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Minutes of the 9th meeting of Empowered Committee (EC) on ‘Trade Infrastructure for Export Scheme (TIES)’ for Financial Year 2019-20 under the Chairmanship of Commerce Secretary held on 15.07.2019 (Monday) at 4:30 P.M. in Room No. 141, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi.

1. The 9th meeting of Empowered Committee (EC) on ‘Trade Infrastructure for Export Scheme (TIES)’ for Financial Year 2019-20 was held under the Chairmanship of Commerce Secretary on 15.07.2019 (Monday) at 04.30 P.M. in Room No. 141, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi. The list of participants is at ‘Annexure-I’.

2. The proposals received from various implementing agencies seeking TIES funding were appraised and presented by the Project Monitoring Agency (PMA) before the Empowered Committee. The projects for which requests were received under TIES for release of 2nd instalment and deferred project proposals were also presented by PMA. Six cases where the receipt of Utilisation Certificates was significantly delayed, were also presented before the EC.

A. NEW PROPOSALS

3. Agenda Item No. 1

Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – PSIEC (Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Limited)

Proposal – Construction of approach road (8.5 Km) from NH5 to project site of Hitech cycle valley, Dhanansu village, Ludhiana

Total project cost – Rs. 48.04 crore
- TIES eligible share – Rs 20.00 cr
- PSIEC (own resources) – Rs. 28.04 cr

Release of 1st instalment sought – Rs 10.00 cr

3.1 The Project Monitoring Agency (PMA) apprised to the Empowered Committee (EC) that the project is about establishing a 383 acre Hi-tech Cycle Valley Park (HTCVP), which is essentially a bi-cycle export oriented industrial estate at Dhanansu village of Ludhiana. PMA informed that land measuring 100 acres has already been allotted to Hero Cycles for setting up an export-oriented cycle manufacturing unit where a MoU has also been signed between PSIEC and Hero Cycles for establishing their unit in HTCVP.
3.2 As part of the upcoming facility, PSIEC intends to construct a 100’ wide, 8.5 km long approach road connecting the Hi-tech Cycle Valley to Ludhiana-Chandigarh National Highway. PMA also mentioned that the approach road will be the main access to the proposed Industrial region and will be used for any logistics purposes to and from the HTCVP facility. It was stated that the project may be considered under TIES as a ‘last mile connectivity’ project related to exports logistics.

3.3 PMA further informed that construction of R-1 Minor & Sub-Minor of Sidhwan Canal is also proposed along the approach road. It was stated that the construction of this canal is essential to the project as ground water resources cannot be tapped in Punjab. The water requirements for the industrial park will be met through this canal.

3.4 EC enquired whether the element of road connecting to the Highway is part of the plans for development of Hitech Cycle Valley. IA informed that road connectivity has been considered in the overall development of the facility. EC decided that the construction of approach road to the facility and upgradation of canal works, which is an integral part of the planned facility, may be undertaken with the State Government funds. EC further stated that if the IA requires assistance under TIES for construction/upgradation of any export related infrastructure as part of the proposed HTCVP like Common User Facilities, testing labs etc, then those may be considered under TIES. IA mentioned that they will examine and submit such proposals for consideration under TIES, if any.

After deliberations, EC decided not to approve the project.

4. **Agenda Item No. 2**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – PSIEC (Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Limited)

**Proposal** – Upgradation of existing STPs in the IFPs of Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Limited

**Total project cost** - Rs. 13.02 Cr
- TIES eligible share - Rs. 4.71 Cr
- PSIEC (own resources) – Rs. 8.31 Cr

**Release of 1st instalment sought** – Rs 2.35 Cr

4.1 The PMA apprised to the EC that PSIEC Ltd. has been acting as a Catalyst and spearheading all round development and promotion of industries in Punjab through the development of Industrial infrastructure, namely Industrial Focal Points (IFPs) ranging between 50 acres to 500 acres of land at various towns and cities of Punjab.
As part of PSIEC’s multiple IFPs in Punjab, they intend to upgrade existing STPs by Construction of Tertiary Water Treatment Plant at select Industrial Focal Points (IFPs) located at Chanalon, Derabassi and Mandi Gobindgarh. PMA also mentioned that the three IFPs contain mix use industries like light engineering, pharmaceutical etc. and are exporting goods to the tune of approx. Rs 450 cr.

