

No.27/01/2016/Infra-II
Government of India
Ministry of Commerce & Industry
Department of Commerce

Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi
Dated the 19th May, 2015

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Minutes of the 1st Meeting of Empowered Committee on EDF-NER for Financial Year 2016-17 held on 11.05.2016.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the minutes of the 1st Meeting of Empowered Committee on EDF-NER for Financial Year 2016-17 held on 11.05.2016 at 12.15 PM in Room No.141, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi under the Chairmanship of Shri A.K. Bhalla, Additional Secretary, Department of Commerce.

2. It is requested that APEDA/ State Governments/ respective Agencies may ensure timely action on decisions taken and send compliance report on priority.



(M. Mishra Natwar)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Tel.No.23061732

E-mail mm.natwar@nic.in

Encl.: As above.

To

- 1) Additional Secretary & Financial Advisor, Department of Commerce
- 2) Additional Secretary & Development Commissioner (MSME), Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises, "A" Wing, 7th Floor, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi- 110108. [Fax:23062315]
- 3) Joint Secretary (NE), Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, North Block, New Delhi. [Fax: 23094648]
- 4) Sh. Arvind Madhav Singh, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region (DoNER), Vigyan Bhawan Annexe, Maulana Azad Road, New Delhi. [Fax: 23015219]
- 5) Sh. Praveen Mehto, Advisor (PA&MD), Niti Aayog, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi. [Email: p.mahto@nic.in, Ph:23096538, Fax:91-11-23096764 & 23096779]
- 6) Sh. Krishan Kumar, Chairman, APEDA, 3rd Floor, NCUI Building, 3 Siri Institutional Area, August Kranti Marg, New Delhi. [Fax: 26526187]
- 7) Representative of Secretary, Government of India, North Eastern Council Secretariat, Nongrim Hill, Shillong-793003, Meghalaya. [Fax:0364-2522643]
- 8) Sh. Hage Batt, Trade & Commerce-cum-Export Commissioner, Department of Trade & Commerce, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, APIDFC Ltd. Building, Ground Floor, 'C' Sector, Itanagar-791111, Arunachal Pradesh.
- 9) Sh. Swapnanil Baruah, Commissioner (Industries & Commerce) & Export Commissioner, Department of Industries & Commerce, Government of Assam, Udyog Bhawan, Bamunimaidan, Guwahati-781021, Assam. [Fax: 0361-2200060, Tel: 0361-2550242 / 2550717, E-mail: dirind@sify.com, commissioner@diccassam.com]

- 10) Sh. Vivek Kumar Dewangan, Commissioner (Commerce & Industries) & Export Commissioner, Government of Manipur, Department of Commerce & Industries, South Block, Old Secretariat Building, Imphal – 795001, Manipur. [Tel: 0385-2443843, Fax: 0385-2443843, E-mail: vk.dewangan@nic.in, vkd701@gmail.com]
- 11) Sh. P.W.Ingty, Principal Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya & Export Commissioner, Commerce & Industries Department, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat, Shillong, Meghalaya. [Tel:2225669, Fax:2225737, E-Mail:pwingty@yahoo.co.in]
- 12) Sh. Zothan Khuma, Secretary & Export Commissioner, Government of Mizoram, Directorate of Trade & Commerce, Civil Secretariat Annexe-II, Treasury Square, Aizawl-796001, Mizoram. [Fax: 0389-2335211/ 2300319, E-mail: zothana@hotmail.com, dr.c.lalzirliana@gmail.com]
- 13) Ms. Hushili Sema, Export Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Government of Nagaland, Industries & Commerce Department, New Secretariat Complex, Kohima-797004, Nagaland. [Fax: 0370-2271463, Email: hushilinsema17@gmail.com]
- 14) Sh. Arvind Kumar, Principal Secretary & Export Commissioner, Department of Commerce & Industries, Government of Sikkim, Secretariat Annexe-1, Gangtok, Sikkim. [Fax: 03592-232006, E-mail: sikkimindustries@yahoo.com, sikkimindustries@gmail.com]
- 15) Sh. M. Nagaraju, Secretary & Export Commissioner, Department of Industries & Commerce, Government of Tripura, New Secretariat Complex, P.O. Kunjaban-799006, Agartala, West Tripura, Tripura [Fax: 0381-2418110, Tel: 0381-2413474, E-mail: secretarytrp11@gmail.com]
- 16) Shri Lhouchalie Viya, Additional Secretary, Government of Nagaland, Industries & Commerce Department, New Secretariat Complex, Kohima-797004, Nagaland.
- 17) Ms. M.B. Roy, Director, Government of Meghalaya, Commerce & Industries Department, Main Secretariat, Shillong, Meghalaya.
- 18) Sh. S. Joykumar Singh, DDI(FPI), Government of Manipur, Directorate of Commerce & Industries, South Block, Old Secretariat Building, Imphal – 795001, Manipur.
- 19) Ms. Gitanjali Gupta, Resident Commissioner, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Arunachal Bhawan, Kautilya Marg, Chanakya Puri, New Delhi. [Fax:23013956, 23013786]
- 20) Shri Tapash Deb, OSD, Government of Assam, Assam Bhawan, S.P.Marg, New Delhi-110 021. [Fax: 26117059]
- 21) Resident Commissioner, Government of Manipur, Manipur Bhawan, 2, Sardar Patel Marg, New Delhi. [Fax: 26111808]
- 22) Principal Resident Commissioner, Government of Meghalaya, Meghalaya House, 9, Aurangzeb Road, New Delhi-110011. [Fax: 23014471]
- 23) Resident Commissioner, Government of Mizoram, Mizoram House, Pt. Uma Shankar Dixit Marg, New Delhi-110021 [Fax: 23012331]
- 24) Resident Commissioner, Government of Nagaland, Nagaland House, 29 Aurangzeb Road, New Delhi. [Fax:23012296]
- 25) Ms. Monalisa Dash, Addl. Resident Commissioner, Government of Sikkim, Sikkim House, 12, Panchsheel Marg, New Delhi. [Fax:26110679]
- 26) Resident Commissioner, Government of Tripura, Tripura Bhawan, Kautilya Marg, New Delhi-110021 [Fax:23793827]

