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Minutes of the 3rd meeting of Empowered Committee (EC) on ‘Trade Infrastructure for Export Scheme (TIES)’ for Financial Year 2017-18 under the Chairpersonship of Commerce Secretary held on 19.12.2017 (Tuesday) at 02:30 P.M. in Room No. 141, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi.

List of participants is annexed.

The proposals received from implementing agencies under TIES funding were appraised and presented by the Project Monitoring Agency which has been appointed as Project Monitoring Agency. The proposals were deliberated in the Empowered Committee (EC) and following decisions were taken:

**Agenda item No. 1**

**Agency / Project details** – Tripura Industrial Development Corporation Limited

**Proposal** - Upgradation of Infrastructure at LCS Muhurighat, Belonia, South Tripura

**Total project cost** – Rs 16.85 Cr

- TIES share requested – Rs 12.29 Cr
- TIDCL (Own resources) – Rs 4.56 Cr

It was presented by the PMA that the volume of trade between Tripura and Bangladesh has increased from Rs 4.12 Cr in 1995-96 to Rs 383.72 Cr in 2015-16, whereas LCS Muhurighat has recorded average trade volume equivalent to Rs 25 Cr, mostly on account of import of goods from Bangladesh.

Commerce Secretary enquired about existing infrastructure gaps at LCS Muhurighat, where Implementing Agency (IA) informed that they only have one immigration facility with no permanent buildings, no warehousing facilities and no parking lot to facilitate trade. This proposal is for enhancing the trade by creating physical infrastructure with permanent structures having modern equipment.

It was apprised by PMA that currently exports from the facility are negligible, where IA informed that it is because of the barrier of trade on the Bangladesh side which is restricting the movement of goods.

PMA also mentioned that LCS Muhurighat is well connected to Chittagong port through road providing the shortest and fastest route compared to other LCS in Tripura region. LCS Sabroom which is located further south of LCS Muhurighat is yet to be made
operational and is not preferred in terms of road connectivity by the truckers as it passes through hilly terrain on the way to Chittagong. However PMA notified that third party vetting of the DPR is still awaited.

Principal Secretary (Industries & Commerce) and Export Commissioner Government of Tripura has recommended the proposal. IA informed that proposal has been vetted by Chief Engineer of the Agency and third party vetting of the proposal is being undertaken by Engineering wing of State PWD.

EA (RD) enquired about the distance between LCS Muhurighat and LCS Manughat, where IA clarified that LCS Manughat is in North Tripura while LCS Tripura is near the south border of Tripura.

AS & FA enquired about whether only bankable projects are to be considered under TIES. It was clarified that as per the guidelines priority would be given to infrastructure projects involving significant contribution of stakeholders and bank financing. The IA shall be responsible for O&M of assets created under the Scheme by way of collecting user charges from the members/users.

EC observed that the proposal is within the mandate of TIES scheme and is already in discussion with the secretary level talks with Bangladesh where there is requirement to upgrade infrastructure at Bangladesh side as well and remove restrictions on trade to boost flow of goods from both the sides. The proposal will augment infrastructure in anticipation of easing of trade restrictions through Tripura which will promote trade through the LCS.

Joint Secretary (Ms Anita Praveen), DoC (Nodal Officer for the State of Tripura) and Economic Advisor (DONER) also appreciated the proposal and supported the approval of the proposal under the TIES scheme.

EC observed that the proposal was vetted by the Chief Engineer of TIDCL. IA stated that no Central Agency is headquartered in the State, hence, the DPR was in the process of being vetted by the State Agency- PWD and is awaiting signatures of the concerned Chief Engineer and will be submitted within a week. EC suggested the DPR may, preferably, be vetted by central agency like RITES, NHAIDCL or NEDFI.

EC gave in-principle approval for Rs 12.29 Cr for the proposal with release of 1st installment of Rs 6.15 Cr, subject to vetting of DPR as above.