4.2 PMA informed that as per new NGT (National Green Tribunal) guidelines and notice by Punjab Pollution Control Board, there have been new standard set out by these governing bodies which all industrial units need to comply to.

4.3 IA further explained that the existing STP issue needs to be resolved through state-of-the art technological solutions, and innovative strategies which can solve the present issues of the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP), and provide a long-term solution. IA stated that with the development of these plants, there will be saving of 40% to 50% fresh water at each location and the recycled water will be supplied to the industries.

4.4 After deliberations, EC decided to provide in-principal approval to the Project for TIES share of Rs.4.71 crores and 1st installment of Rs. 2.35 crore, subject to:

i) PMA’s site visit to the respective site location to inspect the current on-ground activities as mentioned in the DPR.

ii) Submission of 3rd party (Central/State Govt. Agency) vetting of Civil Cost by PSIEC

iii) Submission of Quotations from suppliers of equipments, by PSIEC.

iv) Revised commitment letter from Head of IA i.e. PSIEC for its share of Rs. 8.31 cr.

5. **Agenda Item No. 3**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – SIPCOT (State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu)

**Proposal** – Construction of water supply pipeline to export oriented units in SIPCOT Industrial Park in Cuddalore district by SIPCOT

**Total project cost** - Rs. 13.89 Cr

- TIES eligible share - Rs. 4.72 Cr
- SIPCOT (own resources) – Rs. 9.17 Cr

**Release of 1st instalment sought** – Rs. 2.36 Cr
5.1 The PMA apprised to the EC that SIPCOT acts as the nodal agency of Govt. of Tamil Nadu and provides assistance for setting up large industrial units in the state. SIPCOT Industrial Complex in Cuddalore district of Tamil Nadu is divided into 3 phases:

- Phase-I
- Phase-II
- Phase-III (allocated to Southern India Mills Association (SIMA))

5.2 PMA further explained that Phase-III Industrial Complex is being setup as an export oriented textile processing park with an aim of processing woven, knitted fabric and yarn varieties. SIPCOT has established 5 nos. of deep bore wells within the complex, however due to prevailing ban orders by the Government of Tamil Nadu for the extraction of ground water, the bore wells in the campus could not be utilized by the SIMA industries. As per PWD Chennai’s notification, all ground water development proposals falling within the 10 Km range from the sea-coast are to be rejected. Since SIPCOT Phase III complex falls within the 10 Km range from the sea-coast, it is not allowed to utilize ground water for industrial use.

5.3 PMA explained that even though the land has been allotted to industrial units, but the industrial park has not been made operational owing to scarcity of water in the industrial complex. Therefore, the IA is proposing to supply 3 MLD water in SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Phase III from the three existing bore wells of SIPCOT Industrial Complex (Phase II). The total length of the pipe line is 16.48 Km.

5.4 EC enquired specifically about the export linkage of the units that will be housed in proposed Phase-III. IA mentioned that all of the existing 10 units shall be 100% export oriented units and the land has already been allotted to them.

5.5 After deliberations, EC decided to provide in-principal approval to the Project for TIES share of Rs.4.72 cr. and release of 1st installment of Rs. 2.36 cr, subject to PMA’s site visit to the respective site location to inspect the current on-ground activities as mentioned in the DPR.

6. **Agenda Item No. 4**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – SIPCOT (State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu)

**Proposal** – Establishment of Export Trade Facilitation Centre at Siruseri, Thiruporur Taluk in Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu
Total project cost - Rs. 29.70 Cr

- TIES eligible share - Rs. 10.20 Cr
- SIPCOT (own resources) – Rs. 19.49 Cr

Release of 1st instalment sought - Rs 5.10Cr

6.1 The PMA apprised to the EC that SIPCOT, which is the nodal agency of Govt. of Tamil Nadu for providing assistance for setting up large industrial units in the state has developed an I.T. Park at Siruseri, Thiruporur Taluk in Kancheepuram District in an area of 784.47 acres. It was informed that this Park is a part of the IT corridor stretch, located on the Old Mahabalipuram Road (OMR) about 35 km from MGR Chennai Railway Station and 30 km from Airport.