- 27) Sh. M. Iboyaima Meitei, MD, North Eastern Development Marketing Corporation Ltd. (NERAMAC), 9, Rajbari Path, Ganeshguri, G.S.Road, Guwahati-781005, Assam. [Fax:0361-2341428]
- 28) Sh. B.Paul Muktieh, CMD, North Eastern Development Finance Corporation Limited (NEDFI), G.S.Road, Dispur, Guwahati-781006 Assam. [Fax: 0361-6115556]
- 29) Sh. A. Bhattacharjee, Managing Director, North Eastern Industrial & Technical Consultancy Organisations Limited (NEITCO), Vittiya Bhawan, 3rd Floor, AFC Building, MD Shah Road, Paltan Bazar, Guwahati – 781008, Assam. [Fax: 0361-2738960]
- 30) Secretary General, Federation of Indian Export Organisations(FIEO), Niryat Bhawan, Rao Tula Ram Marg, Opp. Army Hospital Research & Referral, New Delhi-110057. [Tel:011-46042222/ 26150101-04, Fax: 011-26148194/ 26150077]
- 31) Shri Ashish Jain, Director, Federation of Indian Export Organisations(FIEO), Niryat Bhawan, Rao Tula Ram Marg, Opp. Army Hospital Research & Referral, New Delhi-110057.
- 32) Sh. Dhiraj Thakuria, Actg. Managing Director, North Eastern Handicrafts and Handlooms Development Corporation Ltd.(NEHHDC), East Point Tower, Bamunimaidan, Guwahati-781021, Assam. [Fax: 0361-2655934]
- 33) Sh. Manoj Kumar Das, Director, Indian Institute of Entrepreneurship (IIE), Basistha Chariali, Lalmati, Guwahati-781029, Assam. [Fax: 0361-2300325/2305394]
- 34) Sh. S.S. Nayyar, General Manager, APEDA, 3rd Floor, NCUI Building, 3 Siri Institutional Area, August Kranti Marg (Opp. Asiad Village), New Delhi -110016. [Fax: 26534870]

Copy to: PPS to AS(AKB), PPS to AS(RRR), PS to JS(SKS)/ JS(BSB)/ JS(RC)/ JS(SC)/ Dir(BP).

Minutes of the 1st Meeting of Empowered Committee on EDF-NER for Financial Year 2016-17 under the Chairmanship of Additional Secretary(AKB) held on 11.05.2016 (Wednesday) at 12.15 PM in Room No.141, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi.

List of Participants is annexed.

2. APEDA made a presentation on the total number of projects funded under EDF-NER since 2000. APEDA informed that it carried out physical verification of all sanctioned infrastructure projects under EDF-NER Scheme and held series of meetings with the Export Commissioners and Resident Commissioners of the State Government. APEDA is regularly in touch with all the Export Commissioners giving status of the projects after physical verification and requested for taking up necessary action for revival of the projects not functioning well.

The Empowered Committee (EC) was informed that till date, 70 projects were funded under EDF-NER, out of which 38 are infrastructure projects and 32 are non-infrastructure projects. All the non-infrastructure projects have been completed earlier. Therefore, in the EC meeting, the focus was only on all the 38 infrastructure projects approved under the Scheme. APEDA, based on the physical verification conducted for the projects, informed that 19 of these have been completed, 6 were ongoing, 7 were partially completed and the remaining 6 projects have not yet started. Out of the proposals placed for ratification, three of the projects were ongoing and one of the projects was complete.

Chairman, APEDA informed EC that APEDA has constituted an internal committee to look into the lapses/ reasons for non-monitoring of the projects assisted under EDF-NER.

The proposals were considered by the EC based on the inputs provided by the representatives of the State Governments, APEDA and available status/information of the project. All State Governments' representatives, where projects are ongoing, were requested to provide latest photographs of the project. The following decisions were taken by the EC:-

