notification by MOF
1.	Purified Terephthalic Acid	13.10.1998	Thailand	Rs. 1939/MT	16.6.1999
2.	Purified Terephthalic Acid	12.11.1998	Indonesia	Rs. 3375/MT	16.6.1999
3.	Thermal Sensitive Paper	15.10.2001	Japan, Germany & EU	Terminated	11.10.2002
4.	Lead Acid Batteries	27.8.2002	China PR	Difference between US \$ 1.956/kg and landed value of imports	20.4.2004 26.7.2004
5.	Lead Acid Batteries	27.8.2002	China PR	Duty withdrawn as the dumping margin was de-minimis.	27.4.2004
6.	Vitrified/Porcelain Tiles	20.9.2002	China PR	Terminated	12.1.2003
7.	Vitrified/Porcelain Tiles	23.5.2003	China PR	No duty recommended as exports above normal value during POI	30.6.2004 28.7.2004

3.4.5 Current CESTAT/High Court/Supreme Court Cases

CESTAT Cases

S. No.	Product / Appellant (s)	Country (ies) involved	Status
1.	Optical Fibre M/s. Birla Ericsson, M/s. Vindhya Tele links and M/s. Samsung Electronics, LG Cables & Machinery Ltd., HFCL Ltd., Uniflex Cables, C/355, 356 & 359/2000-AD, C/143/04-AD, C/M/753/05-AD	Korea RP	Hearing held on 24.11.2005
2.	Graphite Electrodes - I C/614/03-AD Indian Graphite Mf. Association	USA, China PR, Spain, Italy, Germany, Belgium, Austria & France	Date of hearing is awaited.
3.	Oxo Alcohols C/606,609 & 610/03-AD C/599/03-AD Indian Plasticizers Manufacturers, New Delhi M/s. Lubrizol (India) P. Ltd., Mumbai Andhra Petrochemical Ltd., Hyderabad	Singapore, Brazil, Romania, Malaysia & South Africa.	Hearing is in progress and is likely to resume on 5.1.2006.
4.	Oxo Alcohols (mid-term review) C/519,705 & 706/04-AD C/988/04-AD M/s. Iran Petrochemical Commercial Co.	Poland, Korea RP, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Russia, Iran, USA and EU	Listed for hearing.
5.	Caustic Soda-IV C/166/04-AD M/s. Nalco C/156/04-AD M/s. HLL	Indonesia, Chinese Taipei and EU (except France)	Last date of hearing was 4.8.2005. Next date awaited.
6.	Borax Decahydrate C/591/04-AD M/s. Boro Chemie	Turkey	Listed for hearing.
7.	Float Glass C/346/2004 - AD All India Flat Glass Manuf. Association. C/148-150/05-AD M/s. Mahavir Mirror Inds., Vijayawada & M/s. Mahavir Mirror Inds., Chennai	China PR and Indonesia	Listed for hearing.
8.	Titanium Di-Oxide Titanium di-Oxide Manfrs Association, M/s. Berger Paints India Ltd., W. Bengal, Chemi Enterprises, Mumbai M/s. Goodlass Nerolac Paints Ltd., Mumbai	China PR	Next date of hearing awaited.
9.	PVC Paste Resin C/73/05-AD M/s. Leather Cloth & Plastics Manufacturers Assn., Delhi	EU, Korea RP, Saudi Arabia	Next date of hearing awaited.

S. No.	Product / Appellant (s)	Country (ies) involved	Status
10.	Vitamin-A Palmitate C/244/05-AD M/s. Nicholas Piramal India Ltd., Delhi	EU, Georgia & Singapore*	Date of hearing is awaited.
11.	Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) -I C/378/2005-AD M/s. El Du Pont India P. Ltd. Gurgaon, Haryana	Russia	Date of hearing is awaited.
12.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR)- Sunset Review C/202/05-AD in C/COD/114/05-AD M/s. Apar Industries Ltd., Mumbai	Japan, Korea RP and USA	Date of hearing is awaited.
13.	Coated Paper C/152 & 213/05-AD M/s. M-Real Corpn., Finland	Indonesia & EU	Date of hearing is awaited.
14.	Mica Pearl Pigment M/s. Merck India Ltd. Mumbai	China PR*, Japan*, USA & EU	Next date of hearing awaited.
15.	Hydroxyl Amine Sulphate (HAS) C/750/2005-AD M/s. Deepak Nitrite Ltd., Delhi	USA, Japan, EU	Was scheduled for hearing on 1.12.2005.
16.	Seamless Grade Alloys etc. Kalyani Steel Ltd., Pune Vs. DA C/M/594 & 871/05-AD C/765/05- AD	Russia & China PR	Date of hearing awaited
17.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Apar Industries Ltd., Mumbai C/Misc./673/03-AD C/125/03-AD	Korea RP, Germany	Heard on 11.11.2005. Misc. application dismissed in favour of DA for default vide order dated 17.11.2005.
18.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Apar Industries Ltd., Mumbai Midterm Review Findings C/935/2005 - AD	Korea RP, Germany	Hearing on 19.1.2006
19.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Korea Kumho Petrochemicals Ltd., Korea RP Midterm Review Findings	Korea RP, Germany	Hearing on 19.1.2006
20.	Acrylic Fibre Forum of Acrylic Fibre Manufacturer, New Delhi C/848/05-AD	UK, Germany, Brazil & Bulgaria	Appearing in the list of cases listed for hearing.
21.	Acrylic Fibre-below 1.5 Denier Forum of Acrylic Fibre Manufacturer, New Delhi C/849/05-AD	Italy	Appearing in the list of cases listed for hearing.

S. No.	Product / Appellant (s)	Country (ies) involved	Status
22.	Cold Rolled Flat Products of Stainless Steel M/s. Jindal Stainless Ltd., New Delhi	EU**, Canada**, Japan, & USA	Yet to be listed.
23.	Compact Fluorescent Lamps C/567-568/05-AD M/s. Anil Impex, Delhi	China PR, China PR,	Yet to be listed.

High Court Cases

S. No.	Product / Appellant (s)	Country (ies) involved	Status
1.	Polyester Staple Fibre M/s. Karpagambal Mills W.P. No. 34250/2002 Precot Mills, Coimbatore W.P. No. 440/2003	Korea RP, Malaysia, Taiwan & Thailand	Chennai High Court. Interim orders on 16.4.2003. No date fixed for final hearing. Appeals of importers have been allowed by CESTAT. anti-dumping duty notification has been withdrawn by DGAD and Customs.
2.	Polyester Staple Fibre M/s. Madura Coats Ltd WP No. 33831 of 2002	Korea RP, Malaysia, Taiwan & Thailand	Now there is no order of anti-dumping duty on PSF. ASG, Chennai High Court has been requested to check records of court as to whether the writs are still pending or have been disposed.
3.	Porcelain Tiles M/s. Kent Ceramics	UAE & China PR	Madras High Court. Matter being handled by Customs. Comments sought by Customs have been sent.
4.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Korea Kumho petrochemicals Ltd., Korea RP	Korea RP, Germany	Delhi High Court. Matter yet to be posted for hearing.
5.	Hot Rolled Coils, Strips, Sheets & Plates - II C.W.P. 7070/2004 Essar Steel Ltd. v/s UOI	S. Africa, Romania, Venezuela, Netherlands, S. Arabia, U.K., Australia, Canada, Kazakhstan & Singapore	Delhi High Court. Hearings over. Judgment reserved
6.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber Puneet Resins 1146/04	Korea RP	Bombay High Court. Dates for hearing not given yet.
7.	H.R. Coils Pranab Jain V/s. UOI WP No. 38865/03	Russia & Kazakhstan	Madras High Court. Related to floor price. Comments sent to Zonal DGFT Office.

S. No.	Product / Appellant (s)	Country (ies) involved	Status
8.	Ammonium Nitrate M/s. Deepak Fertilizer & Petrochemicals, Pune	Russia & Iran	Next date of hearing is awaited.
9.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber M/s. Apar Industries Ltd	Japan, Korea RP, Turkey, Taiwan & USA	Delhi High Court. Next date of hearing is awaited.
10.	Float Glass Navakar Impex Pvt. Ltd. WP No. 2819 & 2820/2003	China PR & Indonesia	Case yet to come up for final hearing. ASG, Chennai High Court has been requested to check records of court as to weather the writs are still pending or have been disposed.
11.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR)-II M/s. Rishiroop Polymers Pvt. Ltd. v/s. Designated Authority.	Germany & Korea RP	Bombay High Court. Pending for final hearing.
12.	Seamless Grade Alloys etc. Kalyani Steel Ltd. CWP No. 10615/05	Russia & China PR	Delhi High Court. Case disposed of with directions to petitioner to take up the matter in CESTAT. Now case in CESTAT. Formal orders awaited.
13.	Partially Oriented Yarn M/s. Indo-Rama Synthetic (I) Ltd.	Korea RP, Malaysia, Taiwan & Thailand	Delhi High Court. Not listed as yet.
14.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR)-II M/s. Rishiroop Polymers Pvt. Ltd. v/s. Designated Authority. WP(C) 598/1997	Germany & Korea RP	Delhi High Court. Date of hearing awaited.
15.	Gypsum Plaster Board-II M/s. India Gypsum Ltd., New Delhi W.P.No.38757/05 and 38758/05 M.P. 41490/2005	Indonesia & Thailand	High Court of Madras. Parawise comments sent. To be heard on 4.1.2006
16.	Ferro Silicon (SSR) M/s IMPA	China PR and Russia	Delhi High Court. Ministry of Finance to examine the non initiation of Sunset Review by Designated Authority

Supreme Court Cases

S. No.	Product / Appellant (s)	Country (ies) involved	Status
1.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber M/s. Rishiroop Polymers Pvt. Ltd. & M/s. Apcotex Lattices Ltd V/s. Designated Authority.	Japan, Korea RP, Turkey, Taiwan, USA, Germany & France	Against orders of CEGAT. Matter transferred from Mumbai & Delhi High Court to Supreme Court. Not listed.
2.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Rishiroop Polymers Pvt. Ltd. v/s. Designated Authority.	Germany & Korea RP	Against orders of CESTAT. Hearing not listed.
3.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Puneet Resins Ltd. v/s. Designated Authority Civil appeal no.7159-7161of 2004	Germany & Korea RP	Against orders of CESTAT. Hearing not listed.
4.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber M/s. Korea Kumho Petrochemicals Ltd. v/s. Designated Authority Civil Appeal No.7162 of 2004 SLP No. 22905-06 of 2003	Germany & Korea RP	Against orders of CESTAT. Hearing not listed.
5.	Met Coke M/s. Tata Chemicals Ltd., Mumbai & M/s. Ferro Alloy Ltd. V/s. DGAD SLP No. 9423-32/2000	China PR	Ministry of Finance is handling.
6.	Purified Terephthalic Acid Designated Authority v/s. M/s. Reliance Industries Ltd.	Japan	Last date of hearing was 14.7.2003.
7.	Vitamin AD3 SLP(Civil) No.24882-83 of 2003 dated 2.9.2003 Designated Authority v/s. M/s. Addissco Frances S.A.S. & Others	France	Next date of hearing to be fixed. SG will appear in next date (yet to be fixed)
8.	Aniline - II Designated Authority v/s. Hindustan Organics Chemicals Ltd. Civil Appeal No. 1788, 1789, 1790 & 1791 of 2004.	EU	SLP admitted.
9.	Optical Fibre Appeal by M/s. Birla Ericsson Optical & Others v/s. Designated Authority CA No. 9421-43/204	Korea RP	Stay granted. Service is yet to be completed.
10.	Theophylline & Caffeine SLP filed by Shri Ahimsa Mines & Minerals Ltd.	EU	Not yet listed.
11.	Catalysts Haldor Topsoe AS vs. Sub-chemie Pvt. Ltd. & Others	Denmark	Not yet listed.