**Agenda item No. 2**
Agency / Project details – Land Ports Authority of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India

Proposal - Development of Integrated Check Post Petrapole: Proposal for construction of additional truck parking under TIES

Total project cost – Rs 32.24 Cr

- TIES share requested – Rs 13.66 Cr
- LPAI (Own resources) – Rs 18.58 Cr

EC in the 2nd Empowered Committee meeting had given in-principle approval for Rs 7.47 Cr for the proposal subject to the following:

- LPAI providing the details of the overall solution in coordination with FT (SA) division
- Phasing of the civil construction of the project in a manner to augment the parking capacity during various phases of the construction

It was presented by the PMA that ICP Petrapole is one of the busiest Land Customs station in Asia and accounts for 70% of the Indo – Bangladesh trade. The proposal was for enhancing the existing parking space of 1500 trucks by adding additional parking space for 500 trucks in lieu of the earlier proposed 240 trucks. However, the traffic inflow at the facility is witnessing nearly 2000 trucks every day at the checkpost. This overflow of traffic is causing lot of congestion on the highway outside the ICP Petrapole because of limited parking space in the premises and is a becoming a key public safety concern. Also, the problem is getting aggravated as the ICP Benapole (Bangladesh) allows only 300 –trucks and 150 chassis across the border every day, which is causing huge back log /pendency. The PMA presented that LPAI has submitted the revised proposal to accommodate 500 trucks from previously planned parking of 240 trucks at total cost of Rs. 32.24 Cr.

PMA apprised the issues being faced at the location i.e. dwell time for passage of trucks from the Petrapole facility is currently 10 – 12 days because of infrastructure issues at Bangladesh side. It was also mentioned by the PMA that in the longer term there are two other ICP’s coming in the vicinity, namely ICP Ghojadanga and ICP Hili which are 69 Km and 420 Km away from ICP Petrapole respectively. This might impact the overall traffic coming to ICP Petrapole currently due to which the traffic may get distributed evenly in future.

AS & FA enquired regarding the reasons for adding parking capacity on the Indian side when there is constraint of permitting movement of trucks on the Bangladesh side. Commerce Secretary also agreed to
the current situation and mentioned that the truckers are diverted to a private parking in Kalitala, Bandgaon where the truckers are being charged heavily and involves detour of several kilometers. The creation of additional space will provide the trucks to reach ICP Petrapole directly. Both the countries are operating their facility 24 hours a day and Bangladesh is in the process of developing roads and augmenting infrastructure facilities on their side. The officials of both the governments are in constant touch to resolve the issues on both the sides.

The IA mentioned that the creation of this additional parking facility will make it feasible to access the rest of waterlogged areas which can be developed later. It was mentioned that the DPR was vetted by RITES Ltd.

Commerce Secretary enquired about the implementation schedule of the project, where PMA mentioned that the implementation schedule has been revised to 9 months from 18 months.

IA requested that funding of 75% as TIES share be considered, however the EC decided to approve only 50% of the project cost as per the TIES guidelines. LPAI may re-approach MHA for balance funding which had earlier recommended the proposal.

EC decided to approve the proposal for TIES share of Rs. 13.66 Crore and release of first installment of Rs. 6.83 Crore.

**Agenda Item No. 3**

**Agency / Project details** – Coffee Board, Bengaluru (An autonomous government organization commodity board under Ministry of Commerce and Industry)

**Proposal** – Laboratory Infrastructure for Coffee Quality and Export Certification

**Total project cost** - Rs. 11.40 Cr

- TIES share requested - Rs. 5.70 cr.
- Coffee Board (own resources) – Rs. 5.70 cr.