2.1 STATUS OF PROJECTS FOR EX POST FACTO APPROVAL OF EMPOWERED COMMITTEE

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
1	<p>27/03/2009/Infra-II Setting up R&D centre-cum-training-cum-food processing unit</p> <p>Trade & Commerce Department, Government of Mizoram.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 500.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 250.00 2ndinstal- 250.00</p>	<p>EC observed that the project with a total cost of Rs.500.00 lakh was approved in the last EC Meeting held on 24.01.2013 for a grant of Rs.500.00 lakh to be released in two instalments. The 1st instalment of Rs.250.00 lakh was released by APEDA on 14.03.2013 and UC received on 21.03.2014. The 2nd instalment was sanctioned on 25.09.2014 & released by APEDA on 21.01.2015.</p> <p>The State Government informed that the project is being undertaken by the Department of Trade & Commerce through private contractors and will be completed by December, 2016. The construction has been completed and procurement process has been initiated and supplies shall be obtained by June, 2016.</p> <p>EC observed that there has been no mention of the manpower requirement to operate the facility and the State Government should identify technical people to run the unit. EC further observed that the State government may use the services of APEDA in identifying the suitable agency for operating and maintaining the facility.</p>

		EC ratified the ex-post facto approval for the release of 2 nd instalment of Rs.250 lakhs for the proposal. However, EC advised the State Government to bring in clarity regarding the operation and maintenance of the facility being created.
2.	27/08/2012/Infra-II Construction of Trade Facilitation Centre (TFC) at Lawngtlai, Mizoram Trade & Commerce Department, Government of Mizoram Sanctioned – 432.00 Released- 1 st instal.- 216.00	EC observed that the project with a total cost of Rs.865.15 lakh was considered in the last EC Meeting held on 24.01.2013 and on 30.01.2013, AS(MP) approved EDF assistance to the extent of 50% of project cost, i.e. Rs.432.57 lakh to Government of Mizoram for the project in file. The project was given a sanction of Rs.216 lakh on 10.03.2014, as 1 st instalment for the project. Export Commissioner informed that the site selected earlier for the proposed TFC at Lawngtlai, Mizoram has been changed, as it was in land slide prone area. The alternate site was finalised in October, 2014, which is within the jurisdiction of Revenue Department, Lai Autonomous District Council (LADC). There are certain issues with State PWD & DC, Lawngtlai regarding approach road from NH to the new site selected. The issues have been reportedly resolved and work under approach road has commenced. It was further informed that it will take more than two years to complete the project. It would be a transshipment facility within the state of Mizoram with the facilities to enable documentation related to exports. EC observed that the new location is far from the border where it was initially proposed and there has been inordinate delay in the execution of the project. EC ratified the release of 1 st instalment and change in the site location. EC decided that the 2 nd instalment may be considered if there is good progress in implementation of the project and directed the State Government to submit the progress of the project at regular intervals. It has also been decided that joint inspection of the project will be carried out by APEDA and the State Government of Mizoram for consideration of release of 2 nd instalment.
3.	27/01/2014/Infra-II Computerisation of Nathula trade mart Government of Sikkim. Sanctioned – 12.12 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 12.12	EC observed that the proposal was approved on file on 10.02.2014 with the direction to obtain technical specifications from NIC so that hardware purchased are useful for at least 5 years in future. The sanction was issued for Rs.12,12,225/- as first and final payment on 17.02.2014. APEDA carried out physical verification of the project on 28.08.2015 and found the implementation satisfactory. UC & CC submitted. Addl. Resident Commissioner representing the State Government informed that the computerisation process has been completed. EC ratified the ex-post facto approval towards release of Rs.12,12,225/- as first and final payment the project.
4.	27/08/2014/Infra-II Expansion scheme for value addition on the existing bamboo based production in Mizoram Nutech Bamboo Project Pvt. Ltd. Sanctioned – 111.00 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 111.00	APEDA informed that Rs.111.00 lakh were released on 11.09.2015 for the project. Thereafter, on instructions of DoC, project verification and monitoring was commenced by APEDA. Export Commissioner, Mizoram informed that the project is an expansion project and it is supposed to add value to line of products being produced. Consultant APEDA informed that the project is likely to face shortage of raw material which was proposed to be transported through river system as there is little flow in the river. The State Government informed that the promoter has created certain amount of infrastructure and is seeking funds for completion of the project as was proposed in the initial project proposal.

	<p>EC enquired whether there was any contribution of funds from the promoter who had achieved financial closure. It was informed by APEDA that the promoter had not made any contribution from other sources.</p> <p>In view of APEDA's comments, EC decided to defer the ratification of release of 1st instalment of Rs.111.00 lakh and suggested that this can be considered on receipt of report by the State Government and APEDA on the status of the project and contribution of funds by the promoter. In case the promoter does not contribute his share of funds in a reasonable period of time. EC suggested that State Government & APEDA may initiate process of recovery of funds released. The State Government & APEDA were requested to engage more with the promoter to ensure progress in the project or else initiate recovery.</p>
--	--

2.2 COMPLETED PROJECTS

Arunachal Pradesh

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
1	<p>27/14/2001/Infra-II G.N.Consulting, Ghaziabad</p> <p>Pilot project for handloom export from Arunachal Pradesh.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 213.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 121.00 2ndinstal- 92.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but was not being optimally utilised or left unutilised.</p> <p>The State Government expressed their inability to submit CC, as the 2nd instalment was not routed through State Government.</p> <p>EC observed that the project was implemented at Dirang & Bomdila in West Kameng Distt., Arunachal Pradesh. After completion, it was handed over to Bomdila Cultural Society, a local NGO at Bomdila. Both the units were found in existence with the Bomdila unit was partially functional and Dirang unit totally non-functional. Most of the machineries & equipments procured under the project were found under these two units and were underutilised. It was also noted that UC has been received but CC was not received.</p> <p>EC decided that State Government will submit a report on completion of the project after which the project may be considered closed. The State Government may consider using the machineries & equipments for productive purpose in case of non-functional Dirang unit.</p>