3.4.6 Completed CESTAT/High Court/Supreme Court Cases

CESTAT Cases: Judgement issued

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
1.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (Japan)	Orders sustained.	4.2.1997
2.	Industrial Sewing Needles	Orders sustained.	29.11.1999
3.	Catalysts	Orders quashed.	13.12.1999
4.	Newsprint	Orders sustained.	19.1.2000
5.	Hot Rolled Coils	Orders sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	19.1.2000
6.	Fused Magnesia	Orders sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	19.1.2000
7.	Met Coke	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	21.1.2000
8.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	2.2.2000
9.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	2.2.2000
10.	Acrylic Fibre	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	7.2.2000
11.	Calcium Carbide	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	9.2.2000
12.	Ethylene Propylene Butadiene Rubber (Japan)	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	9.2.2000
13.	Citric Acid	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	9.2.2000
14.	Dead Burnt Magnesite	Orders modified.	21.2.2000
15.	Polyester Staple Fibre	Orders sustained.	19.6.2000
16.	Iso Butyl Benzene	Orders modified.	18.8.2000
17.	Sodium Cyanide	Orders sustained.	22.8.2000
18.	Polystyrene	Orders sustained.	3.10.2000
19.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric	Orders sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	6.11.2000
20.	3,4,5 Trimethoxy Benzaldehyde (TMBA)	Orders sustained.	7.11.2000
21.	Thermal Sensitive Paper	Orders sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	10.11.2000
22.	Purified Terephthalic Acid	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	29.11.2000
23.	Optical Fibers	Orders quashed.	13.12.2000
24.	Thai Acrylic Fibre	Orders Sustained. Duties converted to US \$.	12.2.2001
25.	Seamless Tubes	Orders sustained.	14.3.2001
26.	Purified Terephthalic Acid (Spain, Japan)	Orders sustained.	29.3.2001
27.	Oxo Alcohols	Orders modified.	11.4.2001
28.	Purified Terephthalic Acid (Korea)	Orders modified	15.5.2001
29.	Photographic Paper	Orders quashed.	21.5.2001
30.	Bisphenol-A	Orders sustained.	—
31.	Citric Acid	Orders Sustained.	24.9.2001
32.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric	Orders Sustained.	19.11.2001
33.	Hydroxyl Amine Sulphate	Orders sustained.	12.2.2002
34.	Hot Rolled Coils	Orders sustained.	11.12.2001

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
35.	Theophylline & Caffeine	Orders sustained.	4.3.2002
36.	Potassium Permanganate	Orders modified. Duty for Yunnan Province Factory will be same as other Chinese exporters @ US \$ 440 / MT.	28.10.2002
37.	Strontium Carbonate (China)	Orders of DA modified.	15.11.2002
38.	Vitamin AD3	Orders modified.	2.6.2003
39.	Lead Acid Batteries M/s. Exide India Ltd. Kolkata M/s. Rahimafrooze Batteries Ltd.	Orders of Designated Authority sustained.	3.6.2003
40.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (Korea, Germany) (Mid Term Review)	Orders sustained	8.7.2003
41.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (Mid Term Review) (S. Korea & Germany)	Decided in favour of DA.	13.6.2003
42.	Hexamine M/s. Saudi Formaldehyde Chemical Co. Ltd, Saudi Arabia, M/s. Simalin Chemical Industries and M/s. Rockhard Petro Chemical Ind. (Saudi Arabia & Russia)	Orders of DA modified	6.6.2003
43.	Aniline (EU) Dye Stuffs Manufacturers Assn. Of India & NOCIL	Orders quashed. Anti-dumping duty set aside by CESTAT.	12.9.2003
44.	Hard Ferrite Ring Magnets (China) M/s. Magnates Users Association, Delhi.	Orders of DA modified to extent that the scope of anti-dumping duty narrowed down to only HFRM of Energy Product (BH max) 2.8 MGOe and above including unmagnetised form.	12.9.2003
45.	High Styrene Rubber M/s. Firma Chemiczna Duery Sa, Poland, M/s. Apcotex Lattices Ltd., Mumbai, M/s. Paragon Rubber Ind., M/s. Apar Industries, New Delhi C/ 231,233,234 & 255/ 2002 - AD	Appeals rejected.	16.1.2004
46.	NTCF (Mid Term Review) Association of Synthetic Fibre M/s. Thai Baroda Industries Ltd. C-337 and 382/03-AD	Appeals withdrawn.	9.2.2004
47.	Flexible Slabstock Polyol (Appeal no. C/29/2003) The Dow Chemical Company, USA	Appeal dismissed.	13.10.2003
48.	Biaxially Oriented Poly Propylene film (BOPP film) (C/COD/301/02-AD in C/632/2002-AD) Association of BOPP Mfrs. Assn. C/694/2002-AD M/s. Paper Film & Foil Converters, Mumbai	Taiwan, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Oman, Singapore, Thailand Appeal by Domestic Industry allowed. Anti-dumping duty modified.	1.4.2004
49.	Polyester Staple Fibre M/s. Bombay Dyeing Co. Ltd. M/s. Rajasthan Textiles Association M/s. Indian Spinners Association	Korea, Malaysia, Thailand & Taiwan Appeals of M/s. Indian Spinners Association and M/s. Bombay Dyeing Co. Ltd. allowed. Anti-dumping duty set aside.	30.6.2004

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
50.	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber (Sunset Review) C/687/02 M/s. Puneet Resins Ltd., Mumbai. & M/s. Korea Kumho Petrochemicals, Mumbai	S. Korea & Germany Appeal filed by Korea Kumho Petrochemical Co. Ltd. allowed and other appeals dismissed. Anti-dumping duty on import from M/s. Korea Kumho to be US\$ 106.16/MT on fixed duty basis.	1.7.2004
51.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles M/s. H&R Johnson Ltd.-C/79-AD M/s. Gujarat Ceramics Ltd.-C/342/05-AD	China PR, UAE DGAD orders quashed. Appeal allowed. DGAD final finding set aside. Orders by CESTAT to issue final findings afresh.	19.5.2005
52.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles M/s. H&R Johnson Ltd.-C/79-AD M/s. Gujarat Ceramics Ltd.-C/342/05-AD	China PR, UAE Appeal dismissed. Orders in favour of DGAD.	19.5.2005
53.	Partially Oriented Yarn C/299/2002-AD M/s. PT Polysindo Eka Terkasa, Indonesia.	Taiwan, Thailand, Indonesia & Malaysia Appeal partly allowed. Directions to the DA to reconsider price undertaking offer and take a fresh decision within two months.	14.6.2005
54.	Cold Rolled Flat Products of Stainless Steel C/107,110 & C/127/2003-AD M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd., Haryana M/s. Acerinox SA, Spain M/s. Avesta Polarit Oyj., ABP, Finland	EU, Japan, Canada & USA Appeal dismissed as withdrawn.	21.7.2005
55.	Phenol (Appeal No. C/258, 307,309 & 311/03-AD M/s. Atul Ltd., Gujarat M/s. Alfa Laboratories, Mumbai M/s. Gujaral Organics, Mumbai M/s. Hindustan Organic Chemical Ltd., Mumbai	EU, Singapore, S. Africa Appeal dismissed as withdrawn.	22.7.2005
56.	Lead Acid Batteries-II M/s. CSB Battery Co. Ltd. C/301/2003-AD	Taiwan, Singapore & Hong Kong. Appeal dismissed as withdrawn.	22.7.2005
57.	Compact Fluorescent Lamps (Appeal No. C/108/03-AD) M/s. Linear Technologies India Pvt. Ltd.	China PR and Hong Kong. Appeal dismissed in favour of the DA.	22.7.2005
58.	Poly Iso Butylene M/s. Castrol India Ltd. C/76/2003-AD M/s. Lubrizol (India) Pvt. Ltd. C/41/2003-AD M/s. Kothari Sugars Chem. Ltd., Chennai, C/270/2003-AD M/132/04-AD	EU, Brazil, Japan, Korea, Singapore & Thailand C/41/03 and C/76/03-AD dismissed. Third appeal of domestic industry allowed. Direction to modify Customs notification No. 123/2002 dated 31.12.2002 to impose anti-dumping duty on EU also.	28.7.2005 and 4.8.2005
59.	Forged Rolls C/520/03-AD M/s. Urals Heavy Machine Building Plant, Russia	Russia, Ukraine and Korea Appeal dismissed and DA's finding upheld.	12.8.2005

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
60.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric C/S/1676-77/05-AD C/S/2059/05-AD C/2078/05-AD C/600-601 & 768,769 & 773/05 M/s. Apollo Tyres Ltd., Gujarat, Automotive Tyre manufacturers Association, New Delhi, & J.K. Tyre Company Ltd., Kolkata	China PR Appeals dismissed in the favour of the findings of the Designated Authority.	9.9.2005
61.	EPDM (MTR matter) C/424/04-AD, C/M/620/05-AD M/s. Kumho Polychem Co. Ltd., Herdillia Unimers Ltd., Mumbai Hyundai Petrochemicals, S. Korea, Exxon Chemicals International Service Ltd., Hong Kong.	Korea RP Appeals dismissed as withdrawn.	20.10.2005
62.	Measuring Tapes M/s. Geeta Vinay Impex, Delhi C/543/03 -AD C/S/2163/03-AD C/M/963/05 -AD	China PR Appeal alongwith Misc. application dismissed in favour of DA.	2.12.2005
63.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles M/s. Nitco Tiles Ltd.-C/335/03-AD M/s. Kajaria Ceramics Ltd.-C/367/03-AD M/s.SPL Ltd. New Delhi C/294/03	China PR, UAE Appeal by M/s. SPL, New Delhi was dismissed as withdrawn vide Order dated 17.11.2005.	9.12.2005
64.	Sodium Hydroxide (Caustic Soda) No. C/616,232 & 521/03-AD, C-156, 87, 201 & 194/04-AD M/s Alkali Association M/s Hindustan Lever Limited M/s Nalco.	Korea RP and China PR CESTAT remanded the case for fresh determination of NIP and injury margin by reasonably and equitably distributing the cost of production between chlorine and caustic soda.	23.12.2005

High Court Cases: Judgement issued

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
1.	Optical Fibre M/s. Gujarat Optical Communication Ltd.	Case closed in favour of DA.	15.5.2000
2.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber	Confirmation of levy of duty by Customs.	29.9.2000
3.	Iso Butyl Benzene M/s. Cheminor Drugs Ltd. WP No. 140 and 141 of 2000.	Madras High Court. Case withdrawn by petitioner.	27.1. 2003
4.	Thermal Sensitive Paper	Delhi High Court. Case Dismissed as withdrawn by the petitioner.	6.10.2001
5.	Shew Kumar Agarwal & Ors. 2259/2001	Writ Petition dismissed. All interim orders vacated.	9.1.2002
6.	Potassium Permanganate	Matter dismissed with direction to CEGAT to dispose off the appeal within 8 weeks.	11.12.2001