6.2 IA stated that it envisages establishing a Trade Facilitation Centre with a total built-up area of 7854.95 Sq.mtrs. to facilitate IT exports from the state with a potential of about Rs. 7,500 crores. IA further mentioned that the land for the proposed trade centre is within the compound of existing IT Park of SIPCOT and will act as a dedicated trade centre for IT industry.

6.3 After deliberations, EC decided to provide in-principal approval to the Project for TIES share of Rs. 10.20 cr. and release of 1st installment of Rs. 5.10 cr., subject to:

i) Third party civil cost vetting

ii) Quotation for Plant & Machinery from authorized vendors

iii) PMA’s site visit to the respective site location to inspect the current on-ground activities as mentioned in the DPR

7. Agenda Item No. 5

Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – AMTZ (Andhra Pradesh Medtech Zone Limited)

Proposal – Design, construction and manufacturing Technetium 99m Generator (Medical radio-isotope)

Total project cost - Rs. 28.00 Cr

- Eligible TIES share - Rs. 14.00 cr.
- AMTZ (own resources) – Rs. 14.00 Cr

Release of 1st instalment sought - Rs 7.0 Cr
7.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the Andhra Pradesh MedTech Zone (AMTZ) is a medical device park, and first of its kind in Asia. It is focused on building the medical technology ecosystem in India to export “Made in India” medical devices and to cater to local demand which is highly dependent on imports.

7.2 MD and CEO, AMTZ mentioned that they are working on a new medical application for Nuclear Imaging, which will be useful for diagnosing health related issues in the entire human body and will also facilitate for therapy purposes. He further mentioned that globally there are limited number of Nuclear Research Reactors, supplying parent product Molybdenum Mo-99 (N=7).

7.3 EC enquired that whether IA has the required land and other physical infrastructure to set up the proposed facility to manufacture the product. IA explained that the AMTZ park is an extensive state of the art facility with 18 high-end industrial labs already in operation and undertaking export activities. It was explained that the element of civil cost mentioned in the DPR is specific to the construction of special wells which will house the radioactive material. This civil work is to be carried out by a specialized agency and not by a regular contractor. IA stated that the shed to house the referred plant and machinery and other related physical infrastructure is already in place.

7.4 EC further enquired about the mode of operation of the proposed facility. IA explained that it will be in the nature of a Common Facility Centre, and an appropriate technical agency will be operating the facility, for which the process has already been initiated.

7.5 After deliberations, EC decided to provide in-principal approval to the Project subject to:

i) Vetting of civil cost and cost of Plant & Machinery

ii) PMA’s site visit to the respective site location to inspect the current on-ground activities as mentioned in the DPR.

8. **Agenda Item No. 6**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – AMTZ (Andhra Pradesh Medtech Zone Limited)

**Proposal** – Establishment of centre for hollow fibre membrane extrusion for Hemodialysis
Total project cost - Rs. 24.00 Cr
- Eligible TIES share - Rs. 12.00 cr.
- AMTZ (own resources) – Rs12.00 Cr

Release of 1st instalment sought – Rs 6.00 Cr

8.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the Andhra Pradesh MedTech Zone (AMTZ) is a medical device park, and first of its kind in Asia. It is focused on building the medical technology ecosystem in India to export “Made in India” medical devices and to cater to local demand which is highly dependent on imports.

8.2 IA explained that the proposed facility is intended to be established as a centre for manufacturing of state of the art hollow fibre membrane. It was further explained that the use of the products cuts across many sectors, and is mainly used for Hemodialysis to filter blood in a more efficient way, to filter water for impurities, etc. IA stated that this product has huge potential of exports in the international market.