Assam

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
2	<p>27/34/2004/Infra-II Assam Apex Weavers & Artisans Co-Operative Federation Ltd. Setting up centre for promotion & development of exportable handwoven silk production at Sualkuchi, Assam. Sanctioned – 90.85 Released- 1stinstal.- 52.80 2ndinstal- 37.87</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but was not being optimally utilised or left unutilised.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.</p>

Manipur

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
3	<p>27/03/2003/Infra-II Exotic Juices Ltd.</p> <p>Export promotion infrastructure for 2 TPH passion fruit processing plant.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 250.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 166.00 2ndinstal- 84.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but was not being optimally utilised or left unutilised. APEDA informed that the unit was not functional due to non-availability of raw material.</p> <p>Dy. Director, Govt. of Manipur informed that machinery for packaging of passion fruit drink was established but was not functional due to lack of raw material.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.</p>
4	<p>27/12/2006/Infra-II Government of Manipur</p> <p>Creation of integrated trade information & stay facility centre for traders at Moreh.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 370.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 185.00 2ndinstal- 185.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding continued utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.</p>
5	<p>27/05/2005/Infra-II Chivalry Poverty Alleviation Development Association</p> <p>Integrated bee keeping development for export, employment & income generation.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 75.55 Released- 1stinstal.- 38.00 2ndinstal- 37.55</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but was not being optimally utilised or left unutilised.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.</p>

Mizoram

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
6	<p>27/18/2004/Infra-II Zopar Export Pvt. Ltd.</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets.</p>

	Floriculture (rose project). Sanctioned – 210.94 Released- 1 st instal.- 105.47 2 nd instal- 105.47	EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings related to export linkage regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government. EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.
7	27/12/2012/Infra-II Champhai Grape Growers' Society Expansion of Champhai winery Sanctioned – 50.00 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 50.00	APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets. Export Commissioner & APEDA informed that the project is into full fledged production and is doing well. EC observed that the UC has been received but CC for the project is yet to be submitted by the promoter. EC decided to close the issue on receipt of CC from State Government. EC requested the State Government to submit a report on completion of the project.

Meghalaya

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
8	27/13/2010/Infra-II Orion Health Food Pvt. Ltd. 600 MT RTE energy food manufacturing unit at EPIP, Byrnihat, Meghalaya. Sanctioned – 67.83 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 67.83	APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets. State Government had earlier informed that the production at the facility had started. EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding export linkage were identified and inputs provided to the State Government. EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.

Nagaland

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
9	27/18/2002/Infra-II Khonoma Organic Plant, Mission Compound, Kohima Passion fruit cultivation. Sanctioned – 36.67 Released- 1 st instal.- 20.00 2 nd instal- 9.50	APEDA informed that passion fruit was cultivated during the initial phases of the project in the physical verification report. The cultivation was discontinued later due to disease affecting the plantation. State Government has submitted CC on 24.12.2015. EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government. EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.

10	<p>27/07/2004/Infra-II Pusazo Luruo, Mission Compound, Kohima</p> <p>Integrated bee keeping development.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 49.00 Released- 1st& final instal.- 49.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but was not being optimally utilised or left unutilised.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.</p>
11	<p>27/08/2004/Infra-II Global Welfare Society</p> <p>Bamboo shoot processing.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 115.16 Released- 1st& final instal.- 115.16</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.</p>
12	<p>27/03/2007/Infra-II Naga Indigenous Foods</p> <p>Export of organic products.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 53.52 Released- 1stinstal.- 44.00 2ndinstal- 9.52</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but was not being optimally utilised or left unutilised.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.</p>
13	<p>27/24/2007/Infra-II Tongpok Multipurpose Co-operative Society Cultivation of anthurium & garbera cut flower under hi-tech green house & controlled atmosphere.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 83.71 Released- 1stinstal.- 40.00 2ndinstal- 43.71</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.</p>
14	<p>27/09/2001/Infra-II Project Development Board</p> <p>Setting up of organic cultivation of passion fruit at Pfutseromi.</p>	<p>APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets but cultivation of water plum instead of passion fruit.</p> <p>EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government.</p>

Sanctioned – 37.34 Released- 1 st instal.- 25.64 2 nd instal- 11.70	EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.
--	--

Sikkim

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
15	27/24/2001/Infra-II Sikkim Himalayan Orchids Ltd. Export of orchids from Sikkim. Sanctioned – 75.00 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 75.00	APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets. EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. However, during physical verification, shortcomings regarding project were identified and inputs provided to the State Government. EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.
16	27/25/2006/Infra-II Government of Sikkim Financial assistance to Government of Sikkim for meeting their pending liabilities arising out of infrastructure development works at border trade. Sanctioned – 111.96 Released- 1 st instal.- 106.24 2 nd instal- 5.72	EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed.

Tripura

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
17	27/07/2002/Infra-II Government of Tripura Setting up of 100% export oriented hydro carbon free jute yarn. Sanctioned – 103.20 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 103.20	APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the assets and the operations were in full swing. EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received. EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed. The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding continued utilisation of the assets created and provide required support to ensure operation of the project/ facility.
18	27/09/2007/Infra-II Tripura Forest Development & Plantation Corporation Ltd.	APEDA informed that all the assets for which the assistance was provided has been created. Physical verification report by APEDA has confirmed creation of the asset. EC observed that that UC & CC for the project have been received.