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
7.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber (Apar Industries Ltd.)	Delhi High Court. Petition withdrawn, matter already sub-judice in Supreme Court.	22.11.2001
8.	Polyester Staple Fibre M/s. Precot Mills Ltd. Misc. Petition No. 50987 & 50988 of 2002 in WP 34250 of 2002	Madras High Court. Case dismissed in the favour of Designated Authority. No injunction granted to the petitioner.	25.9.2002
9.	Compact Fluorescent Lamps M/s. J.G. Impex (P) Ltd., Chennai W.P. No. 40594/2002	Madras High Court. Writ petition dismissed by the High Court.	15.11.2002
10.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles M/s. Surefaces Plus through Shri Dilip Shah SCA/5047/2002	Ahmedabad High Court. Petition withdrawn.	20.12.2002
11.	Float Glass M/s. Mahavir Mirror Industries W.P. No. 44506 & 44507 / 2002 M/s. Navakar Impex W.P. No. 1449 - 1452/2003 WP 5079/2003 M/s. Monika Exim Int. Ltd, Chennai W.P. 3193/2003	Madras High Court. Petitions dismissed in favour of Designated Authority.	4.4.2003
12.	Polyester Staple Fibre M/s. Madura Coats Ltd., W.P. No. 7654 - 57/2001 Association of Synthetic Fibre Industry	Karnataka High Court. Petition withdrawn.	26.3.2003
13.	Styrene Butadiene Rubber M/s. Arihant Co. Ltd.	Judgement in favour of Designated Authority.	
14.	Polyester Staple Fibre M/s. Rajathan Textiles Mills Association & ANR	Jodhpur High Court. Writ dismissed in favour of Designated Authority.	29.5.2002
15.	Theophylline & Caffeine EU Shri Ahimsa Mines & Minerals Ltd. WP No. 4936/2002	Jaipur High Court. Appeal dismissed on the ground that appellants are not aggrieved parties.	11.8.2003
16.	B&W Photographic Paper M/s. Allied Photographics India Ltd.	Chennai High Court. Orders given to clear consignment under bond.	13.8.2003
17.	Coated Paper	Delhi High Court. Writ Petition dismissed.	11.8.2003
18.	Float Glass M/s. Monika EXIM M/s. Mahavir Mirror Industries M/s. Prashant Trading Co. M/s. Navakar Impex Pvt. Ltd., W.A. No. 2819/2003	Madras High Court. Writ petitions dismissed.	29.9.2003
19.	Lead Acid Batteries Shri. Debraj Dey 1023/2001 M/s. Akuram Enterprises, M/s. Exide Industries & M/s. Amara Raja Batteries	China, Korea, Japan & Bangladesh. Calcutta High Court. Writ Petition dismissed.	17.6.2004
20.	Hot Rolled Coils SAIL/Essar Steel (Indofer Society) N.C. Roychoudhury, Advocate	Calcutta High Court. Writ Petition dismissed.	5.12.2003

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
21.	Ball Bearings Polar India Ltd. WP No. 3051/03 Sh. B.M. Chatterjee Sh. Y.R. Mishra	Bombay High Court. Writ Petition withdrawn by the petitioner.	6.4.2004
22.	Vitrified/Porcelain Tiles M/s. H&R Johnson (I) Ltd. v/s. UOI WP No. 1779/2003 (New Shipper Review)	China PR, UAE Judgement in favour of the DA.	2.12.2003
23.	Lead Acid Batteries M/s. Exide Industries Ltd., Kolkata MAT No. 2040 of 2004	China PR, Korea, Japan & Bangladesh High Court of Calcutta. Appeal by DA upheld by 2 - judge bench.	7.7.2004
24.	NTCF SCA No. 8747/2004 M/s. Apollo Tyres Ltd. Vs UOI & Others	China PR High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad. Petition disposed with certain observation for the petitioners. Decision in favour of DA.	20.7.2004
25.	B & W Photographic Paper WP C 5567/2001 M/s. Agfa Gevaert A.G. & M/s. Agfa India Pvt. Ltd.	U.K., Hungary & France Delhi High Court. Dismissed the petition by DGAD on the ground that alternative remedy is available.	4.10.2004
26.	Vitrified/Porcelain Tiles (NSR) Gujarat Ceramic Floor Tiles Manufacturers Association CA No. 9047/04 (New Shipper Review)	China PR, UAE Ahmedabad High Court. Stay granted. SLP filed against that stay has been disposed off by Supreme Court in favour of the DA.	5.10.2004
27.	Vitamin -A Palmitate (Mid term Review) WP No. 585 of 2005 Ms. Nicholas Piramal India Ltd. Bombay High Court. Petitioner advised to file Appeal in CESTAT.	EU, Georgia & Singapore	18.3.2005
28.	Lead Acid Batteries	China PR, Korea RP, Japan and Bangladesh Kolkata High Court. Petitioner withdrew the appeal.	3.3.2005
29.	NTCF CWP MP/548/2005 JK Industries Ltd. v/s. Union of India	China PR Jodhpur High Court Petition dismissed in favour of the UOI.	
30.	Potassium Permanganate M/s. Universal Chemicals & Industries Ltd., v/s DA, DGAD	China PR, Hong Kong, Chinese Taipei Delhi High Court. Petition disposed off. Direction to DA to issue fresh disclosure within 8 weeks.	14.3.2005
31.	Pentaerythritol-II WP No. (L) 828/05 & 857 of 2005 M/s. Perstrop Aegies Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai M/s. Kanoria Chemicals	China PR and Sweden Bombay High Court Petition dismissed in favour of DA.	6.5.2005

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
32.	Certain Compressors WP No. 8177/2004 M/s. Electrolux Kelvinator Ltd. v/s. UOI & Ors.	China PR, Malaysia Jaipur High Court. Writ petition dismissed in favour of DGAD. Stay also quashed.	9.5.2005
33.	Hot Rolled Coils, Strips, Sheets & Plates - II Mahalakshmi Agencies & another CWJC No. 13567 of 2003	S. Africa, Romania, Venezuela, Netherlands, S. Arabia, U.K., Australia, Canada, Kazakhstan & Singapore. Patna High Court. Case handled by DGFT. Petition withdrawn.	
34.	Compact Fluorescent Lamps M/s. Navakar Impex (Anil Impex) WP (C) 15113/2004 M/s. Sark International	China PR and Hong Kong Delhi High Court. Hon'ble Court directed the petitioner to appeal before the CESTAT. Appeals dismissed.	4.4.2005
35.	Cold Rolled Flat Products of Stainless Steel WP 7799/2005 M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd. Haryana	EU, Japan, Canada & USA Delhi High Court. HC did not give stay to the petitioner on initiation of the MTR. Allowed time to petitioner to give reply to the Designated Authority. (Full copy of order not received.)	3.5.2005
36.	Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric-II WP No. 19896/2005 M/s. Apollo Tyres Ltd., Cochin. Kerala High Court.	China PR Directions to DA to grant hearing to the petitioners. Orders set aside by Supreme Court on 22.8.2005	12.7.2005
37.	Oxo Alcohols WP No. 7851-52/2004 M/s. Andhra Petrochemicals v/s. DA W.P. as well as applications dismissed as withdrawn.	Poland, Korea, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Russia, Iran, USA and EU High Court of Delhi.	26.10.2005

Supreme Court Cases: Judgement issued


S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
1.	Catalyst	Reversed the decision of CEGAT in DA's favour.	27.7.2000
2.	Ethylene Propylene Diene Rubber (Japan)	Case of the petitioner dismissed since inadequate.	24.1.2001
3.	Hot Rolled Coils	Remanded to CEGAT to consider the case afresh in light of Catalysts case decision of SC.	31.7.2001
4.	Fused Magnesia Department of Commerce v/s M/s. Birla Periclase & M/s. Indian Refractory Makers Association	Case decided in favour of Designated Authority. However, Court directed DGAD to complete Mid Term Review by 11.6.2003.	5.3.2003
5.	Optical Fibre Designated Authority Vs M/s. Sterlite Industries Ltd. against CEGAT judgement	CESTAT order set aside and Appeals remitted to CESTAT for decision on merits.	25.11.03

S.No.	Item	Decision	Date of Decision
6.	Purified Terephthalic Acid Designated Authority v/s. M/s. Reliance Industries Ltd.	S. Korea SLP has become infructuous due to discontinuation of duty in SSR.	2.12.2003
7.	Strontium Carbonate DA V/s. Videocon	SLP dismissed as anti-dumping duty was withdrawn in mid term review.	8.12.2003
8.	Lead Acid Batteries-II Shenyang Matsushita Storage Battery Co. Ltd. v/s. M/s. Exide Industries Ltd. & Ors. Civil Appeal No. 6371 of 2003 China PR, Korea, Japan & Bangladesh	Supreme Court has decided in favour of Designated Authority.	22.2.2005
9.	Lead Acid Batteries-II Civil Appeal No. 9012 of 2003 M/s. S & S Enterprises v/s. Designated Authority.	Supreme Court has accepted the appeal and set aside the orders of CESTAT and the Designated Authority.	22.2.2005
10.	Vitrified Porcelain Tiles H.R. Johnson v/s. DA SLP No. -24045/2003 M/s. Nitco Tiles Ltd. etc v/s The Gujarat Ceramic Floor Tiles Mfrs. Assn. & Ors CA 1091/2005, 1093/2005 (New Shipper Review)	Supreme Court disposed off the appeal and directed the domestic industry and the interested parties to approach CESTAT	9.2.2005

3.4.7 YEAR-WISE BREAK-UP OF ANTI-DUMPING CASES

Year	Number of cases initiated	No. of definitive measures imposed	No. of measures in force as on 31.12.05
1992	2	2	0
1993	0	0	0
1994	6	6	3
1995	4	4	1
1996	7	6	3
1997	7	7	2
1998	13	9	2
1999	23	22	8
2000	22	18	13
2001	33	29	23
2002	31	25	21
2003	19	14	14
2004	10	6	6
2005 (upto 31.12.2005)	11	0	0
TOTAL	188	148	96

Year-wise Break-up of Anti-Dumping Cases



Country	Number of cases initiated
China PR	88
EU (including 25 member countries)	57
Chinese Taipei	32
Korea RP	31
Japan	22
USA	23
Singapore	19
Indonesia	17
Thailand	17
Russia	14
Brazil	9
Iran	7
Malaysia	9
Hong Kong	6
South Africa	6
Ukraine	6
Canada	5
Saudi Arabia	5
UAE	5
Others (includes 16 countries)	

3.4.8 COUNTRY-WISE BREAKUP OF ANTI-DUMPING CASES

S. No.	Country	Investigations initiated	Investigation closed after initiation	Under investigation	Anti-dumping duty not recommended/ withdrawn/ lapsed	Measures in force
1	China PR	88	3	11	29	44
2	EU (including 25 member countries)	57	3	2	29	22
3	Chinese Taipei	32	0	2	18	13
4	Korea RP	31	0	2	15	14
5	Japan	22	0	0	12	10

