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Minutes of the 1\textsuperscript{st} meeting of Empowered Committee (EC) on 'Trade Infrastructure for Export Scheme (TIES)' for Financial Year 2018-19 under the Chairpersonship of Commerce Secretary held on 19.06.2018 (Tuesday) at 04:00 P.M. in Room No. 141, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi.

List of participants is annexed.

The proposals received from various implementing agencies seeking TIES funding were appraised and presented by the Project Monitoring Agency before the Empowered Committee and which deliberated on the facts presented and the following decisions were taken:

\textbf{Agenda Item No. 1}

\textit{Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Andhra Pradesh MedTech Zone}

\textit{Proposal} – Setting up of EMI/EMC, Biomaterials, 3D Design and Prototyping and Gamma Irradiation Labs

\textbf{Total project cost} - Rs. 168.86 Cr

- TIES share sanctioned - Rs. 40 cr.
- AMTZ (own resources) – Rs. 128.86 cr.
- 1\textsuperscript{st} instalment released – Rs 4 Cr

\textbf{Release of 2nd instalment sought} – Rs 9 Cr

It was presented by PMA that AMTZ has utilized Rs 4 Cr released as 1\textsuperscript{st} instalment under TIES. AMTZ has also submitted the Utilization Certificate (UC) for Rs 4 Cr of the 1\textsuperscript{st} installment of TIES fund sanctioned. Till date AMTZ has made a cumulative expenditure of Rs 14 Cr.

PMA mentioned that it has undertaken the six monthly site visit on 21\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018 and PMC meeting was held on 9\textsuperscript{th} June, 2018. The construction of the 4 projects is progressing well and there is a slight delay of 2 months due to delay in obtaining necessary regulatory approvals for the projects. IA clarified that due to clearance required by AERB for Gamma Irradiation lab which took 45 days, the project has been delayed and would be completed by 30\textsuperscript{th} December, 2018 at the latest. IA mentioned that the other facilities would be completed latest by October, 2018. PMA mentioned that during the site visit in April, the physical progress of the projects was
slow but to expedite the physical progress IA along with contractors had planned increasing the work shifts and later increased the number of working shifts from 1 to 2. This has resulted in speeding up of the works.

EC directed IA to adhere to the new timelines and asked PMA to monitor the same.

PMA mentioned that the all the workers at site are following the necessary safety norms like wearing helmet, safety shoes, safety jackets, gloves, safety belts. Laboratory Tests were conducted for various civil items such as coarse aggregate, sand, Fly ash bricks and Mix Design material among others. PMA had during its visit recommended additional safety measures such as barricade of excavated site, more safety markings on site and formation of Non-Conformance Report which were taken care of by the IA on the same day of the site visit.

EC observed that TIES and AMTZ share are in 1:3 and AMTZ may take note of it before placing the demand for release of next instalment in future. MD, AMTZ informed that the purchase order for the equipment which constitutes a large part of the expenditure has been placed and would require funds for the LC to be opened and operated. He informed that the State Government has made provision for the funds through the budget.

EC decided to approve the release of 2nd installment of Rs 9 Cr.

**Agenda Item No. 2**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – Advanced Research School for Technology and Product Simulation (ARSTPS), R&D wing of CIPET

**Proposal** – Common Facilities Centre for Design, Prototype & Tool Room for Automobile, Aerospace and Engineering Clusters

**Total project cost** - Rs. 32 Cr

- TIES share - Rs. 16 cr.
- ARSTPS, CIPET (own resources) – Rs. 16 cr.

PMA mentioned that ARSTPS carries out applied research and provides R&D, Conceptual Design, CAD/CAM/CAE services. These services are provided for plastic component manufacturers for Auto, Aerospace, Healthcare industries. Currently no such facility encompassing all services is currently available in Chennai.
PMA mentioned that this proposal was placed in the 2nd EC meeting of FY 2017 but had been deferred as Nodal Department of CIPET which is Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers had not recommended the proposal in absence of their Governing Council Meeting. EC was informed that the Nodal Department now has recommended the proposal for approval.

PMA mentioned that comments of DHI were obtained. The Department of Heavy Industries has informed that there are a large number of initiatives taken for promotion of engineering and automobile sector by establishment of tool rooms at various locations of the country, setting of centre for Excellence for Aerospace at IIT Mumbai Advance Technology Centre at IIT Chennai for automobiles and electric mobility. EEPC and SIAM also mentioned the presence of tool rooms across the country and the other facilities created for the automotive sector. EP(Engg.), DoC also suggested that the proposal needs modifications in consultation with the user industry.