Rubber wood based door manufacturing unit.	EC decided that since UC & CC for the project have been received, they may be considered closed: The EC opined that the State Government must take measures regarding support to ensure export linkage of the project/ facility.
Sanctioned – 508.40 Released- 1 st instal.- 200.00 2 nd instal- 308.40	

2.3 ONGOING PROJECTS

Manipur

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
1	27/04/2011/Infra-II Government of Manipur Setting up of food testing laboratory at Imphal under 90:10 pattern. Sanctioned – 222.71 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 222.71	The Dy. Director, Trade & Commerce, Manipur informed that e-tendering procedure has been delayed due to a case in High Court related to the bidding process. The issue was reportedly sorted out and MANIDCO, the implementing agency is in the process of purchasing the equipment. APEDA informed that the project is likely to be completed in the next two months. EC observed that it is a delayed ongoing project & EDF funds were not utilised for the purpose for which it was released. UC has not been received. EC requested the State Government to expedite the completion of the project and submit UC/CC at the earliest.
2	27/21/2009/Infra-II Intouch Natural Pvt. Ltd. Stevia extraction plant at Mao. Sanctioned – 177.99 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 177.99	The Dy. Director, Trade & Commerce, Manipur informed that the State Government is in touch with the promoter and visited the site. He informed that the promoter had spent more on construction of the building, hence the delay in purchase of machineries. The Dy. Director informed that supply order confirmation has been given by the promoter and shall be installed once it arrives. The production of stevia is being encouraged by the State Government and hence it shall take necessary steps to complete the project. APEDA informed that some machineries have been purchased but the installation of these is yet to be completed. EC observed that there is very slow progress of the project and clarification sought by the department may be provided regarding the status of the project. EC further observed that since FSSAI does not approve selling of stevia in India, therefore APEDA was advised to take it up with FSSAI. EC requested that the State Government may intervene for early completion of the project.

Mizoram

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
3	20/02/2010/Infra-II Government of Mizoram Setting up food testing laboratory.	APEDA informed that during physical verification that the civil construction of lab building was complete but no workstation was found for installation of equipments. No development of electricity & water connection was observed. It was found that the condition of building was getting deteriorated. Export Commissioner informed that testing equipments were partially procured & not yet installed due to lack of electricity & water connection. The

Sanctioned – 308.00 Released- 1 st & final instal.- 308.00	<p>State Government has earmarked Rs.67.00 lakh for the same. Planning Secretary has been requested to give more fund to support for cost escalation. Export Commissioner informed that the project was shifted from Zokhawthar to Thenzawl in Serchhip District as it was far more suitable and convenient due to its central location so as to cover the other proposed sites of ICP at Kawrpuichhuah, Lunglei District and LCS, Zorinpuri, Lawngtlai District and construction activities are already underway at this site.</p> <p>EC observed that it is a delayed ongoing project and there is likely to be cost escalation for the project due to the deterioration of building structures which may require more maintenance. EC observed that though the lab equipment which was purchased & was designed to test food items coming from Myanmar, it was not being used for the purpose.</p> <p>EC requested State Government to consider shifting the equipments to a suitable location on the border so that the equipment purchased can be put to the use it was intended to. The State Government was directed to procure the remaining equipments immediately. EC advised the State Government to finalise plan for operation and maintenance of the facility being created.</p>
---	--

2.4 NON-STARTER PROJECTS

Manipur

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
1	<p>27/13/2004/Infra-II Agri Exports C/o Pahari Store Dewlaland</p> <p>Farming/ cultivation of ginger for export.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 107.59 Released- 1st& final instal.- 107.59</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification, neither the promoter nor the cultivation site could be traced. Further, the Export Commissioner has been requested by both APEDA & DoC to trace the promoter and project site.</p> <p>Dy. Director, Trade & Commerce, Manipur informed that the promoter was contacted who informed that in the initial period ginger was cultivated on large scale. However, it could not be exported due to lack of marketing facility.</p> <p>EC observed that the purpose of funding the project may be verified and if plant & machinery or any fixed assets were to be set up, necessary action for recovery of the amount due may be initiated by the State Government. EC noted that UC & CC for the project has been received. APEDA informed that they were in the process of verifying component-wise funding for the project.</p> <p>EC decided that APEDA will provide details of utilisation of fund vis-a-vis the purpose it was released, based on which suitable decision can be taken.</p>
2	<p>27/14/2004/Infra-II Isii Development Association</p> <p>Farming/ cultivation of ginger for export.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 37.66 Released- 1st& final instal.- 37.66</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification, neither the promoter nor the cultivation site could be traced. Further, the Export Commissioner has been requested by both APEDA & DoC to trace the promoter and project site.</p> <p>Dy. Director, Trade & Commerce, Manipur informed that the promoter was contacted who informed that in the initial period ginger was cultivated on large scale. However, it could not be exported due to lack of marketing facility.</p> <p>EC observed that the purpose of funding the project may be verified and if plant & machinery or any fixed assets were to be set up, necessary action for recovery of the amount due may be initiated by the State Government. EC noted that UC & CC for the project has been received. APEDA informed that they were in the process of verifying component-wise funding for the project.</p> <p>EC decided that APEDA will provide details of utilisation of fund vis-a-vis the purpose it was released, based on which suitable decision can be taken.</p>