8.3 After deliberations, EC decided to provide in-principal approval to the Project subject to:

i) Vetting of civil cost and cost of Plant & Machinery

ii) PMA’s site visit to the respective site location to inspect the current on-ground activities as mentioned in the DPR.

9. Agenda Item No. 7

Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Bureau of Industrial Policy & Promotion, Haryana

Proposal – Upgradation of Quality Marking Centre, Faridabad

Total project cost - Rs. 29.67 Cr
- Eligible TIES share - Rs. 12.12 cr.
- State Government (own resources) – Rs17.55 Cr

Release of 1st instalment sought – Rs 6.06 Cr

9.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the IA wants to upgrade the quality marking centre, in Faridabad which is in a debilitated condition. It was explained that such a facility is critical for a city like Faridabad which is a major industrial / manufacturing hub of Haryana. The proposed facility would facilitate industries to meet the stringent certification requirements for enabling exports from the region.
9.2 PMA further explained that there is a requirement for a NABL accredited lab in the Faridabad district. Currently, manufacturers and exporters have to travel long distances to avail testing services i.e. to Delhi, Ghaziabad, Muradnagar, Manesar and in some cases to Mumbai. This leads to added time cost and financial cost for the industrial units adversely affecting the price competitiveness.

9.3 PMA further explained that the Quality Marking Centre (QMC) in Faridabad would focus on testing of products, quality marking and certification, pertaining to the general engineering goods, electrical goods, rubber and plastic goods sectors.

9.4 After deliberations, EC decided to provide in-principal approval to the Project for TIES share of Rs. 12.12 crores and release of 1st installment of Rs. 6.06 cr., subject to:

   i) State government’s own share of commitment for financial closure

   ii) Vetting of civil cost and cost of Plant & Machinery.

   iii) Recommendations of Export Commissioner of the State

   iii) PMA’s site visit to the respective site location to inspect the current on-ground activities as mentioned in the DPR.

B. APPROVED PROJECTS UNDER TIES FOR 2ND INSTALLMENT RELEASE

10. Agenda Item No. 1-

   Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Karnataka Fisheries Development Corporation Limited (KFDC)

   Proposal – Modernisation of infrastructure facility for Marine exports at Tadadi, Kumta Taluk, Uttara Kannada District, Karnataka

   Total project cost - Rs. 13.34 Cr
   • Fund approved under TIES – Rs 5 Cr
   • Fund released under TIES – Rs 2 Cr

   Release of 2nd (Final) instalment sought under TIES – Rs 3 Cr

10.1 It was presented by PMA that KFDC has utilized Rs 2 Cr released as 1st installment under TIES for which the Utilization Certificate (UC) for Rs 2 Cr of the 1st installment has been submitted. Till date KFDC has made a cumulative expenditure of Rs 4.94 Cr
against Rs 2 Crore fund released under TIES. PMA mentioned that it had undertaken
the site visit on 9th May, 2019, and it was apprised that the civil work of the project
is 40% completed and procurement of machineries is under progress.

10.2 EC observed that the funds shown as utilized is the payment made to contractor as
mobilization advance. It was also noted that the physical progress of the project is
not commensurate with the financial progress. EC instructed PMA to present
expenditure breakup for every component of the project in the upcoming EC
meetings. It was further directed that the current status of the projects need to be
verified with the Implementation Agency immediately before every EC meeting in
the presentation. EC also enquired regarding the quality inspection and certification
from a third party.

10.3 IA explained that the payment was made to the contractor as per the terms of the
contract. It was further explained that pre-fabricated structures are being used for
this project and the contractor has completed 70% of the allocated work as against
the payment of about 37% of the project cost. IA presented the recent photographs
before the EC in this regard. EC instructed IA to submit the latest documents to PMA.

10.4 After deliberations, EC decided to approve release of 2nd (final) installment of Rs.3
crores subject to all necessary verifications to be made by PMA and after ensuring
that the first installment has been fully utilized as also reflected in the physical
progress of the Project.