PMA informed EC that ACMA has supported the proposal while suggesting that the charges be low so that the SMEs could make use of the facility and some focus areas like sting mould flow analysis, Materials testing and metallurgical services, Press tool design and manufacture (medium sector) – especially progressive tools and fine blanking, 3D printing, Vibration testing, be made available. Project Director, CIPET-ARSTPS responded that some of the facilities are being made available through the facility.

Sr. Development Officer, DHI during the meeting supported the funding of the proposal but suggested that CIPET confirm its use through a demand survey and may also consider contribution from the industry which would ensure success of the proposal.

CS enquired regarding the current scenario of procurement of these services by automobile and other industries. DHI representative stated that currently the industries either do the research in-house or there are such facilities available in Pune. DHI representative mentioned that no such facility is available in Chennai which is the export hub for these industries.

CS enquired from the IA whether they have considered industrial participation and plan for recovery of costs from the user charges. IA mentioned that they are already working with industries such as Mahindra, Ford etc. and providing them with services in line with their expertise. IA also mentioned that the user charge details have been provided.

AS(SC) enquired of the difference of user charges to be levied with and without the grant, to which the IA responded that if grant is not approved the User Charges/hour proposed to be levied will increase from Rs. 1750/- to Rs. 2750/- per machine hour.
EC sought details of commitment from the user agencies regarding the use of the facility by the industry so as to ensure capacity utilisation. EC suggested IA to obtain and furnish commitment letters from industries to establish the need and the reasonability of the rates so that the facility created will be utilized by the industries.

EC decided to defer the proposal.

**Agenda Item No. 3**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – Export Inspection Council (EIC)

**Proposal** – Construction of referral laboratory of Export Inspection Agency – Delhi at Faridabad

**Total project cost** - Rs. 42.82 Cr
- TIES share - Rs. 20 cr.
- EIC (own resources) – Rs. 22.82 cr.

JS (SKS) mentioned that EIC has acquired land from HUDA for constructing a lab of EIA at Faridabad. JS (SKS) mentioned that the current proposal is for creation of G+4 floors of lab facility which at this current stage is not required and EIC can have such a facility presently with only ground floor construction. JS (SKS) mentioned that the proposal will be revised in consultation with EIC and resubmitted.

EC decided to defer the proposal.

**Agenda Item No. 4** (List of New/Fresh Project Proposals)

**Implementing Agency (IA):** MEPZ-SEZ Authority

**Proposal:** Revamping of 1 mld Sewerage Treatment Plant (STP) at MEPZ – Chennai

**Total project cost** - Rs. 2.81 Cr
- TIES share - Rs. 1.25 cr.
- MEPZ SEZ (own resources) – Rs. 1.56 cr.
PMA presented that there is a requirement of 3.5 mld in total in MEPZ SEZ, based on the employment projections shared by IA for next 10 years. Being the developer of these buildings, MEPZ SEZ Authority had to provide for disposal of sewage water. PMA presented that all the compliances required for the funding under TIES have been fulfilled. At present there is a 1 MLD STP which was set up by MEPZ SEZ Authority in the year 2004 at a cost of Rs. 2.25 crore. The Plant was built taking 10 years requirement. The funds were provided by Govt. of India under erstwhile ASIDE scheme. The existing STP with 1 MLD capacity is located in an area of 1250 sq.mtrs (12500. Sq.ft).

PMA mentioned that the proposal has been recommended by SEZ Division.

The MEPZ-SEZ is surrounded by a number of residential localities which are densely populated. In addition to this, there are rainwater lakes located at a short distance from the Zone. Non up-gradation of the existing STP may result in overflow of excess untreated sewage into the residential area and further into the water bodies. Since this may cause a great environmental hazard, the revamping/up-gradation of the STP is highly essential from the social perspective.

CS enquired as to why the STP proposals in the agenda cannot be considered for funding under schemes of MoEF&CC/DIPP. DC, MSEZ informed that infrastructure in the SEZ was created with the support of DoC earlier. The infrastructure Upgradation scheme of DIPP is not operational.