3	<p>27/03/2003/Infra-II Good Samaritan Social Services Association (later name changed to Exotic Juices Ltd.)</p> <p>Area expansion for organic passion fruit cultivation.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 103.27 Released- 1st& final instal.- 103.27</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification, neither the promoter nor the cultivation site could be traced. The inspection team could not find any cultivation of passion fruit in adjoining areas of the extraction unit of Exotic Juices which belongs to the same promoter. The inspection team of APEDA observed that lack of fruit resulted in failure of the extraction unit as well, but the cultivation was not taken up seriously by promoter. Further, the Export Commissioner has been requested by both APEDA & DoC to trace the promoter and project site and to investigate the reasons for closure of the project & explore possibilities of its revival.</p> <p>Dy. Director, Trade & Commerce, Manipur informed that there was some farming activity during initial period. However, due to higher transportation cost, the project could not continue further.</p> <p>EC observed that the purpose of funding the project may be verified and if plant & machinery or any fixed assets were to be set up, necessary action for recovery of the amount due may be initiated by the State Government. EC noted that UC & CC for the project has been received. APEDA informed that they were in the process of verifying component-wise funding for the project.</p> <p>EC decided that APEDA will provide details of utilisation of fund vis-a-vis the purpose it was released, based on which suitable decision can be taken.</p>
4	<p>27/06/2005/Infra-II Rural Development Foundation Association</p> <p>Setting up of a turmeric processing unit.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 100.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 50.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification, neither the promoter nor the cultivation site could be traced. Further, the Export Commissioner has been requested by both APEDA & DoC to trace the promoter and project site.</p> <p>Dy. Director, Trade & Commerce, Manipur informed that the promoter was contacted who informed that in the initial period turmeric was cultivated and infrastructure like building with storage facility was created. He also requested for balance funding of the project.</p> <p>EC observed that the purpose of funding the project may be verified and assessment of the assets created needs to be done. EC noted that UC & CC for the project has been received. APEDA informed that they were in the process of verifying component-wise funding for the project.</p> <p>EC decided that State Government & APEDA need to check the assets created with the EDF grant and initiate action for the recovery of the unutilised funds on approved items, if any. It was further decided that no further release of funding for this project would be considered. The State Government may consider funding the balance amount if project is found viable.</p>

Mizoram

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
5	<p>27/17/2004/Infra-II Kejriwal Bee Care India Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>Integrated bee keeping development.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 149.50 Released- 1st& final instal.- 149.50</p>	<p>APEDA informed that Export Commissioner had earlier informed on 11.10.2013 that the project could not be traced out and that the project had been flopped down and may be considered as liquidated or otherwise. APEDA informed that during the physical verification, the processing unit could not be traced out. APEDA had made all efforts to trace the promoter who has informed that bee units were distributed among farmers and training provided. APEDA also informed that the Export Commissioner has been requested to discuss with Dept of Agriculture & Horticulture of Mizoram to know the location of the project.</p> <p>Export Commissioner, Mizoram informed that the farmers' union to whom training & kits were provided, were contacted to ascertain the amount of fund utilisation for this project.</p>

		EC observed that there is a need to verify if infrastructure was to be created in the project and decided that the State Government may initiate proceedings for recovery of funds that were not utilised for the purpose intended.
--	--	---

Nagaland

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
6	<p>27/13/2001/Infra-II Handloom Development Foundation of Sikkim, Nagaland</p> <p>Pilot project for handloom export promotion from Nagaland.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 205.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 121.00 2ndinstal- 84.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification, neither the promoter nor the project site could be traced on the day of visit. Further, the Export Commissioner has been requested by both APEDA & DoC to trace the promoter and project site.</p> <p>Representatives of the State Government of Nagaland informed that the promoter had intimated by letter, that the programme was for only 2 years for weavers, which was completed successfully, after which the weavers went back to their villages. The weavers are currently producing handloom products and collection is being done by the promoter.</p> <p>EC observed that the purpose of funding the project may be verified. EC noted that 1st UC has been received, but 2nd UC & CC is pending.</p> <p>EC decided that after verification of the purpose of funding, necessary action for recovery of funds, if any, may be initiated by the State Government.</p>

2.5 PARTIALLY COMPLETED

Assam

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
1	<p>27/06/2004/Infra-II R&K Fishing & Agro Based Ltd.</p> <p>Safed musli cultivation & processing for export.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 72.15 Released- 1stinstal.- 36.08 2ndinstal- 36.07</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification the project was not found in operation. No machineries & equipments were found on the project site and no activity was observed. The entire project area was found abandoned and uncultivated. APEDA informed that only an Assam type house with 5 rooms were found constructed in the project site which was used as work shed and storage house (godown).</p> <p>State Government informed that some cultivation was done in the initial years of the project.</p> <p>EC observed that it is an agri based project and that the purpose of funding be verified. EC noted that single UC received for full EDF grant, though not in prescribed format.</p> <p>EC decided that after verification of purpose of funding by APEDA, action for recovery of unutilised fund for the purpose intended may be initiated by the APEDA and State Government.</p>
2	<p>27/16/2004/Infra-II 27/06/2007/Infra-II Patkai Herbs & Spices Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>Patkai labs at Guwahati, Assam.</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification the unit was found non-functional. The equipment and machineries procured for the project was shifted to another location at Khetri, Distt. Kamrup, Assam, which is around 55km away from Guwahati. The plot at Khetri is under long lease agreement with KrishiJigyās, belonging to the promoter. The venue at Khetri was also being used as a training centre for organic farming. Products like organic potting mixture, vermicompost, moss, azolla, bhutjalakia spray, etc. were</p>