11. **Agenda Item No. 2**

Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Visvesvaraya Trade Promotion Centre
(VTPC), Bengaluru, Govt. of Karnataka

Proposal – Establishment of Coastal Cashew Research & Development Foundation,
Kumta, District Uttara Kannada, Karnataka

Total project cost - Rs. 9.55 Cr

- Fund approved under TIES – Rs 3.31 Cr
- Fund released under TIES – Rs 1 Cr

Release of 2nd and final instalment sought under TIES – Rs 2.31 Cr

11.1 It was presented by PMA that IA has utilized Rs 1 Cr released as 1st installment
under TIES for which the Utilization Certificate (UC) for Rs 1 Cr of the 1st installment
has been submitted. Till date IA has made a cumulative expenditure of Rs 1.55 Cr
against Rs 1 Crore fund released under TIES. PMA mentioned that it had undertaken the site visit on 9th May, 2019 and it was apprised that the project progress is slow as per the approved PERT chart. It was also highlighted that due to technical challenge in the demolition work of the existing building work is getting delayed.

11.2 During the meeting, representative from IA mentioned that after the PMA visit of 9th May 2019, there has been a significant progress in physical and financial status of the project. Representative from IA presented before EC some recent progress pictures of the site.

11.3 IA also explained that due to delay in implementation of the project, there was an escalation in the project cost. Accordingly, the plan for cold storage facility as per the earlier project design was discontinued, and thus, the overall project cost remained the same. It was stated that this change in scope of work was duly documented and necessary approvals from the State Government were taken. IA further explained that third party quality check is in place. As regards the slow progress of the project, it was explained that the required machinery was to be ordered only after the civil work is complete. Now that the civil construction part is near completion, physical and financial progress will be discernible accordingly.

11.4 After deliberations, EC decided that all necessary verifications be made by PMA w.r.t change in scope of work i.r.o cold storage facility. It was further directed by EC that cases for second/subsequent installments may only be recommended for release after ensuring that the first installment has been fully utilized as also reflected in the physical progress of the Project and pari passu release of funds by the IAs/State Governments.

12. **Agenda Item No. 3**

*Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Noida SEZ Authority*

**Proposal** – Establishment of Solid Waste management system at Noida SEZ

**Total project cost** -Rs. 4.25 Cr (Revised Project Cost: 3.55 owing to reduction in Plant & Machinery Cost)

- Fund approved under TIES – Rs 2.13 Cr
- Fund released under TIES – Rs 1.07 Cr
- Balance grant requested under TIES – Rs 0.71 Cr

**Release of 2nd and final instalment sought under TIES**–Rs 0.71 Cr
12.1 It was presented by PMA that NSEZ has utilized Rs 1.07 Cr released as 1st installment under TIES for which the Utilization Certificate (UC) for Rs 1.07 Cr of the 1st installment has been submitted. Till date NSEZ has made a cumulative expenditure of Rs 3.42 Cr against Rs 1.07 Crore fund released under TIES.

12.2 PMA mentioned that it had undertaken the site visit on 19th June, 2019 and it was apprised that the project work is completed. Electricity connection is pending at site, which is required for commissioning of plant & machineries. Implementing agency informed that they will provide the electricity connection within a week and plant will be operational by August 2019.

12.3 EC enquired whether mapping of waste generation in SEZ and segregation of solid waste at source is being done and how does the IA plan for using compost generated. IA replied that the project will be commissioned soon and IA plans to sell the compost generated. EC directed the IA to ensure swift operationalisation of the Project. IA was further advised to hold meetings and public awareness programs to explain the importance of solid waste management system and to ensure efficient utilization of the infrastructure.

12.4 The initial Project Cost was Rs. 4.25 Cr, which was revised to Rs 3.55 cr owing to reduction in Plant & Machinery Cost. Accordingly, the TIES share was also revised downwards from Rs.2.13 crores to Rs.1.78 crores. Since, Rs.1.07 crores was released as the 1st installment, the balance amount of Rs.0.71 lakhs was approved for release by the EC subject to necessary verifications.