S. No.	Country	Investigations initiated	Investigation closed after initiation	Under investigation	Anti-dumping duty not recommended/ withdrawn/ lapsed	Measures in force
6	USA	23	1	1	10	11
7	Singapore	19	3	0	8	8
8	Indonesia	17	1	2	7	7
9	Thailand	17	1	2	9	5
10	Russia	14	0	0	9	5
11	Brazil	9	0	2	3	4
12	Iran	7	1	0	2	4
13	Malaysia	9	0	2	4	3
14	Hong Kong	6	0	0	5	1
15	South Africa	6	1	0	1	4
16	Ukraine	6	0	1	3	2
17	Canada	5	1	0	3	1
19	Saudi Arabia	5	1	0	1	3
20	UAE	5	0	0	1	4
21	Turkey	4	0	0	1	3
22	Kazakhstan	3	1	0	2	0
23	Mexico	3	0	0	2	1
24	Macedonia	2	0	0	1	1
25	Nepal	2	0	0	0	2
26	Australia	1	1	0	0	0
27	Bangladesh	1	0	0	1	0
28	Belarus	1	0	0	0	1
29	Bulgaria	1	0	0	1	0
30	Georgia	1	0	0	1	0
31	Nigeria	1	0	0	0	1
32	Oman	1	0	0	0	1
33	Philippines	1	0	0	1	0
34	Qatar	1	0	0	0	1
35	New Zealand	1	1	0	0	0
36	Venezuela	1	1	0	0	0

Chapter-4

Interaction & Facilitation During 2005-06

4

INTERACTION & FACILITATION DURING 2005-06

Anti-dumping and countervailing measures are trade defence instruments that may be used by member countries to deal with the import of goods that are engaged in unfair competition. These measures allow importing members to provide their domestic industries with a level playing field against fluctuations in trading patterns due to import of dumped/subsidized goods. These policy instruments have found wide acceptance among the WTO members.

In an anti-dumping investigation, a member nation imposes an anti-dumping duty against imported goods only when the export prices are lower than normal values and when a causal link is established between the dumping and the injury suffered by the competing domestic industry. Therefore, a detailed investigation has to be conducted within the specified parameters laid down in the national legislation of India which is in consonance with the WTO Agreement on Anti Dumping (ADA). As a trade remedial measure, these investigations involve intricate issues and the procedures required to be followed are both complex and elaborate in nature. The results of an investigation would have an important bearing not only on the trade of the particular commodity/like article (which is the subject matter of an investigation) but also on the various interested parties whether domestic industry, user industry/association or the concerned exporters.

A countervailing duty may be imposed not exceeding the amount of a subsidy which is bestowed directly or indirectly by any country or territory, upon the manufacture or production therein or exportation therefrom of any article into India. Sections 9, 9B and 9C of the Customs Tariff Act (as amended in 1995 and thereafter) framed in pursuance of the WTO Agreement on SCM form the legal basis for Subsidies and Countervailing Measures investigations. The Customs Tariff (Identification, Assessment and Collection of Countervailing Duty on Subsidised Articles and for Determination of Injury) Rules, 1995 contain the operational provisions.

To enhance transparency and bring about greater predictability and awareness, the domestic and user industries are required to be enlightened regarding the data required to be filed in a fully documented application and in response to the importers questionnaire before the Designated Authority. Information was disseminated on the various concepts and legal and operational aspects of trade remedial measures as well as on the administrative mechanism available in India. This was done through various meetings and interactive sessions with different segments of industry and other organisations in the course of 2005-06 as detailed below.

4.1 Visits of Foreign Delegations

A meeting was held with Chinese delegation headed by Mr. Huang Yong Shi, Deputy Director General, Department of Foreign Trade and Economic Cooperation, Huandong Province on 1st July 2005 with DGAD officers. Meeting was chaired by Director (MB). During the meeting, information was exchanged on trade trends on India's Anti-dumping Legislation, procedures and organisation set up for trade remedies.

4.2 Seminars and Workshops

- (a) The DGAD participated in several interactive sessions with various chambers of commerce and industry, academic and research institutions, manufacturers' associations, training institutions etc. for disseminating information and issuing clarifications on trade remedial measures.
- (b) A workshop on proposed amendments in Customs Tariff Act and CVD Rules was jointly organised by Trade Policy Division and Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties on 9th September 2005 at the Taj Mahal Hotel, New Delhi. Around 50 persons attended the Workshop which included advocates, officers from the Ministry of External Affairs, Department of Revenue, Department of

Legal Affairs, etc.

- (c) An International Trade Remedy Seminar was held on 2nd June 2005 in Seoul, Korea. This Seminar was attended by Shri A.K. Gautam, Director, in the DGAD, Department of Commerce.
- (d) The DGAD has been holding seminars/conferences at important cities in the country to sensitize and familiarize the domestic industry/exporter/user industry with the policy framework and procedural aspects of anti-dumping. With a view to disseminate correct information on anti-dumping, the DGAD organised seminars at important cities such as Ludhiana (19.9.2005) and Kolkata (8.4.2005).

Seminars organised by DGAD in different cities:

(i) Seminar at Ludhiana

The Seminar commenced with inaugural welcome address by Shri R K Dhawan, Chairman, Federation of Indian Export Organisation (FIEO), New Delhi. During his welcome address, he said that the removal of quantitative restrictions and reduction in customs duties has posed a major challenge to Indian manufacturing sector. He added that Indian industry has exhibited its potential in successfully countering the threats that Indian market would be flooded with foreign made goods. Shri V K Gupta, Regional DGFT, welcomed the delegates on behalf of DGFT. He described in detail the historical importance of the place and its future potential in terms of foreign trade.

Dr Christy Fernandez, Additional Secretary and Designated Authority, during his inaugural and keynote address at the seminar, said that dumping of goods/products at cheaper prices is not bad until it affects the industry as a whole. He said that it is the lack of awareness about anti-dumping and anti-subsidy amongst industrialists which should be shunned. However, he said that no anti-dumping duty can be imposed against any exporter, until and unless it is proved that dumping has taken place and it is causing an injury to Indian industry and there is a linkage between dumped imports and injury to the domestic industry.

Lauding the role of exporters in building the Indian economy, he said "these are the exporters whose efforts/exports bring laurels to Indian economy and the country as a whole". He said that the Indian exporters are no way second to none in the world and they would get all the possible support from the concerned department. He said that the main

purpose of the seminar is to have close interaction with various stake holders so that various issues concerning anti dumping are disseminated with trade and industry.

The technical session was taken up by officials of DGAD. The speakers spoke about the key concepts, procedures and practices with regards to Anti Dumping investigations in India, the injury margin and methods of determination of Non injurious price of the domestic industry. Among others who spoke during technical session included Mr. Ajay Sahai, Acting Director General, FIEO who covered the subject of Subsidies and Countervailing duties and its related key concepts including compatibility of various export promotion schemes with Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measurement (ASCM).

There was a Question Answer session following the presentations. Questions were asked regarding practices of the Authority, initiation of Anti dumping investigations, interests of the importers and easy communication (by Website or Email) with the Authority. Members of Polyester filament yarn and Acrylic Yarn Industry amongst others actively participated during the interactive session.

(ii) Seminar at Kolkata

The seminar at Kolkata was held on 08/04/2005 which was jointly organized by FICCI (Federation of Indian Chamber of Commerce & Industry) and DGAD (Directorate General of Anti-Dumping and Allied Duties) in collaboration with export promotion councils. The seminar was attended by officials of DGAD, officials of DGFT and officials of West Bengal apart from participants from industries. Prof B.S.Chimni, Vice Chancellor of West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences inaugurated the seminar and delivered his inaugural key note address. In his key note address he urged the industry and the exporters to achieve scale-economies and world class production efficiency to remain competitive in the globalised economy. He also cautioned the participants that while the trade remedial instruments can address their concern against unfair trade but these instruments will provide a temporarily relief. He mentioned that though the law relating to the anti dumping has been in place since 1985, today India is one of the major players among the WTO Member countries, using as well as facing anti dumping measures. With the globalisation of trade and opening of economic boundaries, reduction in import tariffs, anti dumping is one of the weapons in the armoury to fight unfair competition. Therefore, this kind of seminars was imperative to disseminate information relating to anti dumping laws, practices and procedure. Shri Manav Majumdar, FICCI, delivering his welcome address,

emphasised the importance of trade defence measures. He also mentioned that in the backdrop of economic liberalisation and the fact that the domestic industry is currently in the state of adjusting itself to a free regime, there is a need to be vigilant as the sheer size of the domestic market can be a temptation for exporting nations to indulge in unfair trade practices. After the inaugural session, technical sessions having two presentations by the officials of the DGAD and speakers from industry deliberated on different aspects of anti dumping & allied matters.

4.3 Facilitation

- (a) The DGAD has looked forward to cooperation from all interested parties in providing the requisite information within the stipulated time and in assisting the Directorate in conducting the investigations in a fair and time bound manner. Towards this end, the office of DGAD can be contacted if any clarification and/or assistance in furnishing the information in the prescribed manner is required either by domestic industry, user industry or exporters.
- (b) The Authority is required to provide timely opportunities to all interested parties to see all information that is relevant to the presentation of their cases, which is not confidential and which is used by the Authority in an anti-dumping investigation. An Initiation Notification states that in terms of Rule 6(7), any interested party may inspect the Public File containing non-confidential version of the evidence submitted by other interested parties. A Public File is maintained by the DGAD and kept open for inspection from the date of the initiation. It contains all non-confidential submissions made by interested parties, which includes copies of the non-confidential application, non-confidential versions of exporters and importers responses to their respective questionnaires, etc.
- (c) The right of inspection of documents is conferred on all the interested parties that includes

complainants, foreign producers/exporters, importers, users and other interested parties. They may inspect these documents personally or through authorised representatives.

4.4 Publications

- (a) For the use of industry associations and individual manufacturers interested in understanding the trade remedial measures, a booklet on 'Anti-Dumping and Anti-Subsidy Measures' in the form of answers to frequently asked questions (FAQs) and a Guide on anti-dumping in which the essential concepts have been explained were published. The revised Exporters Questionnaire is available on the DGAD website of the Ministry of Commerce.
- (b) The Annual Report of the Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties is a publication that contains useful information on legal framework, details of cases, analysis of cases, interaction and facilitation and general information. The Report also provides information on anti-dumping and anti-subsidy cases against exports from India. Two useful booklets like (i) Compendium on Laws & Regulations on Anti-dumping containing relevant provisions on anti-dumping under the Customs Tariff Act, Anti-dumping Rules and the Agreement on implementation of Article VI GATT, 1994 and (ii) Anti-dumping cases in India-Product and Profiles have been published this year.

4.5 Website

The anti-dumping guidelines, application proforma for the domestic industry, questionnaire for importers and exporters and the details of initiation of anti-dumping investigation, preliminary findings and final findings are available on the official web-site viz., <http://commerce.nic.in>. The answers to frequently asked questions on anti-dumping and anti-subsidy measures are also available on this website. The FAQs consist of, inter alia, the meanings of the different concepts, the anti-dumping procedure and miscellaneous matters.