<p>Sanctioned – 266.87 Released- 1stinstal.- 207.37 2ndinstal- 59.50</p>	<p>being produced in this centre. However, the activities undertaken at Khetri by the promoter is in the name of "KrishiJigyas", a proprietorship firm instead of Patkai Herbs & Spices Pvt. Ltd. since the bank declared it as a non-performing asset due to non-repayment of loan on time.</p> <p>EC noted that 1st UC was shown for Rs.29,625,000/- and was not in the prescribed GFR 19A format. The UC submitted for the 2nd instalment had shown full utilisation of the EDF grant along with repayment of term loan and cash credit accounts, which has been countersigned by APEDA.</p> <p>EC decided that the State Government must initiate necessary action for recovery of the funds provided for the project, which have been used for repayment of debt and give a report of the actual utilisation of funds based on a detailed assessment in consultation with APEDA.</p>
--	---

Arunachal Pradesh

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
3	<p>27/04/2003/Infra-II A.P.Handloom Development Services</p> <p>Replication of pilot project for handloom exports in Upper Siang Distt. on the lines of project sanctioned at Bomdila, Arunachal Pradesh.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 213.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 121.00 2ndinstal- 92.00</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification the project was not found in existence. However, two half constructed buildings on the verge of collapse were found.</p> <p>Resident Commissioner, Government of Arunachal Pradesh informed that the inquiry report has been submitted in respect of this project which highlighted that the money released did not commensurate with the work executed. The project is very poorly implemented. She further enquired about the Account in which the recovered money, if any, was to be deposited by the State Government. In this regard APEDA clarified that the recovered money may be deposited in APEDA's account.</p> <p>EC noted that 1st UC has been received, but 2nd UC & CC is pending. EC observed that DoC had already requested Chief Secretary, Government of Arunachal Pradesh to initiate action for recovery of funds from Implementing Agency. APEDA was also requested to take follow up action for recovery of funds with State Government.</p> <p>EC decided that the State Government must initiate necessary action for recovery of the funds provided for the project and give a report of the actual utilisation of funds based on a detailed assessment in consultation with APEDA.</p>
4	<p>27/11/2004/Infra-II A.P.Handicrafts Development Centre</p> <p>Development of export infrastructure and training for handicrafts artisans in Arunachal Pradesh</p> <p>Sanctioned – 218.50 Released- 1stinstal.- 137.00 2ndinstal- 81.50</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification neither the project nor promoter was found. Further, the Export Commissioner had been requested by both APEDA & DoC to trace the promoter and project site.</p> <p>Resident Commissioner, Arunachal Pradesh informed that the inquiry report has been submitted in respect of this project. The report highlighted that the project was said to be implemented at Pasighat under East Siang Distt., where infrastructure worth Rs.20,00,000/- was constructed, no machines were installed and no project has been undertaken. She further enquired about the Account in which the recovered money, if any, was to be deposited by the State Government. In this regard APEDA clarified that the recovered money, if any, may be deposited in APEDA's account.</p> <p>EC noted that 1st UC has been received but 2nd UC & CC is pending for the project.</p>

	EC decided that the State Government must initiate necessary action for recovery of the funds provided for the project and give a report of the actual utilisation of funds based on a detailed assessment in consultation with APEDA.
--	--

Nagaland

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
5	<p>27/12/2004/Infra-II Kenny Multipurpose Co-op Society Ltd.</p> <p>Farming/ cultivation of ginger for export.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 43.04 Released- 1st& final instal.- 43.04</p>	<p>APEDA informed that the ginger cultivation was replaced by potato cultivation after 3 years of project implementation. One store house was found constructed which was presently used for potato storing purpose. Required plant & machineries were not procured and no other fixed assets were created under this project.</p> <p>Representatives of Government of Nagaland informed that ginger cultivation was taken up earlier but due to market failure in 2007, cultivation of potato was started. It was informed by them that the machines for processing the potato have arrived.</p> <p>EC observed that no approval was taken for changing ginger cultivation to potato cultivation. EC noted that UC has been received, but CC is pending. APEDA informed that they were in the process of verifying component-wise funding for the project.</p> <p>EC decided that APEDA will provide details of utilisation of fund vis-a-vis the purpose it was released, based on which suitable decision can be taken. EC also requested State Government to send a proposal for change of project from farming/ cultivation of ginger to potato through APEDA.</p>
6	<p>27/08/2003/Infra-II Tyrannus Community MPCS Ltd.</p> <p>Production of canned mushroom for export.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 89.53 Released- 1stinstal.- 44.00 2ndinstal- 34.82</p>	<p>APEDA informed that the promoter had completed the civil structure but required machineries and other fixed assets were not procured due to cost escalation. Most of the civil work created were seen lying idle except the cropping room used for production of mushrooms. At present the society is selling dried mushroom locally and no canning is being done, as envisaged in the project.</p> <p>Representatives of Government of Nagaland informed that due to cost escalation, machinery for canning was not purchased.</p> <p>EC observed that after purchasing relevant machinery, the promoter could ensure value addition to the produce. EC noted that UC & CC for the project has been received.</p> <p>EC advised the State Government to assist the promoter by providing funding under other agricultural schemes for purchase of machinery for making the unit operational. Otherwise, the State Government may pursue for recovery of funds after a detailed assessment of the assets created.</p>