13. **Agenda Item No. 4**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Export Inspection Council (EIC)**

**Proposal** – Establishment of facility for analysis of origin and authenticity of various food products, Mumbai

**Total project cost** -Rs. 8.10 Cr
- Fund approved under TIES – Rs 3.04 Cr
- Fund released under TIES – Rs 1.52 Cr

**Release of 2nd and final instalment sought under TIES**–Rs 1.52 Cr

13.1 It was presented by PMA that EIC has utilized Rs 1.52 Cr released as 1st installment under TIES for which the Utilization Certificate (UC) for Rs 1.52 Cr of the 1st installment has been submitted. Till date EIC has made a cumulative expenditure of Rs 5.39 Cr against Rs 1.52 Crore fund released under TIES.
13.2 PMA mentioned that it had undertaken the site visit on 24th June, 2019 and it was apprised that the project work is completed and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance machine is already delivered. IA explained that the machine has been commissioned and software validation work for various samples is being undertaken, which will form the basis for referencing of testing of products.

13.3 EC discussed the relevance of the facility for issues relating to Rules of Origin and emphasized that this utility should be used to its full potential for the same.

13.4 After above discussions, EC decided to approve the release of 2\textsuperscript{nd} (final) installment of Rs.1.52 Cr subject to necessary verifications.

14. \textit{Agenda Item No.5–}

\textbf{Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – M.P. Audyogik Kendra Vikas Nigam (Indore), Limited}

\textit{Proposal} – Establishment of Cold Chain at Pithampur, SEZ phase II, Madhya Pradesh

\textit{Total project cost} - Rs. 32.16 Cr
- Fund approved under TIES – Rs 16.08 Cr
- Fund released under TIES – Rs 8.04 Cr

\textit{Release of 2\textsuperscript{nd} and final instalment sought under TIES}–Rs 8.04 Cr

14.1 It was presented by PMA that IA has utilized Rs 8.04 Cr released as 1\textsuperscript{st} installment under TIES for which the Utilization Certificate (UC) for Rs 8.04 Cr of the 1\textsuperscript{st} installment has been submitted. Till date IA has made a cumulative expenditure of Rs 12.06 Cr against Rs 8.04 Crore fund released under TIES. PMA mentioned that it had undertaken the site visit on 3\textsuperscript{rd} July, 2019 and it was apprised that the project work is 60% completed.

14.2 EC discussed about the gap between physical and financial progress. During the meeting, representative from IA stated that physical progress of the project is 60% as against 30% financial progress as payments have not yet been released to the contractor. EC instructed IA to furnish necessary documents to PMA to prove utilization of funds and also to get third party quality check done.

14.3 After above discussions, EC decided to approve the release of 2\textsuperscript{nd} (final) installment of Rs 8.04 Cr subject to third party quality check and submission of above mentioned documents to PMA to prove pari passu utilization of funds by the IA.
C. DEFERRED PROJECT

15. Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Jharkhand Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation (JIIDCO)

Proposal – Construction of World Trade Centre Ranchi

Total project cost - Rs. 44.60 Cr
- Eligible TIES share - Rs. 19.61 cr.
- Jharkhand Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation (own resources) – Rs 23.99 Cr

Release of 1st instalment sought – Rs 9.8 Cr

15.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was given in-principal approval in the 7th EC meeting, post which the proposal again got deferred in the 8th EC meeting and the IA was directed to comply to the below mentioned parameters covering:

- JIIDCO to submit a revised vision plan of the World Trade Centre project
- Architecture plan and DPR to be duly vetted ITPO

15.2 PMA apprised that JIIDCO has submitted a revised vision plan. JIIDCO had also requested ITPO for vetting of the DPR, however, ITPO vide its letter dated 19th December, 2018, stated that it is not feasible for ITPO to vet the said proposal as they do not have specialization in the technical and financial fields. ITPO, however, mentioned that the State has the potential to self-sustain the project in view of the trade activities of the State. JIIDCO has now got the vetting of civil cost estimates and architectural plan done from National Institute of Technology Jamshedpur (Department of Civil Engineering).