It was presented that Coffee is one of the most widely traded agricultural commodities and India accounts for 4.56% of the world coffee production. India exports almost about 75% of the total coffee produced in the country to over 88 countries mainly Italy, Germany, Russia and Belgium etc. Currently many importing countries are emphasizing on various standards to be met during exports which involves testing of various parameters such as Japan (Pesticide content) and EU (Analysis on Ochratoxin). But there is no centralized laboratory for testing various parameters in order to cater to needs to exporters. At present, exporters have to take export permits from Coffee Board and use NABL labs present in Mumbai,
Chennai and Cochin for testing parameters specific to importing country.

In India, 70% of the coffee is produced in the state of Karnataka. Bengaluru is the capital city for coffee business not only for Karnataka but also for other traditional areas like Kerala and Tamil Nadu. Also more than 50% of the exporters of coffee are present in and around Bengaluru and they have specifically requested that a lab facility in Bengaluru would aid them in saving on costs and time. 80% of the coffee exports are exported majorly through Mangalore, Cochin and Chennai ports and Bengaluru is the central location to all these major ports and hence a central facility would be helpful in bringing more efficiency to the Coffee value chain.

It was apprised by the PMA that the total project cost is Rs 11.40 Cr out of which Rs 5.70 Cr is being sought by the Coffee Board under TIES and the remaining Rs 5.70 Cr will be provided by the Coffee Board. PMA apprised that the Plantation Division, DoC have recommended the proposal for approval.

The Director of Research, Coffee Board mentioned that in addition to exports happening from India, the imports of coffee has increased and after value addition are re-exported to other countries again. These imports would also use the facility in addition to the exporters already present in and around Bengaluru.

Joint Secretary, Plantation division mentioned that Coffee Board will be utilising unused funds available with it for implementation of project.

The Commerce Secretary also enquired whether they have the required personnel to operate the equipment to which the Director of Research, Coffee Board replied that they have 6 scientists who will be supervising the tests while hiring trained personnel on temporary basis.

The Commerce Secretary then enquired about the revenues that would come from these tests and the increase in expenses from Rs 1.15 Cr to Rs 3.89 Cr. The Director of Research, Coffee Board stated that they have envisaged around Rs 1.5 Cr revenue from two tests out of the whole range of tests to be undertaken in each of the first three years which is a conservative estimate. PMA also mentioned that Coffee Board provides 12000-15000 export certifications. The revenue projections are based on performing 2000 tests in the initial years and expects to increase the number of tests in sync with the number of export certifications. The Director of Research, Coffee Board also mentioned that the expenses will increase due to the increase in temporary man power.

EC decided to approve the proposal for TIES share of INR 5.70 Cr and approved release of first installment of Rs 2.85 Cr to the Coffee Board.

**Agenda Item No. 4**

*Agency / Project details* – Mormugao Port Trust, Goa
**Proposal** – Development of Storage Areas for Containers, Mormugao

**Total project cost** - Rs. 31.27 Cr

- TIES share requested - Rs. 8 cr.
- ASIDE share disbursed – Rs 7 cr
- Mormugao Port Trust (own resources) – Rs. 16.27 cr.

The EC remarked that this project has already been funded under ASIDE and it was informed to the IA that the project was to be completed with its own resources. The proposal was thus disallowed.

**Agenda Item No. 5**

**Agency / Project details** – Export Inspection Council

**Proposal** – Establishing the facility for analysis of origin and authenticity of various food products

**Total project cost** - Rs. 8.10 Cr

- TIES share requested - Rs. 3.04 cr.
- Export Inspection Council – Rs. 5.06 cr.

It was presented that EIC is the official export inspection and certification body in India for quality control. There is no facility for the analysis of origin and authenticity of various food products. Hence they are procuring NMR spectrometer which can test the authenticity of any food product and currently none of the EIC laboratories located in various parts of the country is equipped with it. It was stated that the civil cost for the building is being borne by EIC in its facility in Mumbai and the equipment is being procured for that facility itself.