Sikkim

(Rs.in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Project Details	Decision of EC
7	<p>27/05/2007/Infra-II Parvatiya Vikas Sansthan</p>	<p>APEDA informed that during physical verification the unit was found in abandoned stage and the installed machineries could not be seen except the distillation unit. The promoter informed that the SCFE machine was sent back to the supplier for rectification. Moreover, no laboratory equipment/ instrument was observed during the visit. The promoter did not construct a new building in their own land but renovated a three storied rented building for</p>

<p>Setting up Sikkim's 1st processing & extraction unit for large cardamom.</p> <p>Sanctioned – 76.00 Released- 1stinstal.- 66.50</p>	<p>setting up the unit under this EDF project. The main pillar of the building was cracked due to earthquake during September, 2011 and hence commercial production could not start. The promoter had identified a new plot of land for shifting this unit. Therefore, APEDA did not recommend to release the balance 2nd instalment for the project.</p> <p>Addl. Resident Commissioner, Sikkim informed that the promoter was located but was not responding. She informed that efforts were being made by one of the promoters to revive the project.</p> <p>EC observed that the promoter might not be able to establish the unit due to financial constraints. EC noted that UC has been received, but CC is pending.</p> <p>EC decided that the State Government must initiate necessary action for recovery of the funds provided for the project and give a report of the actual utilisation of funds based on a detailed assessment in consultation with APEDA.</p>
--	---

2.6 UTILISATION OF RS.11.50 crore SURRENDERED BY APEDA

The EC was informed that there is a pending liability of Rs. 216 lakh in respect of one project namely Construction of Trade Facilitation Centre at Lawngtlai, Mizoram being implemented by Trade & Commerce Department, Government of Mizoram and in this case EC decided that the 2nd instalment may be considered if there is good progress in implementation of the project. EC further directed the State Government to submit the progress of the project after joint inspection of the project with APEDA. The utilization of the fund will be decided once a decision is taken on the above project proposal.

2.7 SANCTION OF NEW/ DEFERRED EDF PROJECTS

The funding of EDF-NER Scheme was made out of 10% of ASIDE fund (State Component) reserved for expenditure in the NER. In the budget for 2015-16, ASIDE Scheme (State Component) has been delinked from support of the Centre due to increased devolution of Union taxes to States from 32% to 42%. Consequently, no fund has been allocated under EDF-NER for the FY 2015-16 and 2016-17.

Hence, EC decided not to fund any fresh projects under EDF-NER Scheme.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE EMPOWERED COMMITTEE
MEETING ON EDF-NER HELD ON 11.05.2016**

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Designation</u>
1.	Shri A.K.Bhalla	Additional Secretary (in the Chair), DoC.
2.	Shri S.K.Sarangi	Joint Secretary, DoC.
3.	Ms.Rupa Dutta	Economic Adviser, DoC.
4.	Shri B.Praveen	Director, Infra-II, DoC.
5.	Shri Krishan Kumar chairman@apeda.gov.in	Chairman, APEDA.
6.	Ms.Gitanjali Gupta	Resident Commissioner, Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
7.	Shri K.N.Damo	Deputy Resident Commissioner, Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
8.	Shri Tapash Deb	OSD, Assam Bhawan, Government of Assam.
9.	Shri S.Joykumar Singh	DDI(FPI), Directorate of Commerce & Industries, Government of Manipur
10.	Ms.M.B.Roy	Director, Commerce & Industries Department, Government of Meghalaya.
11.	Shri W.Warshang	Asstt. Director, Commerce & Industries Department, Government of Meghalaya.
12.	Shri Zothan Khuma	Export Commissioner, Government of Mizoram.
13.	Shri Lhouchalie Viya	Additional Secretary, Industries & Commerce Department, Government of Nagaland.
14.	Shri Khrielie Peseyie	Deputy Director, Industries & Commerce Department, Government of Nagaland.
15.	Ms.Monalisa Dash	Additional Resident Commissioner, Sikkim House, Government of Sikkim.
16.	Shri Vineet Arora	FIEO, Management Executive.
17.	Dr.S.K.Sahoo sksahoo.dcsmc@ dcsmc.gov.in	Office of DC(MSME), M/o MSME, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
18.	Shri Ashish Jain ashishjain@fieo.org	Director, FIEO.
19.	Shri S.S.Nayyar ssnayyar@apeda.gov.in	General Manager, APEDA.
20.	Shri B.K.Baruah baruah@apeda.gov.in	AGM, APEDA.
21.	Shri I.H.Saikia	Consultant, APEDA.
22.	Shri Arindam Chaudhuri	Consultant, APEDA.
23.	Shri M.M.Natwar	Under Secretary, Infra-II, DoC.
24.	Shri Om Prakash	Section Officer, Infra-II, DoC.