15.3 PMA also apprised to the EC that JIIDCO plans to establish additional Trade Centres in Jharkhand i.e. in Deoghar and Jamshedpur. In this regard, JIIDCO had also been requested to prioritize these projects which may then be put up for consideration of EC accordingly. During the meeting, MD, JIIDCO and GM, JIIDCO, mentioned that JIIDCO has fulfilled all the conditions as directed by EC at the time of giving in-principle approval in its 7th meeting, and in its 8th meeting for Trade Centre Project at Ranchi. It was requested that the Trade Centre Project at Ranchi may be approved first and the first instalment be released. It was stated by them that the Deoghar and Jamshedpur projects shall be taken up (in this order) subsequently, and for which complete DPRs shall also be submitted in due course.

15.4 After deliberations, EC decided to approve the release of 1st installment of Rs.9.80 Crores.
D. **LIST OF DELAYED PROJECTS FOR REVIEW**

16. **Agenda Item No.1**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – HLL Medipark Ltd.

**Proposal** – Setting up of EMI/EMC lab for Medical Technology at Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu

**Total project cost** - Rs. 21.07 Cr
  - TIES share - Rs. 9.56 cr
  - 1st Installment released – Rs 4.78 cr

16.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was approved in the 2nd EC meeting held on 9th Oct, 2017. The project was envisaged to be completed by July 2019, however the project has zero progress till date. The project is delayed by more than 19 months.

16.2 IA in its letter dated 1st July, 2019, mentioned that the project activities were kept on hold based on the decision by Government of India to disinvest the holding company, HLL Lifecare Ltd. Also, it has been directed by the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare to handover the Medipark to Tamil Nadu Industries Development Corporation (TIDCO), for which the necessary approval for the handing over of Medipark to TIDCO is obtained from the Board of Directors/ Shareholders. TIDCO is in the process of required steps for the takeover.

16.3 The IA has proposed to refund of Rs 4.78 Crore grant received for setting up EMI/EMC Lab.

16.4 EC decided to cancel the project and directed IA to process the refund along with upto date interest as per the Scheme guidelines to DoC, and that PMA may coordinate with IA for the same.

17. **Agenda Item No.2**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – Airport Authority of India (AAI)

**Proposal** – Establishment of Integrated Cargo Terminal (ICT) at Imphal International Airport, Imphal, Manipur

**Total project cost** - Rs. 16.20 Cr
  - TIES share - Rs. 11.92 cr
  - 1st Installment released – Rs 6.00 cr
17.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was approved in the 1st EC meeting held on 9th June, 2017 and it was envisaged to be completed by May, 2019. As per the email dated 24th June, 2019, IA has informed that the project would be completed by Dec, 2019. PMA informed the EC that IA has not furnished any other information w.r.t the current status of the report.

17.2 In the meeting, CEO, AAI Cargo Logistics mentioned that land acquisition has been completed and 40% of total work stands completed as on date. It was further stated that the project will take another 10-12 months for completion. EC directed IA to furnish the project status specifying both physical and financial progress of the project within one week along with all necessary documentation and pictures of the site. EC further directed IA to expedite the physical work and submit the revised PERT chart. PMA to coordinate with IA and DoC for finalisation of the site visit date.

18. **Agenda Item No.3**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** - Tamil Nadu Trade Promotion Organization (TNTPO)

**Proposal** - Expansion of Chennai Trade Centre Tamil Nadu

**Total project cost** - Rs. 288.16 Cr

- TIES share - Rs. 20.00 cr
- 1st Installment released – Rs 10.00 cr

18.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was approved in the 2nd EC meeting held on 9th Oct, 2017. The project was envisaged to be completed by Dec 2018, however, there is zero physical and financial progress till date.

18.2 Vide letter dated 03.06.2019, TNTPO stated that the Board of TNTPO has required immediate steps to be taken by them without delay to award the work and complete the work within the earliest possible time. It was further mentioned that in the current circumstances, TNTPO was not able to utilize the TIES grant of Rs. 10 crores.

18.3 Representative of TNTPO explained before the EC the reasons for the delay including change in work plan and delay in getting approval from SFC. It was stated that an independent agency has been already been identified for third party quality check.