EA(RD) enquired whether such STP infrastructure is linked to export. DC, SEZ responded that SEZ is primarily to export and the creation of the facility is legal compliance requirement as per the prevalent law.

DC, MSEZ explained that the current 1 MLD STP had undergone wear and tear. The Sewage Treatment Plant needed technological up gradation and overhauling (Revamping) and that it was operating at low capacity. DC, MSEZ informed that in 2004, when the 1 MLD STP was constructed, there were only 40 units in the SEZ, however, now the number of units are more than 125. If this facility is not revamped, MEPZ-SEZ Units will not be in a position to get environmental clearances from the TNPCB and their operations will be stopped. This will also
bring down the exports. DC, MSEZ informed that 80% of the work has been completed through an agency engaged by NBCC.

DC, MSEZ explained that there are no separate user charges to be collected from the units for the capital cost and shall be part of the lease rent collected which is presently Rs 145/- per sqm/annum. The annual rent is normally increased by 10% every year. The recovery of the total capital cost of the combined 3.5 mld facility from the units would involve a steep increase of 54% in the annual rents which is not feasible. DC, MSEZ gave an example that if the capital cost for both the facilities is to be recovered then for a plot in the MSEZ, the rental would be Rs.184 per sq m with the availability of grant. There would be a similar increase in the annual rent for the built units in the SEZ. DC, MSEZ mentioned that the operation and maintenance shall be recovered through the user charges from supply of treated water with a marginal profit recovery. DC, MSEZ informed that with the approval of the grant the burden on exporters will be reduced of the likely increase in annual rent due to the creation of the facility.

DC, MSEZ mentioned that the operation and maintenance would be by an outsourced agency which shall recover maintenance cost through the user charges from supply of treated water with a marginal profit recovery. DC, MSEZ informed that the agency would be identified by an open bid process as per the rules.

EC considered the following components of the projects for funding under TIES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details of Project Components</th>
<th>Cost (in Rs. lakh)</th>
<th>Eligible Cost for funding under TIES (in Rs. lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil construction works</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply erection, Installation, Testing and commissioning of Plant &amp; machinery</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Grant admissible under TIES @ 50% of eligible cost = Rs.125 lakhs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC directed IA to submit a plan of ramping up of the annual rental so that partial cost recovery is made. EC decided to approve the project with TIES share of Rs. 1.25 crore and release of 1st instalment of Rs. 0.63 cr. under TIES.
**Agenda Item No. 1(5)** (List of New/Fresh Project Proposals)

**Implementing Agency (IA):** MEPZ-SEZ Authority

**Project Name:** Construction of 2.5 mld Sewerage Treatment Plant (STP) at MEPZ SEZ, Chennai

**Total project cost** - Rs. 11.63 Cr

- TIES share - Rs. 5.17 cr.
- MEPZ SEZ (own resources) – Rs. 6.46 cr.

PMA presented that there is a requirement of 3.5 mld in total in MEPZ SEZ, based on the employment projections shared by IA for next 10 years. Being the developer of these buildings, MEPZ SEZ Authority had to provide for disposal of sewage water. PMA presented that all the compliances required for the funding under TIES have been fulfilled.

PMA mentioned that the proposal has been recommended by SEZ Division.

PMA mentioned that M/s. National Building Construction Corporation, a Public Sector Undertaking of Govt. of India studied the STP requirement and submitted a report stating that the existing 1 MLD STP needs to be revamped immediately and also additional STP with a 2.5 MLD capacity is to be created taking into account the present employment and the likely future employment of the Zone.

The MEPZ-SEZ is surrounded by a number of residential localities which are densely populated. In addition to this, there are rainwater lakes located at a short distance from the Zone. Non-upgradation of the existing STP may result in overflow of excess untreated sewage into the residential area and further into the water bodies. Since this may cause a great environmental hazard, the revamping/up-gradation of the STP is highly essential from the social perspective.