Currently there is no testing carried out prior to issuance of Certificate of Origin and exporters provide a self-certification for the authenticity of their product. It was presented that there is a gaining importance in the international trade for authenticity testing especially of products such as Honey and Tea. There have been fraudulent claims which has resulted in rejection of shipments by US and other countries. There is a requirement of certification of authenticity of honey as it is mixed with non-natural sweet syrups. Similarly, trading of tea claiming to be of a specific region e.g. Darjeeling tea is also a matter of concern. India exports large quantities of honey, tea etc. to various countries and hence a facility to verify its authenticity is required.

Director, EIC mentioned that the NMR spectrometer verifies the authenticity of the product similar to a DNA fingerprint. Director, EIC further stated that database of various soil samples will be developed and product verification will be done based on samples
from the database and the equipment can verify its authenticity up
to 50 km of origin of product. Director, EIC also stated that the
equipment is very sophisticated and it requires 24 hours power
supply, temperature fluctuations of less than 2 degrees and they
have already developed the building based on these requirements.

The Joint Secretary, EIC Division mentioned that FSSAI can also use
the facility for verification of the authenticity of imported products.
JS (SKS) also mentioned that US is using NMR machines to reject
honey shipments from India and there are also complaints over
Nepalese Tea being marketed as Darjeeling Tea. This would
strengthen the pre-export certification process within the country.

Economic Adviser, DIPP pointed out that Tata Institute of
Fundamental Research (TIFR) have a NMR spectrometer which is
already being utilised by the industry. EIC may look into examine
the nature of testing undertaken by TIFR and also consider
rationalization of the user charges proposed to be levied.

EC directed EIC Division to examine into whether establishing of the
proposed facility in Mumbai would not be a duplication of existing
facilities and if charges of EIC can be reduced.

EC gave in-principle approval to the proposal under TIES for Rs 3.04
Cr with first installment of Rs 1.52 Cr on submission of report
regarding the non duplication of the existing facility from EIC
Division, DoC.

**Agenda item No. 6**

**Agency / Project details** – Noida Special Economic Zone Authority,
Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India

**Proposal** - Establishment of Solid Waste Management System at
NSEZ

**Total project cost** – Rs 4.25 Cr

- TIES share requested – Rs 2.13 Cr
- NSEZ (Own resources) – Rs 2.13 Cr

It was presented by the PMA that Noida SEZ is one of the importance
SEZ located over an area of 310 Acre having total 361 operational
units. It has exported nearly Rs 11000 Cr worth of export in yr 2016
– 17.

The proposal is about setting up of Solid waste management plant.
PMA also mentioned that as a part of the regulatory norm, the Union
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC) has
notified new policies in 2016 for solid waste treatment.
As per the policy, the segregation of waste is compulsory at source and all gated communities and institutions with more than 5000 sqm area shall within one year from the date of notification of these rules shall ensure segregation of waste in separate streams.

PMA also mentioned that the proposal is about processing the organic waste which at present constitutes to 70% of the total waste produced by NSEZ generating nearly 5 ton of organic waste every day.

PMA mentioned that this is a facility from a regulatory point of view and not for profit generation, they will factor in the charges of solid waste treatment as part of the rentals charged every year by NSEZ to all the industries.

AS & FA enquired regarding the need for funding infrastructure projects in SEZs when they are financially independent institutions with sufficient reserves.

PMA mentioned that IA has sufficient funds in their reserves of almost Rs 88 Cr and can easily fund their own project, however, IA explained that Noida SEZ is one of the first SEZ established by the government and is now facing the need for infrastructure upgradation. Various projects of infrastructure upgradation have been taken up by the SEZ authorities.