18.4 EC directed IA to expedite the physical work and submit the revised PERT chart. It was advised that since TNTPO already has experience in administering a
Trade/Convention Centre, and the present project under consideration is for expansion of the existing facility, the IA must ensure improvement in utilisation of the project based on experience of running the existing facility. IA was also advised to maintain highest quality standards. PMA to coordinate with IA and DoC for finalisation of the site visit date.

19. **Agenda Item No.4-**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – Tripura Industrial Development Corporation Ltd.

**Proposal** – Upgradation of infrastructure at LCS Muhurighat, Belonia, South Tripura

**Total project cost** - Rs. 16.85 Cr

- TIES share - Rs. 12.29 cr
- 1\textsuperscript{st} Installment released – Rs. 6.15 cr

19.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was approved in the 3rd EC on 19th Dec, 2017. As per original PERT chart, the project was envisaged to be completed by June, 2019. However, the project progress in terms of physical and financial is NIL as on date. The project is already delayed by 18 months.

19.2 IA mentioned that since the work site falls in the zero line of 150 yards, formal consent is required from Competent Authorities of both the countries, which is yet to be received. Till such consent is received, the work cannot be executed.

19.3 EC enquired if there is possibility of relocating the site of the proposed project. IA informed that there is no alternate space available in the vicinity to relocate the project. AS(BSB), DoC informed that the matter has been taken up with the Government of Bangladesh through the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India.

20. **Agenda Item No.5-**

**Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details** – Land Ports Authority of India

**Proposal** – Development of Integrated Check Post Petrapole: Proposal for construction of additional truck parking, West Bengal

**Total project cost** - Rs. 16.85 Cr

- TIES share - Rs. 12.29 cr
- 1\textsuperscript{st} Installment released – Rs.6.15 cr
20.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was approved in the 3rd EC meeting on 19th Dec, 2017. Based on the original PERT chart, the project was envisaged to be completed by September, 2019 and the project is delayed by more than 9 months. EC was informed that the work contract has been awarded and site has been recently handed over to the contractor and the work has started at the site.

20.2 EC enquired from IA for the reasons for delay of the project. IA explained that there was a delay in awarding the works contract. It was stated that there has been physical progress and ‘bandhs’ have been constructed for the proposed facility of additional parking facilities for the trucks at ICP, Petrapole. IA also presented some recent pictures of the project site. EC observed that the drainage in the area of proposed facility does not appear to be proper.

20.3 EC directed the IA to obtain appropriate architectural/technical advice and proceed further accordingly. While observing that there has been a significant delay in execution of the project, EC directed IA to expedite the physical work and submit the revised PERT chart.

21. **Agenda Item No.5**

*Implementing Agency (IA) / Project Details – Madras EPZ SEZ*

**Proposal** – Construction of 2.5 MLD Sewerage Treatment Plant (STP) at Madras EPZ SEZ Tamil Nadu

**Total project cost** - Rs. 11.63 Cr

- TIES share - Rs. 5.17 cr
- 1st Installment released – Rs. 2.59 cr

21.1 PMA apprised to the EC that the project was approved in the 5th EC meeting held on 19th June, 2018. They further mentioned that the IA is proposing to change the scope of project approved under TIES from “Setting up of 2.5 MLD Sewage Treatment plant” to “Construction of waste water treatment system of 2.5 MLD and a secondary treatment facility of 1.5 MLD”.

21.2 EC enquired about the reason for change in the scope of work already approved. IA explained that as per a subsequent assessment, a need was felt for a secondary treatment facility in the SEZ area.
21.3 EC directed the IA to submit a revised DPR and project rationale for the said change in the scope after necessary technical evaluation.

22. EC directed the PMA to co-ordinate with the respective IAs, which have been taken up for review, for site visit. EC must be apprised of the progress of these projects, especially with regard to the directions given by the EC in this meeting.

23. EC also categorically directed that the projects must be monitored closely by the Implementing Agencies and that it is the responsibility of the Implementing Agency to ensure that the quality of the infrastructure created is of the highest quality.

24. It was re-iterated that all expenditure under the projects will be done as per the GFR.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

***
### Annexure-I
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Dr. M. Anburajan</td>
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