The proposal to treat of 3.5 MLD of water from the two STP facilities (1.0 MLD and 2.5 MLD = 3.5 MLD) and reuse the treated was is the need of the hour in the water starved cities like Chennai. Such projects will help further reducing the depletion of water table in the already water starved cities and is welcome from the social perspective.
DC, MSEZ explained that there are no separate user charges to be collected from the units for the capital cost and shall be part of the lease rent collected which is presently Rs 145/- per sqm/annum. The annual rent is normally increased by 10% every year. The recovery of the total capital cost of the combined 3.5 mld facility from the units would involve a steep increase of 54% in the annual rents which is not feasible. DC, MSEZ gave an example that if the capital cost for both the facilities is to be recovered then for a plot in the MSEZ, the rental would be Rs.184 per sq. m with the availability of grant. There would be a similar increase in the annual rent for the built units in the SEZ. DC, MSEZ informed that with the approval of the grant the burden on exporters will be reduced of the likely increase in annual rent due to the creation of the facility.

DC, MSEZ mentioned that the operation and maintenance would be by an outsourced agency which shall recover maintenance cost through the user charges from supply of treated water with a marginal profit recovery. DC, MSEZ informed that the agency would be identified by an open bid process as per the rules.

EC considered the following components of the projects for funding under TIES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details of Project Components</th>
<th>Cost (in Rs. lakh)</th>
<th>Cost eligible for funding under TIES (in Rs. lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil construction works</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply erection, Installation, Testing and commissioning of Plant &amp; machinery</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>1034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Grant admissible under TIES @ 50% of eligible cost = Rs.517 lakhs

EC directed IA to submit a plan of ramping up of the annual rental so that partial cost recovery is made. EC decided to approve the project with total TIES share of Rs. 5.17 crore and release of 1st instalment of Rs. 2.59 cr. under TIES.
Agenda Item No. 1(6) (List of New/Fresh Project Proposals)

Implementing Agency (IA) : MEPZ-SEZ Authority

Proposal: Construction of Trade Facilitation Centre at MEPZ SEZ – Chennai

Total project cost - Rs. 26.10 Cr
- TIES share - Rs. 9.41 cr.
- MEPZ SEZ (own resources) – Rs. 16.70 cr.

IA explained that the Zone has already got fully saturated and further scope for expansion within the Zone is limited, the Units are not in a position to expand their operating area to provide for common facility infrastructure. The following components will form part of it:
- Conference Hall for large meetings and exhibitions.
- Meeting Hall for buyer and sellers
- Product Display centres for exhibition and demonstration.
- Skill Development Centre for training purposes.
- Incubation centres.
- Patent Centres.
- Machine Tool Centres.
- Financial institutions like Banks.
- Bus Bay for parking of buses carrying employees.

PMA mentioned that conference facilities are available within the vicinity of MEPZ SEZ. Also MEPZ SEZ is located at a distance of 13 km from Chennai Trade Centre, TNTPO.

EC observed that there is already a project for Expansion of Chennai Trade Center approved under TIES to TNTPO and, therefore, there is no proper justification for another convention center in Chennai.

EC decided not to approve the proposal.
**Agenda Item No. II (1) to II(4) - Project in implementation stage funded under ASIDE**

*Proposed by: Council of leather Exports (CLE)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item No.</th>
<th>II(1)</th>
<th>II(2)</th>
<th>II(3)</th>
<th>II(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Common Facility Centre in Melvisharam, Tamil Nadu by Visharam Tanners Common Facility Centre Private Limited</td>
<td>Creation of Additional 596 KLD capacity system in Madhavaram CETP</td>
<td>Upgradation of RANITEC CETP</td>
<td>Creation of Additional ZLD capacity in VISHTEC CETP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost (in Rs. Cr.)</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>18.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund approved under ASIDE</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund released under ASIDE</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMA explained the project structure of CLE projects approved under ASIDE. Further, PMA also highlighted the difference in project structuring of ASIDE projects and TIES projects. PMA explained that CLE was presently only a fund channeling partner and had no stake in the SPVs created for executing and operating the facilities. The SPVs will be operating and maintaining the assets created. There was no mechanism for CLE to exercise control of the operations and maintenance of the facility so as to ensure the benefits of the grant are passed on by the SPV.

PMA informed that comments of DIPP which operates a sectoral scheme for leather were sought for and that DIPP responded by indicating that since the funds for the projects were earlier provided under the ASIDE Scheme of DoC, it may consider balance funding. PMA also informed that EP(LSG), DoC has supported the funding of the project proposals.

The land was in the possession of the SPVs while the TIES guidelines mandate the land to be in the possession of the implementing agency in this case CLE. The commitment of fund has been made by CLE as per the guidelines of TIES but is based on the commitments made by the
SPVs over which is cannot exercise any control.