Chapter-5

WTO- Negotiations

5

WTO- NEGOTIATIONS

The Ministerial Declaration adopted on 14th November 2001 in Doha stated the work programme in respect of WTO Rules in paragraph 28 & 29 as under:

"28. In the light of experience and of the increasing application of these instruments by members, we agree to negotiations aimed at clarifying and improving disciplines under the Agreements on Implementation of Article VI of the GATT 1994 and on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, while preserving the basic concepts, principles and effectiveness of these Agreements and their instruments and objectives, and taking into account the needs of developing and least-developed participants. In the initial phase of the negotiations, participants will indicate the provisions, including disciplines on trade distorting practices, that they seek to clarify and improve in the subsequent phase. In the context of these negotiations, participants shall also aim to clarify and improve WTO disciplines on fisheries subsidies, taking into account the importance of this sector to developing countries. We note that fisheries subsidies are also referred to in paragraph 31.

29. We also agree to negotiations aimed at clarifying and improving disciplines and procedures under the existing WTO provisions applying to regional trade agreements. The negotiations shall take into account the developmental aspects of regional trade agreements."

As a part of the Doha Work Programme, the WTO Negotiating Group on Rules has been considering proposals from various WTO members to clarify and improve existing disciplines of the Anti Dumping Agreement and Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (ASCM). The Negotiating Group on Rules held meetings in the months of April, May-June, July, September, October and November 2005 to discuss various proposals furnished by the Members. In the area of anti-dumping, the Negotiating Group on Rules has been discussing proposals on such issues as determinations of injury/causation, the lesser

duty rule, public interest, transparency and due process, interim reviews, sunset, duty assessment, circumvention, the use of facts available, limited examination and all others rates, dispute settlement, the definition of dumped imports, affiliated parties, product under consideration, and the initiation and completion of investigations.

In respect of subsidies and countervailing measures, proposals have been submitted on a number of issues, including the definition of a subsidy, specificity, prohibited subsidies, serious prejudice, export credits and guarantees, and the allocation of benefit.

The proposals generally seek to strengthen disciplines in these two agreements. India has also made four submissions thus far, including a detailed textual proposal submitted in early 2005 on the mandatory application of Lesser Duty Rule.

As regards fisheries subsidies, there is a broad agreement that the Group should strengthen disciplines on subsidies in the fisheries sector, including through the prohibition of certain forms of fisheries subsidies that contribute to overcapacity and over-fishing. Appropriate and effective special and differential treatment for developing and least-developed Members should be an integral part of the fisheries subsidies negotiations, taking into account the importance of this sector to development priorities, poverty reduction, and livelihood and food security concerns;

The Ministerial Declaration of the 6th WTO Ministerial Conference held at Hong Kong in December 2005 recalled the mandates in paragraphs 28 & 29 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration and reaffirmed the commitment to the negotiations on rules as set forth in Annex D of the Declaration (Annexure-I).

In the forthcoming meetings of the Negotiating Group on Rules, the negotiating process may intensify and accelerate on the basis of detailed textual proposals before the Group.

ANNEX D

RULES

I. Anti-Dumping and Subsidies and Countervailing Measures including Fisheries Subsidies

We:

1. *acknowledge* that the achievement of substantial results on all aspects of the Rules mandate, in the form of amendments to the Anti-Dumping (AD) and Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM) Agreements, is important to the development of the rules-based multilateral trading system and to the overall balance of results in the DDA (Doha Development Agenda);
2. *aim* to achieve in the negotiations on Rules further improvements, in particular, to the transparency, predictability and clarity of the relevant disciplines, to the benefit of all Members, including in particular developing and least-developed Members. In this respect, the development dimension of the negotiations must be addressed as an integral part of any outcome;
3. *call on* Participants, in considering possible clarifications and improvements in the area of anti-dumping, to take into account, *inter alia*, (a) the need to avoid the unwarranted use of anti-dumping measures, while preserving the basic concepts, principles and effectiveness of the instrument and its objectives where such measures are warranted; and (b) the desirability of limiting the costs and complexity of proceedings for interested parties and the investigating authorities alike, while strengthening the due process, transparency and predictability of such proceedings and measures;
4. *consider* that negotiations on anti-dumping should, as appropriate, clarify and improve the rules regarding, *inter alia*, (a) determinations of dumping, injury and causation, and the application of measures; (b) procedures governing the initiation, conduct and completion of antidumping investigations, including with a view to strengthening due process and enhancing transparency; and (c) the level, scope and duration of measures, including duty assessment, interim and new shipper reviews, sunset, and anti-circumvention proceedings;
5. *recognize* that negotiations on anti-dumping have intensified and deepened, that Participants are showing a high level of constructive engagement, and that the process of rigorous discussion of the issues based on specific textual proposals for amendment to the AD Agreement has been productive and is a necessary step in achieving the substantial results to which Ministers are committed;
6. *note* that, in the negotiations on anti-dumping, the Negotiating Group on Rules has been discussing in detail proposals on such issues as determinations of injury/causation, the lesser duty rule, public interest, transparency and due process, interim reviews, sunset, duty assessment, circumvention, the use of facts available, limited examination and all others rates, dispute settlement, the definition of dumped imports, affiliated parties, product under consideration, and the initiation and completion of investigations, and that this process of discussing proposals before the Group or yet to be submitted will continue after Hong Kong;
7. *note*, in respect of subsidies and countervailing measures, that while proposals for amendments to the SCM Agreement have been submitted on a number of issues, including the definition of a subsidy, specificity, prohibited subsidies, serious

prejudice, export credits and guarantees, and the allocation of benefit, there is a need to deepen the analysis on the basis of specific textual proposals in order to ensure a balanced outcome in all areas of the Group's mandate;

8. *note* the desirability of applying to both anti-dumping and countervailing measures any clarifications and improvements which are relevant and appropriate to both instruments;

9. *recall* our commitment at Doha to enhancing the mutual supportiveness of trade and environment, note that there is broad agreement that the Group should strengthen disciplines on subsidies in the fisheries sector, including through the prohibition of certain forms of fisheries subsidies that contribute to overcapacity and over-fishing, and *call on* Participants promptly to undertake further detailed work to, inter alia, establish the nature and extent of those disciplines, including transparency and enforceability. Appropriate and effective special and

differential treatment for developing and least-developed Members should be an integral part of the fisheries subsidies negotiations, taking into account the importance of this sector to development priorities, poverty reduction, and livelihood and food security concerns;

10. *direct* the Group to intensify and accelerate the negotiating process in all areas of its mandate, on the basis of detailed textual proposals before the Group or yet to be submitted, and complete the process of analysing proposals by Participants on the AD and SCM Agreements as soon as possible;

11. *mandate* the Chairman to prepare, early enough to assure a timely outcome within the context of the 2006 end date for the Doha Development Agenda and taking account of progress in other areas of the negotiations, consolidated texts of the AD and SCM Agreements that shall be the basis for the final stage of the negotiations.

Chapter-6

General Information

6

GENERAL INFORMATION


6.1 Introduction

The Directorate General of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties (DGAD) was established in April, 1998. However, the Designated Authority in the Department of Commerce has been handling anti-dumping cases since 1992. The DGAD is headed by the Designated Authority, an officer of the level of Additional Secretary to the Government of India, who is assisted by an Adviser and an Additional Economic Adviser. There are 7 investigating officers and 5 officers dealing with costing. Besides, there is one Section, which looks after coordination, administration and other matters of the Directorate. Generally, the investigating officers are drawn from Indian Trade Service

and the costing officers from the Indian Cost & Accounts Service.

An effort has been made in the following paragraphs to disseminate information on the organisational set up of the DGAD; to publish the names, telephone numbers and e-mail addresses of the officers; provide addresses of the Directorate General of Foreign Trade (DGFT) Port Offices which are used by the DGAD as communication channels between the Directorate and the Industry; furnish names and addresses of the advocates on the panel of DGAD to defend cases in the Customs Excise & Service Tax Tribunal (CESTAT) and those advocates and consultants who appear before the DGAD in anti-dumping investigations.

6.2 Organisational Set-up of the Directorate General of Anti-dumping & Allied Duties (DGAD)



6.3 Officers in the Directorate General of Anti-dumping and Allied Duties

SL. NO.	NAME OF THE OFFICER AND DESIGNATION	E-MAIL ADDRESS / TELEPHONE NO.
1.	Dr. Christy L. Fernandez, Additional Secretary & Designated Authority	christy.f@ub.nic.in 23061377
2.	Shri M.S. Rao, Joint Secretary (Since resigned w.e.f. 4.1.2006)	msrao@ub.nic.in 2306 3461
3.	Shri P.K. Mahapatra, Joint Secretary Joined w.e.f. 03.02.2006	pkmahapatra@nic.in 2306 3461
4.	Shri Manas Kumar Ghosh Adviser (Cost)	Mk.ghosh@nic.in 23062517
5.	Shri A.K. Parashar Additional Economic Adviser	ak.parashar@nic.in 23063265
6.	Shri A.K. Gautam Director (Cost)	jd_cost@ub.nic.in 23063716
7.	Shri Mukesh Bhatnagar Director	mbhatnagar@ub.nic.in 23062593
8.	Shri N.P.S. Monga Director	npsmonga@ub.nic.in 23062527
9.	Shri Ved Prakash Director	vedprakash@ub.nic.in 23063268
10.	Shri A.K. Choudhary Director	akchoudhary@ub.nic.in 23061274
11.	Ms. Pushpa Thottan Director	pushpa.thottan@nic.in 23061090
12.	Shri Satish Kumar Joint Director	satishk@ub.nic.in 23062863
13.	Shri S.S. Das Joint Director	ssdas@ub.nic.in 23061845
14.	Shri M.K. Anand, Joint Director (Cost)	Mkanand@ub.nic.in 23063960
15.	Shri Rajeev Jain Joint Director (Cost) - on loan basis	anmol_me@yahoo.co.in 23061732
16.	Shri G. G. Mitra Deputy Director (Cost)	m.gobinda@nic.in 23061732
17.	Shri Rajiv Wadhawan Asst. Director (Cost)	adcost@nic.in 23061732
18.	Shri R. Sunder Section Officer - since transferred	moc-antidump@ub.nic.in 23062261 Extension 557
19.	Shri V.K. Kapur Section Officer	moc-antidump@ub.nic.in 23062261 Extension 557