EC enquired about the operations & Maintenance charges which the facility proposes to charge to the industries located in the NSEZ, where IA mentioned that they are charging annual maintenance charges to all the industries and plan to recover the operational expenses through the maintenance charges. IA explained to the EC that they presently charge Rs 1900 / sqm per annum as annual maintenance charge which is increased by 7% every year. Assuming an average plot size of 200 sqm, the annual maintenance charge comes to Rs 380,000 per annum. The operational cost for Solid waste treatment facility would increase the annual maintenance charge by Rs 40,000 per unit per annum. The SEZ authority would be bearing the increase of annual maintenance charge and would be recovering through annual increase, thereby not passing the increased burden to the exporting units. Approval of the grant in aid will enable them to better utilize their own funds in other infrastructure upgradation activities of the NSEZ.

EC decided to approve the proposal for TIES share of Rs. 2.13 Crore and release of first installment of Rs. 1.07 Crore.

**Agenda Item No. 7**

**Agency / Project details** – Mangalore SEZ (MSEZ) / Visvesvaraya
Trade Promotion Centre (VTPC)

**Proposal** – Expansion of Common Effluent Treatment Plant

**Total project cost** – Rs. 20.08 Cr

- TIES share requested - Rs. 10.04 cr.
- Mangalore SEZ Limited (MSEZL) (own resources) – Rs. 10.04 cr.

It was presented that MSEZ is currently treating 1.5 MLD process water and 1.5 MLD contaminate rain water. MSEZL has leased land to fish export units like Ocean Authentic Treasure, Ulka Seafood’s Pvt. Ltd., Gadre Marine Exports and Yeshaswini Marine Exports etc. which are under construction and some are already operational and the rest will be operational in 2018. These units will need treated water in their respective units for their operations.

The EC sought details of operationalization and utilisation of the existing CETP facility which was funded from the state component of ASIDE. MSEZL representative informed that the current CETP project of 3 MLD is already operational and is being utilized upto its optimal capacity.

It was apprised by the PMA that MSEZL has major stake holding from the private sector and hence it is not an eligible agency under TIES. Hence MSEZL has brought VTPC into the project and will sign a MoU with them making VTPC the owning agency. PMA remarked that if VTPC is the implementing agency, the proposed CETP unit cannot be considered an expansion of the existing facility which was earlier executed by MSEZ.

EC remarked that incase VTPC proposes to be the implementing agency, they’ll have to own the facility and commit their share of the funds. The proposal may be suitably reframed with VTPC as the implementing agency with MSEZ and VTPC reaching an understanding regarding the execution and operationalization of the project.

EC decided to defer the proposal.

**Agenda Item No. 8**

**Agency / Project details** – Mangalore SEZ (MSEZ) / Visvesvaraya Trade Promotion Centre (VTPC)

**Proposal** – Expansion of Tertiary Treatment Plant from 5 MGD to 10 MGD

**Total project cost** – Rs. 23.51 Cr

- TIES share requested - Rs. 11.75 cr.
- Mangalore SEZ Limited (MSEZL) (own resources) – Rs. 11.76 cr.
It was apprised by the PMA that MSEZL has major stake holding from the private sector and hence it is not an eligible agency under TIES. Hence MSEZL has brought VTPC into the project and will sign a MoU with them making VTPC the owning agency. PMA remarked that if VTPC is the implementing agency, the proposed CETP unit cannot be considered an expansion of the existing facility which was earlier executed by MSEZ.

EC remarked that incase VTPC proposes to be the implementing agency, they'll have to own the facility and commit their share of the funds. The proposal may be suitably reframed with VTPC as the implementing agency with MSEZ and VTPC reaching an understanding regarding the execution and operationalization of the project.

EC decided to defer the proposal.

**Agenda Item No. 9**

**Agency / Project details** – Vishakapatnam Urban Development Authority (VUDA) / Commissioner of Industries, Andhra Pradesh

**Proposal** – Upgradation of Fishing Harbour, Vishakapatnam

**Total project cost** - Rs. 40 Cr

- TIES share requested - Rs. 20 cr.
- Commissioner of Industries, Andhra Pradesh – Rs. 20 cr.

EC decided to defer the proposal as the IA for the project was not clear and no representative of the project was present in the meeting.
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