PMA highlighted the relevant para of the EFC minutes of TIES wherein it has been mentioned that
"...that liabilities of the State under the State components of the ASIDE Scheme will not be passed on to the new scheme. State Governments may complete the ongoing projects from their own resources. As the Central component of the ASIDE scheme would be subsumed in the new scheme, the financial support to the ongoing projects of Central Government Agencies be now restricted to 50% as per the revised financing patterns of the new scheme."

PMA mentioned that funding of projects may be accordingly restricted to 50% of eligible costs.

Vice Chairman, CLE responded that CLE is the 'administrative office' for implementation of all aspects of the project. An agreement between CLE and SPV would be prepared and signed for operation and running of the facility. Regulation of user charges would also be covered in the agreement. The draft agreement is currently under preparation and we will be submitting the draft agreement to DOC for concurrence before finalization. Release of balance grant is utmost essential to complete and operationalize these facilities. Vice Chairman, CLE explained that for two projects in Melvisharam (For establishment of Common Facility Centre and Creation of Additional 750KLD capacity at CETP), the SPV says that without grant, the projects will not be completed at all.

JS(AP) informed that the projects require funding support for completion.

EA (RD) opined that the projects may be supported and completed with TIES funding as per the TIES guidelines i.e. maximum 50% of eligible project cost under TIES. She informed that the same has been concurred with on file by the IFD based on the EFC minutes. She however reiterated that this was only for central agencies funded under the central component.

EC accorded in-principle approval to the projects subject to 50% of eligible cost of each project. However, the release of fund shall be subject to the following conditions
i) CLE enters into an agreement with the SPV on time bound execution of the project, ensuring proper maintenance of the facility
ii) Regulation of user charges may be done with the consent of CLE as per agreement
iii) Vetting of the agreement by the IFD
iv) Contribution of SPVs deposited with CLE

**Agenda Item No. III (1)**

**Implementing Agency (IA) - Karnataka Fisheries Development Corporation (KFDC)**

**Proposal** – Modernization of infrastructure facility for Marine Exports at Tadadi, Kumta Taluk, Uttara Kannada District, Karnataka

**Total project cost** - Rs 13.34 Cr
- TIES share - Rs 5 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 2 Cr

Based on the Implementation Schedule, following project activities should have been completed against which there has been little progress:
- Tender for Civil Works
- Civil Works and Building
- Cold Storage Insulation
- Tender for Plant & Machinery
- Order for Plant & Machinery
- Cold Storage Machine Erection

PMA observed there is no physical progress in the Project due to which PMA site visit also couldn’t be undertaken. EC observed that code of conduct was in place only for 4 months, therefore IA should have commenced the work after receipt of TIES funding, which was released more than a year ago.

MD, KFDC informed the EC that tenders for the work were floated thrice and received only single tenders in first two attempts. Tenders for the work have been finalized and work awarded last week. The work is expected to be completed by June 2019.
EC directed IA to provide revised schedule of work and PMA to monitor the revised schedule rigorously. PMA may co-ordinate with IA and DoC for finalization of the Site Visit date after some progress is achieved as per revised schedule.

**Agenda Item No. III (2)**

**Implementing Agency (IA)** – Visvesvaraya Trade Promotion Centre (VTPC)

**Proposal** – Establishment of Coastal Cashew Research and Development Foundation, Kumta

**Total project cost** – Rs9.55 Cr
- TIES share - Rs 3.31 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 1 Cr

Based on the Implementation Schedule, following project activities should have been completed against which there has been no progress:

- Tender for Civil Works
- Civil Works & Buildings
- Cold Storage Insulation
- Tender for Plant & Machinery
- Order for Plant & Machinery
- Cold Storage Machine Erection
- Erection of Plant & Machinery

PMA observed there is no physical progress in the Project due to which PMA visit also couldn’t be undertaken.

MD, VTPC informed that the work has been entrusted to KIADB. MD, VTPDC further informed that the tender opening date for the civil works was initially scheduled prior to the elections but due to declaration of election code of conduct, the process could not be completed. The tender process has now been completed and tender are to be opened on 23rd June & financial bid in last week of June 2018.
EC observed that code of conduct in the State was in place only for 4 months, therefore IA should have commenced the work after receipt of TIES funding, which was released more than a year ago.