6.4 DGFT Port Offices Providing Communication Channels between the Industry & the DGAD on Anti-dumping Matters

<p>AHMEDABAD The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, A Block, 11th Floor, Govt. Multistoried Building, Lal Darwaja, Ahmedabad-3800 01 Fax No. 0272-5506791 Tele 0272-5506791, E-mail address: jdgftahd@guj1.guj.nic.in</p>	<p>AMRITSAR The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, CBR Building, The Mall, Amritsar-143 001 Phone No.0183-2506403, 2507403 Fax No.0183-2506403 E-mail address: rjdgftasr@hotmail.com</p>
<p>BANGALORE The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, C & E Wing, 6th Floor, Kendriya Sadan, 17th Main, 2nd Block, Koramangala, Bangalore-560034 Phone No.080-25537214 Fax No.080-25537214 E-mail address: bngdgtf@kar.nic.in</p>	<p>BHOPAL The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 3rd Floor, Nirman Sadan, 52 A, Area Hills, (behind Government Press), Bhopal-462 011 Phone No.0755-2553323 Fax No.0755-2553303 E-mail address: dgftbpl@mp.nic.in</p>
<p>CHANDIGARH The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, SCO-288, Sector 35-D, Chandigarh-160 023 Phone No.0172-2602314 Fax No.0172-2648661 E-mail address: snbansal@ub.nic.in</p>	<p>CHENNAI The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 38 & 39, White's Road, Royapettah, Chennai-600 014 Phone No.044-28523377/28523367/28550527 Fax No.044-28523529 E-mail address: aec@aec-ch.tn.nic.in :zjdgft@aec-ch.tn.nic.in</p>
<p>COCHIN The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, H.No.36/862, A-Chittor Road (Opp. To SRVLP School), P.B. No. 1129 Ernakulam, Cochin-682 011. FAX:Phone No.(0484) 377760 E-mail address: dgftchn@ker.nic.in</p>	<p>COIMBATORE The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 1544, India Life Building, (Annex. 1st Floor), Tirchy Road, Coimbatore-611018 Fax:Phone No.0422-2300846/2300947 E-mail address: jddgft@koyal.tn.nic.in</p>
<p>CUTTACK The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Maitri Vihar, Link Road, Cuttack-753 012 Phone No.0671-23311596/2334034 Fax No.0671-2311596 E-mail address: jdgftctc@hotmail.com</p>	<p>GOA The Dy. Director General of Foreign Trade, Ashirwad Building, 18th June Road, Santa Inoz, Panaji-408 001 Goa Fax:Phone No.0832-2224968 E-mail address: jdgftgoa@hotmail.com</p>
<p>GAUHATI The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, R.B. Baruah, Road, Gauhati-781024 Phone No.0361-562583 E-mail address: jdgftnel@sify.com</p>	<p>HYDERABAD The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Kendriya Sadan, 1st Floor, Vth Block, Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad-500 095 Phone No.040-24651598/24732980/24653869 Fax No.040-24651598 E-mail :jdgft@ap.nic.in, jdgftthyd@hotmail.com</p>

<p>JAIPUR The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Udyog Bhavan, IIIrd Floor, Tilak Marg, Jaipur-302 005, Rajasthan FAX:Phone No.0141-2380604,2227539,2227590 E-mail address:jd_dgft@raj.nic.in</p>	<p>JAMMU The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 149 A, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu Fax: Phone No.0191-2435834, 2438048 E-mail address:jdgftjammu@yahoo.com</p>
<p>KOLKATA The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 4, Esplanade East, Kolkata-700 069 Phone No.033-22485892/22481228/22486831 Fax No.033-22485892 E-mail address:jdgftkol@nic.in</p>	<p>KANPUR The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 117/L-444, Kakadeo, Kanpur-208 025 Phone No.0512-2501344/2250352 Fax No.0512-2501344 E-mail address:dgftkan@sify.com</p>
<p>LUDHIANA The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 6-IV-C, Green fields, Near Shakti Nagar Chowk, Ludhiana -141002 Phone No.0161-2430474, 2401754 Fax No.0161-2421280 E-mail address:dgftldh@chd.nic.in</p>	<p>MUMBAI The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Central Govt. Office, New Building, South East Wing, New Marine Lines, Churchgate, Mumbai-400 020. Phone No.022-22016421 Fax No.022-22063438 E-mail address:zjdgft@dgftmum.bom.nic.in</p>
<p>MADURAI The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 117, 1st Main Road, K.K. Nagar, Madurai-625 020 Fax:Phone No.0452-2586485 E-mail address:jdgft-mdu@yahoo.com</p>	<p>MORADABAD The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 110-111, 1st Floor, Parvasnath Plaza, Majhola, Delhi Road, Moradabad-244 103 Phone No.0591-2487447/2488221 Fax No.0591-2487447 E-mail address:jdgftmbd@hotmail.com</p>
<p>NEW DELHI The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Central Licensing Area, B.K. Roy Court, 6-7, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi-110 002 Phone No. 011-23231694/23234935 Fax No.011-23234265 E-mail address:jdgftcla@hotmail.com</p>	<p>PONDICHERRY The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 205, Bharathi Street, Post Box No.14, Pondicherry-605 001 Phone No. 0413-36311/36216 Fax No.0413-36311 E-mail address :jdgft@pondy.pon.nic.in</p>
<p>PANIPAT The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 416-L, Model Town, Panipat, Hariyana Phone No.0180-2650984, 2651427 Fax No.0180-2650710 E-mail address:jdgftpnp@sify.com</p>	<p>PUNE The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, C Block, PMT Commercial Complex, Shankarsheth Road, Swargate, P.B. No. 1623 Pune-411037 Phone No.020-44441577, 4449598 Fax No.020-4441577 E-mail address:jdgft_pune@hotmail.com</p>
<p>PATNA The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Biscommoun Bhawan (Ground Floor), Patna-800 001 Phone No. 0612 - 2231326/2212052 Fax No.0612-2231326 E-mail address:jdgft@bih.nic.in</p>	<p>RAJKOT The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Amruta Estate (Jhansi Building), 4th Floor, M.G. Road, Near Girnar Cinema, Rajkot-360 001 Phone No. 0281-2458416/2458417 Fax No.0281-2458414 E-mail address: jdgftraj@hotmail.com</p>

<p>SHILLONG The Dy. Director General of Foreign Trade, Morollo Building, Shillong-793001 Fax:Phone No.0361-2223360 E-mail address:dgftshil@shillong.meg.nic.in</p>	<p>SURAT The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 634-636, Belgium Tower, Ring Road, Surat-395 003 Fax:Phone No. 0261-2452216/2423381 E-mail address: dgftsur@guj.nic.in</p>
<p>VARANASI The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, B-38/1-A-2, Tulsipur, Mehmoorganj, Varanasi Phone No. 0542-362957/361907 Fax No.0542-362957 E-mail address:jdgft@varanasi.up.nic.in</p>	<p>VISHAKHAPATNAM The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, Udyog Bhawan, A Block, Siripuram Junction, Vishakhapatnam-530 016 Fax:Phone No.0891-2747146 E-mail address:jdgft@itpvis.ap.nic.in</p>
<p>VADODARA The Joint Director General of Foreign Trade, 901-902, E Block, 9th Floor, Kuber Bhavan, Kothi Compound, Vadodara-390 001 Phone No. 0265-2428789/2429368 Fax No.0265-2428789 E-mail address:jdgft@satyam.net.in :jdgftvad@hotmail.com</p>	

*Note: For updated position may like to verify from
www.dgft.delhi.nic.in*

6.5 List of Government Advocates on the Panel of DGAD Appearing before the CESTAT

Senior Government Advocates

S No.	Name & add. of the advocates	Telephones/Fax No.	E-mail address
1.	Shri A.K. Ganguly Sr. Government Counsel B-20, Sector-26 Noida	95120-2522104 95120-2552820 9810052820 Fax: 95120-2552820	akganguly@vsnl.com
2.	Shri Dhruv Mehta Sr. Government Counsel Chamber No. 33, Supreme Court New Delhi	(R) 26418964/23384389 26479001 9811031512	dhruvmehta@vsnl.net
3.	Shri Jaideep Gupta Sr. Government Counsel X-4, Green Park, New Delhi	26107867 26526427 9811045435	jaideepgupta@bsnl.com
4.	Shri Krishnan Venugopal; Sr. Government Counsel A-144, Niti Bagh, New Delhi-110049	26855666 26562246 9810073666 Fax : 26864266	krishna@theworld.com
5.	Shri M. Chandrasekharan Sr. Government Counsel 46-Bank Enclave, Laxmi Nagar, New Delhi	22243574 22459166 Fax No. 2243574	charishankar@yahoo.com
6.	Shri Inderbir Singh Alag, Sr. Government Counsel A- 238, Defence Colony, New Delhi-110024.	24333404, 24653136 24333204 9810084285 Resi. 24332258 Fax : 24333408	legalaide@mdiffmail.com

Junior Government Advocates

S No.	Name & add. of the advocates	Telephones/Fax No.	E-mail address
1.	Shri Sanjay Hegde Junior Government Counsel, 257, Supreme Enclave, Mayur Vihar, Phase-1, New Delhi-110091	22790796 22792915 9811144422 Fax : 279 2915	sanjayhegde@vsnl.com
2.	Sh. K. Swamy Junior Government Counsel, R-207 GK Part-I, New Delhi- 110048	23070126 (R) 26461640/ 26464581 (M) 9810014120	the_swamis@yahoo.com
3.	Sh. Rajiv Nanda Junior Government Counsel, H- 127, Shivaji Park Punjabi Bagh, Delhi - 110026 rajivnanda@hotmail.com	25420404 9810048686 Fax: 2154380	Shri Rajiv Shakhdar,
4.	Junior Government Counsel, D-41, Pamposh Enclave, New Delhi-110048	26410401, 26413415 & 9811122754 26413415	rajivshakhdar@yahoo.co.in
5.	Shri Navin Chawla, Junior Government Counsel, B-186, Lajpat Nagar, Part-I, New Delhi. Resi: C-8/8299, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi-110037	29815261, 29817505 9810114981 Fax: 29817505	chawlanavin@eth.net
6.	Shri Rakesh Prasad, Junior Government Counsel, 30, Siri Fort Road New Delhi-110049	9810017620 Fax : 26251585 Phone:26252244	rakeshprasad@alg.india.com
7.	Shri Sachin Datta, Junior Government Counsel, 60, Lawyers Chamber Supreme Court of India Resi: C-38, Neeti Bagh, New Delhi-110049	26864219,26562451 9810032822 Fax : 23355619 Resi: 23384004	sachindatta@algindia.com
8.	Shri Hari Shankar, Junior Government Counsel, 46, Bank Enclave, Geeta Colony Delhi-110092	22459166 22427849 9810082160 Fax: 22543574	harishankar@yahoo.co.uk
9.	Ms. Tamali Wad, Junior Government Counsel, D-88, Panchsheel Enclave, New Delhi-110017	26498769 26495861 9810015160 9810025306 Fax- 23389835	jswad.vsnl.com

S No.	Name & add. of the advocates	Telephones/Fax No.	E-mail address
10.	Shri Upamanyu Hazarika, Junior Government Counsel, A 64 A, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi 110 013	24351039 9811039904 Fax: 24350829	–
11.	Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija Junior Government Counsel House No. 220, Block - B2, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi	26897558 Resi: 26132769	–
12.	Ms. Tarana Sawhney Junior Government Counsel 9A, Jaipur Estate, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi 110 013	9810008404 Resi: 24359092	tarnasawhney@hotmail.com
13.	Ms. Pareena Swarup G-13, Panchsheel Apartments, A-1, Panchsheel Enclave, New Delhi-110017	26494021 26496250 FAX:23782595 M: 9811872917	
14.	Shri Ameet Siingh, 25, Lawyers Chamber, Supreme Court, New Delhi - 110 001	91-11-23381971 Fax - 011-23782505 Mbl-9810469915	Singhswarupcompany@ rediffmail.com

6.6 List of Consultants and Advocates dealing with the Anti-dumping Cases before the DGAD

S No.	Name & add. of the advocates	Telephones/Fax No.	E-mail address
1.	M/s. TPM Consultants Pvt. Ltd., K-3/A, Saket, New Delhi-110017	51764415, 51764416 Fax : 685 9341	akg@tpm.india.org
2.	M/s. Lakshmi Kumaran & Sridharan Advocates, B4/158, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi-110029	26192243 26192273 Fax : 619 7578	vikdel@ext.net lsdel@lakshmisri.com
3.	M/s. Strategic Law Group, F-21, Geetanjali Enclave New Delhi-110017	2669 2007, 2669 2008 Fax : 669 1878 Mobile : 9810307272	mail@slg-india.com
4.	M/s. Economic Laws Practice, Advocates & Solicitors, 1502, Dalamal Towers, Nariman Point, Mumbai-400 021	022 56367000 Fax : 022 5636 7172 9811655591 mumbai@elp-in.com	

S No.	Name & add. of the advocates	Telephones/Fax No.	E-mail address
5.	M/s. Vishva Deep & Co., 4/4 (G.F.), East Patel Nagar, New Delhi-110008	25816121, 25851374 25850830 Fax : 25851374 Mobile: 9810003607 9810074285	videeco@vsnl.com
6.	Kirit Mehta & Co. Cost Accountants 135-A, Mittal Tower, 'A' Wing, Nariman Point, Mumbai - 400021	22843085, 22832302 56307064 Fax 91-22-22832302 Mobile: 9322295119	kiritbmehta@hotmail.com
7.	Shri Shiraj Patodia M/s. Dua Associates, Advocates, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110 001	23714408 Fax : 2331 7746 9810299947	shiraj@duaassociates.com
8.	M/s. Amarchand & Mangaldas Co., Solicitors & Advocates, 13, Abul Fazal Road, Bengali Market, New Delhi-110 001	23355148 23355149 Fax : 335 5147	am.delhi!@amarchand.com
9.	Shri Madhurendra Nath Jha Advocate 51, Golf Links, 2nd Floor, New Delhi - 110003	9811319922 9818551211	Mnjha_lawyer@rediffmail.com
10.	Smt. Reena Asthana Khair, Advocate, R-163, Second Floor, Greater Kailash Part-1, New Delhi-110 048	26417536, 26460356 Fax : 2628 7467 9810002203	reenakhair@rediffmail.com
11.	Smt. Ruchi Gour Narula, Advocate, 405, Lawyer's Chambers, Delhi High Court, New Delhi	23384140, 26842758	Jurisconsultus@ spectranet.com
12.	M/s. Waziri & Associates, Advocates, A-10, Gulmohar Park, New Delhi-110 049	26961725, 26851511 Fax No. 26851511 Mobile -9810097311	waziri@vsnl.com
13.	Shri Pragyan Pradip Sharma, Advocate, D-15, Ground floor, Jung Pura Ext., New Delhi-14	41030707 9818007007 Fax -24328888	Pragyans@gmail.com
14.	M/s. V.K. Surana & Co. Chartered Accountants VCA Complex Civil Lines, Nagpur- 440001	712- 2526849 712- 2525584 712- 2535584 Fax : 712- 2539298	harishwaghela@rediffmail.com
15.	Global Trade Consultants 11/3rd floor, Hargovind Enclave Vikash Marg, Delhi - 110092	22377844 9810166877	Globaltradeconsultants @yahoo.com

Chapter-7

Anti-dumping & Anti-subsidy Cases against Indian Exporters



ANTI-DUMPING & ANTI-SUBSIDY CASES AGAINST INDIAN EXPORTERS

Introduction

Exports of certain commodities from India have also faced antidumping and anti-subsidy actions by a number of member countries of the WTO. Though the Directorate General of Antidumping and Allied Duties do not handle the cases initiated by other member countries against India, in order to facilitate availability of information on the antidumping cases against Indian exports, this data has been compiled from various sources, including WTO website. However, the information is based on the data released by WTO up to 30th June 2005.

7.1.1 Year-wise Distribution of Anti-dumping Initiations

Between 1st January 2005 and 30th June 2005, 8 new antidumping investigations were initiated against Indian exports by other member countries of WTO, taking total number of initiations against India, since 1995, to 115 accounting for 4.2% of all AD initiations between 1995-2005. However, a year-to-year comparison, taking into account the AD initiation in the first half of the calendar year indicates a substantial jump in initiations against India. Year-wise distribution of AD initiations against India has been shown below:

7.1 Antidumping

AD Initiations: By Exporting Country From: 01/01/95 To: 30/06/05													
Exporting Country	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Jan-June 2005	Totals :	Percentage
India	3	11	8	12	13	10	12	16	14	8	8	115	4.2%
Total AD Initiations	157	225	243	256	354	292	364	312	232	212	96	27	43

7.1.2 Country wise break up of anti-dumping initiations against India

During the period Jan-June 2005 8 new AD investigations were initiated by Argentina (1), Egypt (2), South Africa


(3), Turkey (1) and the European Commission (1). EU, USA, Canada and South Africa continue to account for almost 60% of the Anti-Dumping cases initiated against Indian exports.

AD Initiations: Importing Member vs Exporting Country From: 01/01/95 To: 30/06/05																		
	Argentina	Australia	Brazil	Canada	China, P_R_	Egypt	European	Indonesia	Korea, Rep_of	Malaysia	Peru	Poland	South Africa	Thailand	Trinidad and Tobago	Turkey	United States	Total
Exporting India	5	4	3	5	2	4	27	10	3	1	1	1	20	2	2	7	18	115

A list of anti-dumping cases against Indian exports since 1995 has been annexed in Annexure I. However, the list may not be exhaustive and accurate, as the information has been compiled from various secondary sources. The list may also contain some measures imposed or initiated prior to 1995. Therefore, respective councils or boards may be contacted for detailed information on the subject.

7.1.3 Sectoral Distribution of AD Initiations against India

During the period Jan-June 2005 8 new AD investigations were initiated by Argentina (1), Egypt



Indian exports of base metals, chemicals and textiles continue to be the commodities most frequently investigated by the member countries of WTO. Against 115 antidumping investigations initiated against Indian exports during the period 1995-2005, 35 are against base metals and 29 are against chemical and allied products, followed by Plastics and rubber products (17) and textiles (13).

7.1.4 Measures in force against Indian exports

Against total initiation of 115 cases since 1995, 62 definitive measures have been imposed by various member countries of WTO against Indian exports

accounting for 3.6% of all AD measures in force in the world. Out of 53 new measures imposed by the members of WTO during the first half of 2005 one (1) measure is against the Indian exports imposed by the USA. Year-wise break up of cases are as follows.

AD Measures: By Exporting Country From: 01/01/95 To: 30/06/05													
Exporting Country	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Jan-June 2005	Totals :	Percentage
India	4	1	5	6	9	7	6	6	7	10	1	62	3.6%
Totals for 01/01/95 - 30/06/05	119	92	125	170	184	232	166	216	221	151	53	1729	

7.1.5 Country-wise Distribution of AD Measures

(10) continue to be the countries with maximum number of Ad measures in force against Indian exports.


The European Union (16), South Africa (10), and the USA

AD Measures: Importing Member vs Exporting Country From: 01/01/95 To: 30/06/05															
	Argentina	Brazil	Canada	European Union	China, P_R_	Indonesia	Korea, Rep_of	Mexico	Peru	South Africa	Thailand	Trinidad and Tobago	Turkey	United States	Total
Exporting India	2	3	3	2	16	5	2	1	1	10	1	1	5	10	62

7.1.6 Sectoral distribution of AD measures against Indian exports:

Product wise analysis of AD measures against Indian exports indicates that highest number of anti-dumping cases continue to be on the base metals including steel

products and Engineering products, which account for 32% of the total cases, followed by Chemicals and allied products including drugs and pharmaceuticals, which account for about 25% of all AD measures against India.



LIST OF ANTI-DUMPING CASES AGAINST EXPORTS FROM INDIA

S No.	Country	Name of Product	Date of Initiation
1.	Argentina	Bicycle Tyres and Tubes	29.5.2002
2.	Argentina	Cypermethrin	Sept., 2004
3.	Argentina	Aluminum Phosphate	Jan., 2005
4.	Argentina	Solid Fumigating Pesticides based on Aluminum Phosphate	April, 2005
5.	Australia	2-4 D (Dicloro Phenoxy Acetic Acid)	2.4.2002
6.	Australia	Triethanolamine	1.7.1999
7.	Brazil	Bicycle Tyres	3.1.2003
8.	Brazil	Jute Bags	11.11.1991 (Duty removed against India after sunset review on 9.9.2004)
9.	Brazil	Nails for animal shoes	Not available
10.	Brazil	Pet films	13.8.2001
11.	Canada	Black Granite Memorials (all sizes & shapes) and Granite Slabs (thickness >= 3 inches)	22.12.1993
12.	Canada	HR Carbon Steel Plates	1.9.1999
13.	Canada	Stainless Steel Round Bars	6.3.1998
14.	Canada	HR Carbon Steel Sheet and Strips	6.12.2000
15.	Canada	Stainless Steel bar	May, 2000
16.	Canada	Xanathates	August 2002
17.	China	Purified Anhydride	6.3.2002
18.	China	Pthalic Anhydride	22.4.2002
19.	China	Chloroform	30.5.2003
20.	EU	Antibiotics (Review)	29.4.2003
21.	EU	Graphite electrode system	21.08.2003
22.	EU	Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film	22.11.2003
23.	EU	PTY	21.9.2001
24.	EU	Sulphanilic Acid	6.7.2001 (Case closed)
25.	EU	Bed Linen	Jan-94
26.	EU	Cathode Ray Colour Television Picture Tube (CPTs)	29.7.1999
27.	EU	Cotton Type Bed Linen	13.02.2002 Duty Removed on 20.12.2003
28.	EU	Diskettes	27.7.1995
29.	EU	Flat Rolled products of iron and non-alloy steel (HRC)	7.1.1999
30.	EU	Coumarin	May,2004 (case Closed)
31.	EU	Hot Rolled Flat Products of Non-Alloy Steel (Quarto Plates)	13.5.1999
32.	EU	PET Chips	6.11.1999
33.	EU	Polyester Textured Filament Yarn	23.8.1998
34.	EU	Polyethylene and Polypropylene Sacks and Bags	April-95 (Duty terminated after Review vide order dated