EC directed IA to expedite the physical works by finalizing the tender by the end of the month or refund the grant received by IA under TIES. IA may also submit revised PERT chart. Further, EC directed the PMA to schedule a site visit, post some physical progress in the project.

**Agenda Item No. III (3)**

**Implementing Agency (IA)– Cochin SEZ**

**Proposal** – Construction of SDF Building at Cochin SEZ

**Total project cost** – Rs61.63 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 20 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 6.5 Cr

PMA observed there is no delay in physical progress of the project. DC, Cochin SEZ informed that 21.48% of the work has been completed against 22% of civil work to be completed till date. PMA visit was conducted on 27.02.2018 and a PMC visit was conducted on 28.03.2018.

EC suggested IA to maintain the pace of work and ensure timely completion of the project

**Agenda Item No. III (4)**

**Implementing Agency (IA)– Airport Authority of India (AAI)**

**Proposal** – Establishment of Integrated Cargo Terminal at Imphal International Airport

**Total project cost** – Rs 16.2 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 11.92 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 6 Cr

Based on the Implementation schedule, following project activities should have been completed against which there has been little progress

- Obtaining Statuary Clearance (Municipal/ Environment/ NOC etc.)
- Pre-Construction activities like detailed Engineering, Tendering and Award of work.
- Project Construction (Civil Works)

PMA observed there is no physical progress in the Project due to which PMA visit also couldn’t be undertaken.
Chairman, AAI informed that there was change of execution agency which caused delay in the start of work. The work is now expected to start in July 2018 and end by March 2019.

EC directed IA to expedite the physical works and submit the revised PERT chart. PMA may co-ordinate with IA and DoC for finalization of the site visit date, some time in Nov, 2018.

**Agenda Item No. III (5)**

**Implementing Agency (IA)— Tamil Nadu Trade Promotion Organization (TNTPO)**

**Proposal** – Expansion project of Chennai Trade Centre

**Total project cost** – Rs 288.16 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 20 Cr
- Installment sanctioned – Rs 10 Cr

Based on the Implementation Schedule, following project activities should have been completed against which there was negligible progress:
- Preconstruction
- Basement works
- Ground floor construction
- Mezzanine floor 1 construction
- Mezzanine floor 2 construction
- Structural works

PMA observed that there is no physical progress in the Project due to which PMA visit also couldn’t be undertaken.

MD, TNTPO informed that the project is yet to be started as project approval is pending with DoC. Commerce Secretary remarked that the approval for the project was given by DoC itself
and there was no reason for not undertaking the preparatory activities like tendering etc for the execution of the work. MD, TNTPO informed that these tender papers were ready and shall be issued soon.

EC directed IA to submit the revised PERT chart and ensure timely completion of the project.

*Agenda Item No. III (6)*

**Implementing Agency (IA)— HLL Medipark Limited, Tamil Nadu**

**Proposal** – Setting up of (EMI/EMC) Lab for Medical Technology at Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu

**Total project cost** – Rs21.07 Cr  
- TIES share - Rs 9.56 Cr  
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 4.78 Cr

Based on the Implementation Schedule, following project activities should have been completed:
- Appointment of consultant  
- Site Survey & Geotechnical Investigation  
- Preparation of Drawing & Estimate  
- Tendering of bids & Award of work  
- Sub structural work

CEO, HLL Medipark Ltd. mentioned that following works have been completed as part of Physical Progress:
- Appointment of Consultant  
- Site Survey & Geotechnical Investigation  
- Preparation of Drawing & Estimate

PMA observed there is no physical progress in the project due to which PMA visit also couldn't be undertaken.

CEO, HLL Medipark Ltd informed that the delay was due to pending board approval for L1 vendor for award of civil works of Rs. 3.5 cr. is expected this week and order of equipment worth
Rs. 8 cr. shall be placed by the end of July 2018 as last date for submission is 12th July 2018. This has resulted in delay of 3 months.

EC directed IA to expedite the physical works and submit the revised PERT chart. PMA may coordinate with IA and DoC for finalization of the site visit date.

**Agenda Item No. III (7)**

**Implementing Agency (IA)— Madhya Pradesh State Tourism Development Corporation (MPSTDC)**

**Proposal**— Establishment of Trade Promotion Centre, Minto Hall, Bhopal

**Total project cost**— Rs 49.39 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 17.67 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 9 Cr (1st installment); Rs. 8.67 cr (2nd installment)

Based on the Implementation Schedule, the following project activities should have been completed:

- Civil Works – To be completed by 30th June
- Plant & Machinery – To be completed by 30th June

PMA observed there is a delay in physical progress of the project.

MD, MPTDC mentioned that work was delayed due to removal of encroachment, multiple tender calls etc. More than 90% of the work has been completed and P&M obtained. The interior work is yet to be completed. The funds for the increased cost of the interior work based on the actual tender value have been sought from the State Govt. The work is expected to be completed by 31st Aug 2018.

A visit was conducted by PMA on 28th February, 2018 and a PMC Meeting conducted on 10.01.2018.

EC directed IA to expedite the physical works and submit the revised PERT chart, which may be monitored by the PMA.


*Agenda Item No. III (8)*

**Implementing Agency (IA)** – Coffee Board, Bangalore

**Proposal** – Laboratory Infrastructure for Coffee Quality and Export Certification

**Total project cost** – Rs 11.4 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 5.7 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 2.5 Cr

Based on the Implementation Schedule, following project activities should have been completed:

- Planning of Infra Development
- Quotation for Technical Work
- Quotation for Instrument Purchase
- Finalization of Tender Work

As communicated by IA, Physical progress is as follows:

- Planning of Infra Development
- Quotation for Technical Work
- Civil work for Barista Laboratory

PMA observed there is a delay in physical progress of the project.

Director of Research, Coffee Board mentioned that the delay was due to Technical reasons in the finalization of quotations for instruments. The tender for Civil work for Analytical and Sensory laboratory is to be finalized on 26.06.2018. Civil work modification was required as the lab is to be according to NABL accreditation norms and shall be completed by Aug 2018.

EC directed IA to expedite the physical works and submit the revised PERT chart.
**Agenda Item No. III (9)**

**Implementing Agency (IA) – Noida SEZ**

**Proposal** – Establishment of Solid Waste Management System at Noida SEZ

**Total project cost** – Rs 4.25 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 2.13 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 1.07 Cr

As communicated by IA, Physical progress is as follows:

- Approval of drawing & Estimate
- Finalization of contractor by tender
- Construction work – 33% completed

PMA mentioned there is no delay in physical progress of the project.

DC, Noida SEZ mentioned that there is a saving of Rs. 1 crore (approx) as the project awarded was less than the approved project cost. This will result in saving of TIES funding.

EC suggested that PMA undertakes the visit as and when due and appreciated the IA’s effort resulting in cost saving.

**Agenda Item No. III (10)**

**Implementing Agency (IA) – Exports Inspection Council (EIC)**

**Proposal** – Establishing the facility for analysis and origin and authenticity of various food products

**Total project cost** – Rs 8.10 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 3.04 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 1.52 Cr

Based on the Implementation schedule, following project activities should have been completed:
- Floating of tender
- Completion of tendering process and issuance of purchase order

PMA observed there is a delay in physical progress of the project.

JD, EIC mentioned that floating of tender done in May instead of February, 2018 and tender is due for opening on 20.06.2018. JD, EIC informed that the equipment is high end equipment and it took time to finalize the specifications and tender document by a group of lab experts, which resulted in delay.

A PMC Meeting was also held on 05.06.2018.

EC observed that the delay in finalizing the specifications was not justifiable and directed IA to expedite the physical works and submit the revised PERT chart.

**Agenda Item No. III (11)**

**Implementing Agency (IA)**– Tripura Industrial Development Corporation (TIDC)

**Proposal** – Land Custom Station, Muhunghat, Tripura

**Total project cost** – Rs 16.85 Cr

- TIES share - Rs 12.29 Cr
- Instalment sanctioned – Rs 6.15Cr

Based on the Implementation Schedule, following project activities should have been completed against which there has been no progress:

- Civil Interior, plumbing, sanitary works, roads & compound wall – 50%
- Water Supply – 36%

PMA mentioned that as per information received only preliminary land development work has been taken up.

No representative from TIDC was present to update the status.
** Annexure **

List of Participants for the 1st Empowered Committee Meeting of TIES held on 19/06/2018 at 04:00 PM under the Chairpersonship of Ms. Rita Teotia, Commerce Secretary in Room No. 141, Udyog Bhavan, New Delhi.
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