S No.	Country	Name of Product	Date of Initiation
			10.2.2004)
35.	EU	Polyester Staple Fibre	
36.	EU	Potassium Permanganate	26.4.1997 Duty Expired on 11.07.2003
37.	EU	Stainless Steel Bright Bars	30.8.1997
38.	EU	Stainless Steel Fasteners and Parts	7.12.1996
39.	EU	Stainless Steel fine wire with a diameter of 1 mm and more	10.07.2003
40.	EU	Steel Stranded Ropes and Cables	20.5.1998
41.	EU	Synthetic Fibre Ropes	4.4.1996
42.	EU	Synthetic Fibre Ropes-II	01-Jul-97 Suo Moto under Article 5(6)
43.	EU	Synthetic Fibres of Polyester	1.11.1990
44.	EU	Unbleached Cotton Fabric	21.2.1996
45.	EU	Unbleached Cotton Fabric-II	11.7.1997
46.	EU	Magnetic Disk	14.12.2001
47.	EU	Ring Binder Mechanism	18.5.2001
48.	EU	Pet films	27.5.2000
49.	EU	Compact Disk (Recordable)	17.5.2002
50.	Indonesia	Ampicillin Trihydrate & Amoxicillin Trihydrate	16.4.1998 Review Finding issued in Sept. 2004. Duty extended for 5 years.
51.	Indonesia	Black Carbon	7.11.1996
52.	Indonesia	Hot Rolled Coils/Plates	1.12.1996
53.	Indonesia	Wire Rods	Mar-97
54.	Indonesia	Ferro Manganese and Silicon Manganese	May-2001
55.	Indonesia	Wheat Flour	1.3.2004 29.3.2005 (Disclosure)
56.	Jordan	Sanitary ware	May 2002
57.	Malaysia	Carbon Black	June,2002
58.	Mexico	Bicycle Tyres and Tubes	7.12.2002
59.	Peru	Stainless Steel Pots, tea pots, frying pots & boiling pots.	
60.	Peru	Metals and Aluminum Record SA (Record)	25.01.2004
61.	Republic of Trinidad & Tobago	Polypropylene Ropes	18.5.1999
62.	Russia	Ball Bearing & Tapered Roller Bearing	13.2.2002
63.	Russia	Dry Baking Yeast	22.10.2003
64.	South Africa	Acetaminophenol	26.2.1999
65.	South Africa	Aluminium Steel Reinforced Conductor Cable	30.4.1999
66.	South Africa	Antibiotics	4.11.1996
67.	South Africa	Blankets	8.4.1998
68.	South Africa	Blankets (Sunset Review)	
69.	South Africa	Carbon Black	21.8.1998
70.	South Africa	Carbon Black (Sunset Review)	Feb, 2005
71.	South Africa	Flat Glass (Sunset Review)	

S No.	Country	Name of Product	Date of Initiation
72.	South Africa	Float Glass	5.6.1998
73.	South Africa	Float Glass (Sunset Review)	
74.	South Africa	Paper Insulated Lead Covered Electric Cables	Aug-1998
75.	South Africa	Printed and Dyed Bed Linen	Art.5.5 notice dated 7-4-1999
76.	South Africa	PVC	24.3.2000
77.	South Africa	PVC based goods	25.8.2000
78.	South Africa	Footwear	Oct, 2000 (Duty withdrawn)
79.	South Africa	Amoxycilline & Ampicillin (Sunset Review)	13.9.2002
80.	South Africa	Steel reinforced aluminum overhead cables	
81.	South Korea	Combed Yarn	20.1.2001
82.	South Korea	Choline Chloride	January,2004
83.	South Korea	Stainless Steel Bars	3.12.2003
84.	Taiwan	Uncoated Stress Relieved Steel Wires Pre-stressed Concrete (Review)	Duty withdrawn w.e.f. 14.12.2003.
85.	Thailand	Hydrogen Peroxide	10.8.1999
86.	Thailand	Pthalic Anhydride	15.2.2002
87.	Trinidad & Tobago	Gilclazide	March,2003
88.	Turkey	Polyester Texturised Yarn (PTY)	4.3.1999
89.	Turkey	Bicycles outer tyres made from rubber	28.1.2003
90.	Turkey	PSF	26.2.2002
91.	Turkey	Metallised Yarn	2004-05
92.	USA	Carbon Steel Plates	8.3.1999
93.	USA	Elastic Rubber Tape	8.9.1998
94.	USA	Diamino Stilbenedis Ulfonic Acid (DAS)	April, 2003
95.	USA	Preserved Mushrooms	8.3.2004 (PF)
96.	USA	S.S Bar	27.1.1994
97.	USA	S.S.Flanges	1.1.1993
98.	USA	Stainless Steel Round Wires	6.5.1998
99.	USA	Stainless Steel Wire Rod	1.7.1999
100.	USA	Steel wire rope	20.3.2000
101.	USA	Sulfanilic Acid	3.6.1992
102.	USA	Welded Carbon Steel Pipe & Tubes	3.5.1999
103.	USA	Pet Films	6.6.2001
104.	USA	Hot Rolled Coils/Plates	4.12.2000
105.	USA	Silico Manganese	23.5.2002
106.	USA	Cold Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products	26.10.2001
107.	USA	Allura Red	Not Available
108.	USA	Shrimps	20.1.2004
109.	USA	Carbazole Violet Pigment 23	Case Closed
110.	USA	Bottle Grade Polyethelene Terephthalate Resin	Case Closed
111.	USA	Oleoresin Paprika (Spice)	Case Closed

Note: The above list has been compiled on the basis of information gathered from various sources and therefore, DGAD is not responsible for the accuracy and authenticity of the data therein.

Particulars of a few Authorities responsible for invoking Anti-Dumping action

Territory

Country	Name of the Investigating Authority	Address
European Union	European Commission	European Commission Directorate General Trade "C" Rue DE MONT 24 1049 Brussels, Belgium Telephone: (+32-2) 299.11.11 Fax: (+32-2) 295.65.05
USA	Department of Commerce (for dumping and subsidy margin)	International Trade Administration, 14 th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W-Washington DC-20230
	International Trade Commission (for injury)	500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436 Phone: 202-205-2000 Webmaster@usitc.gov
Brazil	Commercial Defence Department - DECOM	Secretary Of Foreign Trade (SECEX) Ministry Of Development, Industry And Foreign Trade Commercial Defence Department Praça Pio X, 54 - 6 ^o Andar, C.E.P: 20091-040 - RIO de Janeiro - BRAZIL
Thailand	Bureau of Trade Interest and Remedies, Department of Foreign Trade	Ministry of Commerce of Thailand, Department of Foreign Trade, Bureau of Trade Interest and Remedies, 44/100 Thanon Sanam Bin Nam- Nonthaburi, Muang District, Nonthaburi 11000 Thailand Tel: (662) 5474738-40 Fax (662) 5474741
China	Ministry of Foreign Trade & Economic Cooperation	Ministry of Foreign Trade & Economic Cooperation, No.2, Dong Chang'an Avenue, Beijing 100731 People's Republic of China.
Indonesia	Ketua Komite Anti Dumping Indonesia (KADI)	Chairman, Ketua Komite Anti Dumping Indonesia, D. A. Sekretariat, Komite Anti Dumping Indonesia Jl. Gatot Subroto Kav. 52-53, Lantai IV, Jakarta Fax 0062 21 525 2465
Korea	Korea Trade Commission	Korea Trade Commission (KTC) Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Energy (MOCIE) 1, Joongang-dong, Gwacheon-City Kyunggi-do, Republic of Korea

7.2 Anti-subsidy

7.2.1 Country wise initiations of anti-subsidy cases against India

Indian exports are also facing number of anti-subsidy cases by other member countries of the WTO. In the last one-decade, 41 anti subsidy cases were initiated against Indian exports.

Country	Anti Subsidy Cases	Percentage
EU	14	34%
South Africa	9	22%
Canada	5	12%
USA	11	27%
Brazil	2	5%
Total	41	100%

EU, USA, and South Africa accounts for 83% of the anti-subsidy cases initiated against Indian exports. The European Union continues to be the major user with 14 initiations out of total 41 initiations against Indian exports. USA and South Africa follows with 11 and 9 initiations respectively followed by Canada with 5 initiations. Brazil accounts for 5% of the cases with 2 initiations to its credit.

7.2.2 Measures in Force against India

Definitive measures have been imposed in 25 countervailing investigations out of 41 cases initiated against Indian exports by major user of this instrument . The EU has so far imposed measures in 11 cases followed by USA with 6 definitive measures imposed against Indian exports. Canada and South Africa have respectively 4 and 3 measures. Brazil has completed one investigation and imposed measure out of 2 cases initiated so far.

Country	Anti Subsidy Cases	Percentage
EU	11	44%
South Africa	3	12%
Canada	4	16%
USA	6	24%
Brazil	1	4%
Total	25	100%

7.2.3 Distribution of Anti-subsidy initiations and Measures

During the period 1995-2005 on an average 4 initiations and 2 measures have been imposed against Indian exports. Maximum no. of cases were initiated between 1998 and 2003. Year-wise break up of cases are as follows;

Country	Anti Subsidy Cases	Percentage
1995	1	3%
1996	0	0%
1997	3	8%
1998	6	15%
1999	5	13%
2000	7	17%
2001	8	18%
2002	2	5%
2003	8	18%
2004	1	3%
Total	41	100%

The trend of the last decade shows that around 95% of the anti subsidy cases were initiated after the financial year 1997-98.

7.2.4 Product-wise distribution of Anti-subsidy cases against India:

Engineering including steel products account for 38% of the total cases initiated against Indian exports as highest number of anti-subsidy cases. This is followed by plastic products and Chemicals and allied products including drugs and pharmaceuticals constitutes which account for about 20% each of anti-subsidy initiations against Indian products.

Country	Anti Subsidy Cases	Percentage
Engineering including Steel Product	15	38%
Textiles and Articles Thereof	3	8%
Drugs & Pharmaceutical	8	18%
Electronics Rubber, Plastics,	6	15%
Glassware and Articles thereof	8	18%
Footware Products	1	3%
Total	41	100%

7.2.5 Names and addresses of a few Authorities responsible for invoking Anti-Subsidy action

Country	Name of the Investigating Authority	Address
European Union	European Commission	European Commission Directorate General Trade "C", Rue DE MOT 24 1049 Brussels, Belgium Telephone: (+32-2) 299.11.11 Fax: (+32-2) 295.65.05
USA	Department of Commerce (for dumping and subsidy margin)	International Trade Administration, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W-Washington DC-20230
	International Trade Commission (for injury)	500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436 Phone: 202-205-2000 Webmaster@usitc.gov
Brazil	Commercial Defence Department - DECOM	Secretary Of Foreign Trade (SECEX) Ministry Of Development, Industry And Foreign Trade Commercial Defence Department Praça Pio X, 54 - 6º Andar, C.E.P: 20091-040 - RIO de Janeiro - BRAZIL
Thailand	Bureau of Trade Interest and Remedies, Department of Foreign Trade	Ministry of Commerce of Thailand, Department of Foreign Trade, Bureau of Trade Interest and Remedies 44/100 Thanon Sanam Bin Nam-Nonthaburi, Muang District, Nonthaburi 11000 Thailand Tel: (662) 5474738 -40 Fax (662) 5474741
China	Ministry of Foreign Trade & Economic Cooperation	Ministry of Foreign Trade & Economic Cooperation, No.2, Dong Chang'an Avenue, Beijing 100731 People's Republic of China
Indonesia	Ketua Komite Anti Dumping Indonesia (KADI)	Chairman, Ketua Komite Anti Dumping Indonesia, D. A. Sekretariat, Komite Anti Dumping Indonesia Jl. Gatot Subroto Kav. 52-53, Lantai IV, Jakarta
		Fax 0062 21 525 2465

Country	Name of the Investigating Authority	Address
Korea	Korea Trade Commission	Korea Trade Commission (KTC) Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Energy (MOCIE) 1, Joongang-dong, Gwacheon City Kyunggi-do, Republic of Korea
Canada	Canadian International Trade Tribunal	The Secretary Canadian International Trade Tribunal Standard Life Centre, 15th Floor, 333, Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A0G7, Canada
South Africa	International Trade Administration Commission	SABS Building 1, Dr. Lategan Drive Groenkloof, Private Bag X753 Pretoria 0001, SOUTH AFRICA Fax: 00 27 12 428 7736